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Introduction
Introduction

"... no city in the world will have as good reason for taking pride in its park as San Francisco." Frederick Law Olmsted, 1886

Golden Gate Park has been a part of San Francisco for over 120 years. Over that time the city, and the lives of its citizens has changed dramatically. Yet the purpose and use of Golden Gate Park has remained remarkably unchanged.

Today, as one hundred years ago, people are coming to Golden Gate Park to picnic, walk, bicycle, to feed the ducks, to see the bison, and "as a relief and counterpoise to the urban conditions of their ordinary circumstances of life." This is an enduring tribute to the vision and design that created the park. The park is as vital today as it was a hundred years ago, perhaps more so. Golden Gate Park is both a 19th century "pleasure ground" and a modern urban park.

Now in its second century, the park is facing new and growing challenges. Most of these are the result of the growth and change of the City around the park. The kind of vision that was required to create the park from barren sand dunes is also needed today to preserve and enhance the park to ensure that it will continue to serve future generations. This Master Plan for Golden Gate Park attempts to provide that vision and lay the groundwork for its preservation and enhancement into the next century.

The greatest challenge today is providing the necessary funding to maintain the park. Historically, the park has been funded by city taxes.
Parks were seen as one of the vital public services that citizens expected the City government to provide. Today the City’s ability to raise enough taxes to support all of the traditional services is limited. Priorities within the City’s budget are being set, and the park is receiving a smaller share. The value that the park provides to the City more than justifies providing adequate funding for maintenance of the park. The investment that has been made in the park has paid many dividends over the years in the form of increased real estate values, as a major attraction that supports the tourism industry, and an amenity that improves the quality of life for residents. Citizen interest and support for the park was demonstrated with their approval of the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond, however funds for ongoing maintenance are subject to the City’s annual budget constraints.

An important finding of this Master Plan is the belief that the improvements needed to preserve, maintain, and enhance Golden Gate Park can be supported with additional sources of public and private funding that will complement the traditional support from the City’s general fund. It is that belief that permits this plan to be a bold one: to propose new park improvements and additional staff to maintain it at a time that the Recreation and Park Department is cutting its budget. It is intended that the Master Plan will provide the impetus to raise the necessary funds, both public and private, to maintain Golden Gate Park as the world class urban park that it is.
Introduction
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The Master Plan

The Master Plan for Golden Gate Park is intended to provide a framework and guidelines to ensure responsible and enlightened stewardship of the park. The goal is to manage the current and future park and recreation demands while preserving the historic significance of the park. Frederick Law Olmsted spoke of the long-term management of Golden Gate Park in an 1886 letter to the Park Commissioners:

"... let me counsel you, in general terms, to remember that your park is not for today, but for all time - so long as you have a city. ... You have your present population to satisfy and please. It is an intelligent population, beyond a doubt, and possessed of a high appreciation of good results. But it is to be expected that future generations will be more intelligent and more appreciative."

The original plan for Golden Gate Park was developed by William Hammond Hall and published in the First Biennial Report to the Park Commissioners in 1872. The park was developed based on that plan, although not all elements were completed. In 1890, John McLaren became park superintendent and for the next half century, he guided the park’s development in his own vision. During his reign, McLaren held true to the original design intent and protected the park from most, but not all threats.

In 1979, a new master plan process resulted in the adoption of the existing Objectives and Policies. A forestry management plan was done in 1980 and a transportation management plan was completed in 1985.

The current Master Plan process was begun in late 1992. This master plan is the product of a process that involved Department of Recreation and Park staff, other City departments, a Task Force composed of representatives from neighborhood and user groups, and the general public.
Phases of the Master Plan

Issues Identification
Issues and concerns were identified through meetings with park staff, meetings with the Task Force, a public meeting, and with a questionnaire distributed with the master plan newsletter. The purpose of this part of the master plan is to assess the range of ideas, opinions, and visions that people have for the park. From these ideas the master plan identified where there are common themes, where there is community consensus, and where there are differing opinions that will require a decision-making process.

Assessment of Existing Conditions and Needs
All park elements were examined to understand the existing condition of the park. This assessment identified deficiencies and needs in the park, and helped to focus which elements in the park would receive special attention in the recommendations phase of the master plan. The assessment of existing conditions and needs is, in part, a distillation of more detailed background papers that were completed for this master plan. The following background papers are under separate cover: circulation; forest landscape and wildlife; geology, groundwater and recycled water; economic issues; historic structures; and a park history and bibliography.

Assessment and Revision of the Existing Objectives and Policies
The Objectives and Policies provide a framework and guidelines for park management decisions. They were developed through an extensive public process in 1979. Some of the conditions and issues have changed, and some of the policy actions have been completed or are outdated. The Objectives and Policies have been revised through a public process that included review and suggestions by many groups and interested parties involved in the planning process.

Recommendations and Action Plans
The final element of the master plan includes specific recommendations and action plans to correct deficiencies and address problems identified during the master plan process. These are both parkwide recommendations and recommendations for selected areas within the park. The recommendations include design changes, management recommendations, and strategies for funding and implementation. The recommendations will receive environmental review before the master plan is adopted by the Recreation and Park Commission.

Implementation
The recommendations of the Master Plan will be implemented over a period of several years. Many of the capital projects identified will be funded by the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond. Other recommendations may require new sources of funding. Continued public participation will be important for implementation, as some recommendations will be accomplished through increased community involvement. The task force groups that have contributed to the development of this plan should continue to advocate for implementation of recommendations.

Developing new sources of public funding, through a new tax or other mechanism, will be important for implementing the Master Plan and ensuring the long-term survival of Golden Gate Park. The proposed park conservancy organization will play a part in implementing some of the recommendations through fund-raising and management of projects.
Executive Summary

The following is a summary of Master Plan elements and recommendations:

Objective and Policies
• The Golden Gate Park Objectives and Policies have been revised and reorganized.

Park Landscape
• A Landscape Design Framework has been developed to define the characteristics that make the park landscape unique. Defining and understanding the park landscape is an important step to ensure its preservation.
• Emphasize historic landscape preservation and restoration.
• Continue reforestation program and increase reforestation effort in eastern park.
• Implement a shrub layer restoration program.
• Install automatic irrigation systems for turf areas.
• Restore the park lakes with improved clay liners and edge treatments, and improve water quality and flow.
• Implement an erosion control program to address spot erosion problems.
• Improve wildlife habitat values around the park and designate areas with high wildlife values as special management areas.
• Designate significant oak woodland areas as oak woodland preserves.

Circulation
• Improve accessibility of park features and facilities.
• A hierarchy of park paths and trails has been developed to ensure that the design of paths and trails is appropriate for permitted uses and to reduce conflicts.
• Improve bicycle paths, widen for multiple use and improve signs.
• Continue to study ways to reduce impacts of vehicle traffic on the park, particularly from non-park through traffic.
• Seek funding for a park shuttle.
• Continue to explore transit service improvements with MUNI.
• Close park roadways that are not essential for access or circulation including: Conservatory Drive East, 7th Ave./Lincoln Way entrance, Waller Street, a portion of Arguello (south), a portion of Middle Drive West, 30th Avenue entrance, South Fork Drive, and access to 47th Ave. from JFK Drive.
• Redesign the JFK Drive/Kezar Drive entry, creating a "T" intersection, slowing the speed of vehicles entering the park. The redesign will also greatly reduce the amount of paving in the entry area and provide an opportunity for a landscape park entry treatment.
• Close Sunset Blvd, north of Lincoln Way, remove grade separation and create a new signalized intersection at Lincoln Way.
• Prohibit left turns from eastbound MLK Drive at the Crossover Drive/Transverse Drive intersections and mitigate neighborhood impacts.
• Install landscape extensions at strategic points along eastern JFK Drive as a traffic calming measure, and to define a single travel lane in each direction, preventing passing on the right.
• Narrow Tea Garden Drive and improve safety for pedestrians.
• Implement 3 and 4 hour parking limits in the eastern park to reduce commuter parking.
• Improve traffic flow at the Stanyan/Fulton intersection to improve the connection between Fell Street and Fulton Street.
• Study feasibility of extending the F-Line historic streetcars to Golden Gate Park, connecting Fisherman's Wharf area, Market Street, and Golden Gate Park.
• Study feasibility of undergrounding of Crossover Drive and grade separation for the Crossover Drive/MLK Drive intersection.
• Select a portion of JFK Drive for closure to motor vehicles on Saturdays.

Recreation
• One additional soccer field is proposed at the Richmond Sunset treatment plant site.
• Renovate children's play areas to improve safety and accessibility, and to meet all codes and regulations.
• A planning process is underway to explore the feasibility of permitting of mountain bikes on some park trails, and to reduce damage to the park landscape by indiscriminate mountain bike use.
• Upgrade the equestrian center facilities with improvements to include night lighting, a covered central arena, and secure fencing.
• A caretaker's unit for the equestrian center will be incorporated into an existing or replacement structure.

Visitor Facilities
• At all park entries, install comprehensive signs with park information, regulations, and a map for pedestrians, bicyclists, and vehicles.
• Provide a centrally located visitor center within an existing building, at the County Fair Building or in the Music Concourse.
• Provide a western park visitor center at the Beach Chalet.
• Produce visitor brochures with maps and information for walking, bicycling, and other park activities.
• Restore and maintain all park restrooms.

Buildings and Monuments
• Improve accessibility of all park buildings.
• Continue seismic improvement program.
• Implement a program to restore and maintain statues and monuments.
• The Conservatory, the oldest and most significant building in the park, is in need of structural upgrading and general rehabilitation.
• Reopen the Beach Chalet with a cafe/restaurant upstairs and a visitor center on the ground floor, providing viewing of the murals.
• Redevelopment of the County Fair Building is under consideration, and is being studied within a special area master plan for Strybing Arboretum and Botanical Gardens.
• The Sharon Building is under consideration for redevelopment as a restaurant (similar to its original purpose). A new facility to house the art center activities would have to be found.
• An Adopt-A-Monument program has been established to raise funds for the conservation and maintenance of the park's monuments and statues.

Utilities and Infrastructure
• The water supply and distribution system will be reconstructed as part of the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond.
• Maintain the use of park wells, and facilitate the use of reclaimed water in the future as a supplement to well water.
• Rebuild electrical supply system and provide new lighting to improve safety.
• Improve sewer and drainage systems.

Maintenance and Operations Areas
• Relocate the log storage area to portion of Richmond Sunset treatment plant site (or if certain equipment can be acquired, eliminate the need for log storage and consolidate log chipping operations at compost area).
• Consolidate Section 6 headquarters building and storage sheds, reforest and relandscape surrounding area.
• Continue to seek opportunities to consolidate other maintenance and operations areas, and minimize impacts with visual screening.

Park Management
• 25 to 35 additional staff are recommended to improve park maintenance.
• An expanded role and additional staff are recommended for the Park Patrol to improve park security.
• Appropriate volunteer opportunities should be increased.

Park Funding
• Work with the Mayor's office and the Board of Supervisors to ensure continued support for Golden Gate Park from the City's
Restore park lakes
- new clay liners
- reconstruct edge treatments
- improve water quality and flow

Reopen Beach Chalet
- visitor center/murals
display on ground floor
- cafe/restaurant upstairs

Close access to golf course from JFK Drive

Close South Fork Drive

Richmond Sunset treatment plant site
- demolition of all treatment plant structures
- one additional soccer/sports field
- picnic area
- reforestation areas
- new site for log storage (if needed)

West end improvements
- improve landscape treatments
- multi-use path along Great Highway
- restore Murphy's Windmill
- open vegetation along railroad trail and create linear meadow
- improve park entry treatments
- continue reforestation of western windbreak
- goal is to change the character of the area and eliminate undesirable activities

Reconstruct Rainbow Falls
- New underground storage reservoir and pumping station for reconstructed water system

Close 30th Ave. entrance
Evaluate options for Saturday road closure
- JFK Dr. from Kezar Dr. to 8th Ave.
- JFK Dr. from Stow Lake Dr. to Transverse Dr.

Prohibit left turns on eastbound approach

Close portion of Middle Drive West
- designate as a bicycle learning area

Resurface Polo Field bicycle track

Renovate all children's play areas
- improve accessibility
- improve safety

Close Sunset Blvd. north of Lincoln Way
- remove grade separation
- create new signalized intersection with Lincoln Way
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General Fund.
- Seek new public funding sources that can be used for ongoing park maintenance such as a dedicated new tax.
- Seek additional funding from private sector sources by establishing a non-profit conservancy organization for Golden Gate Park to significantly increase private sector support.

Special Area Plans
- Restore elements in and around the Music Concourse to reestablish its role as a civic space within Golden Gate Park, providing a proper setting for the cultural institutions fronting on it.
- Raise the prominence of the west end of the park to unify this area as the park's western entrance. Establish activity zones that and bring more park users to this neglected area with landscape improvements, path improvements, activities, and entry treatments. Restore Murphy's Mill. Open vegetation along railroad trail and create linear meadows, connecting the Dutch Windmill, Beach Chalet, soccer fields, and Murphy's Mill.
- Remove all buildings and structures at the Richmond Sunset treatment plant site. Restore to park recreational uses including an additional soccer field, picnic area, and reforestation areas.
- Enhance the bison paddock to facilitate improved care and management of the bison herd, and to provide improved viewing opportunities for visitors. Improvements will include new fencing, accessible overlooks, interpretive area, new feeding barn, landscape improvements and habitat enrichment. Relocate adjacent log storage area to a portion of the Richmond Sunset plant site.
- Improve landscape treatments at park entries. Specific improvements are recommended for the following entries: JFK Drive/Kezar Drive, 9th Avenue/Lincoln Way, Haight Street/Stanyan Street, 7th Avenue/Fulton Street (railway shelter), and the western park entries (Great Highway, Lincoln Way at MLK Dr.).

Implementation
- Many Master Plan projects will be funded by the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond, including projects related to the following: accessibility improvements, pathways, park entries, water distribution and supply system, lighting, other utilities, lakes and water features, reforestation, erosion control, and restrooms.
- Generate support for Master Plan projects with citizen involvement through continuing task forces, working groups, and volunteer opportunities.
- Additional funding sources must be developed for the long term survival of the park. Building a constituency for Golden Gate Park will be critical to winning political support for increased funding.
Park History
Overview History Of Golden Gate Park

In San Francisco’s Gold Rush days, the area that is now Golden Gate Park was marked on maps as part of the “great sand waste,” and untrammelled “Outside Lands,” located well beyond the reach of the city’s masses. By the end of the Civil War, the city of San Francisco emerged as the chief commerce center on the Pacific Coast, rich in the silver wealth of the Comstock and eagerly anticipating completion of a transcontinental railroad. The populace of the city, once teeming with transient fortune-seekers and speculators, now settled down to build a world-class metropolis.

In 1870 the large urban park was a new idea, and something of a social experiment. New York’s Central Park (1858), Philadelphia’s Fairmont Park (1865), and Brooklyn’s Prospect Park (1866) were the only comparable examples in the nation. The idea of a public pleasure ground for use by all classes of people was a new and democratic concept.

Frederick Law Olmsted, traveling in California in 1866, proposed a public park for San Francisco to enhance the health and morality of the citizenry, and attract capital and investment of the business community. Olmsted envisioned a series of parks: a promenade across the city to the bay, parade ground, and pleasure ground in sheltered Hayes Valley. At the same time, the federal government upheld the city’s title to the Outside Lands against claims of squatters. During the course of lengthy litigation over the Outside Lands, local politicians, led by Frank McCoppin and other citizens, rallied for establishment of a public park in the western quarter of the city. A supervisorial committee subdivided the Outside Lands and proposed an arrangement whereby squatters could donate a portion of their claims for a public park in return for clear title to the remainder of their lands. The proposal won McCoppin the Mayor’s office, and gained the approval of the state legislature. The supervisors, however, debated over the eastern boundary of the park. The majority opinion of...
supervisors Stanyan, Shrader and Cole prevailed, establishing Stanyan Street as the park's eastern limit, with an avenue extending to Baker Street. Olmsted's plan for a sheltered inland park and promenade was cast aside for economic reasons: the availability of cheap Outside lands and support of speculators who had a direct financial interest in improvements in the western section of the city.

On April 4, 1870, the state legislature passed "An Act to provide for the improvement of Public Parks in the City of San Francisco." Soon after, the newly-formed park commission advertised bonds to fund park improvements. Enough bonds were sold to finance a topographical survey of Golden Gate Park and its approach. Surveyor and engineer William Hammond Hall won the contract to survey park land, completed his report on February 15, 1871, and in August that year was appointed as engineer of the park.

Hall and his work crews took on the task of transforming the sandy, sparsely vegetated 1,017 acre park tract between Stanyan Street and the ocean into a pleasure ground which would convey "warmth, repose, and enlivenment" to citizens. Hall started work on the 270 acres in the eastern end of the park, a locale suitable for features such as a picnic ground, gardens, play and recreation area, and the avenue of approach, now known as the Panhandle. He envisioned a woodland forest on the six hundred acres west of Strawberry Hill, but first the extensive sand drifts had to be reclaimed with vegetation. Experiments revealed that lupine seed sown with fast-growing barley successfully sheltered delicate lupine strands from...
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general state of affairs. In 1886, Frederick Law Olmsted commented on the reclamation and progress of work in the park, stating that, while obviously far from its finished state, the park was "an achievement far exceeding all that I have believed possible" (F.L. Olmsted to Board of Park Commissioners, 1886). In 1890, John McLaren became park superintendent and held the post for over half a century. McLaren soon faced the greatest challenge of his career.

In the wake of the widely acclaimed World's Columbian Exposition, held in Chicago in 1893, San Francisco's park commissioners approved deviation from traditional park use and agreed to host the California Midwinter International Exposition in an undeveloped area east of newly-constructed Stow Lake. San Francisco Chronicle publisher Michael H. deYoung, who had served as a vice-president of the Chicago exposition, and banker James D. Phelan, former chairman of California's fair exhibit in Chicago, spearheaded the campaign to raise funds for the fair. Promoters hoped a California world's fair would help pull the state from the depths of a nationwide recession and showcase San Francisco's salubrious winter climate. The California Midwinter International Exposition opened on January 27, 1894 amid parades, bands and military salutes. When the fair closed six months later, over two million visitors had passed through the turnstiles, and the fair recorded a modest profit. The 200-acre Midwinter Fair left an enduring legacy on Golden Gate Park. Several exposition displays continued as park attractions, including the Japanese Tea Garden, and the Egyptian-style Fine Arts Building, which, filled with objects d'art from the fair, became a permanent museum. The fair's Grand Court became the Music Concourse. Other fair structures were demolished, and with considerable effort by Superintendent John McLaren and his crews, the bulk of the fair site returned to parkland.

At the turn of the century, under a new city charter, the park came under the direct jurisdiction of the city government instead of the state legislature. New additions included a park lodge, music stand donated by Claus Spreckels, a chain of lakes, and windmills. The growing popularity of the horseless carriage fostered new user conflicts and enforcement challenges for the park police squad.

In 1906, the park served as a place of refuge for thousands of displaced citizens in the wake of the earthquake. Refugee tent camps sprang up beside the park lodge, conservatory, and surrounded the Garfield Monument and other familiar landmarks. Barracks camps covered ball fields and straddled the abandoned Speed Road.

A number of park structures sustained heavy damage during the temblor: the Sweeney Obser-
Vatory atop Strawberry Hill twisted grotesquely and was completely destroyed, and the Children’s Quarters, art museum, emergency aid station, and Spreckels Temple of Music suffered severe damage. By the new year, the park refugee camps closed, and key park structures were repaired. One new structure, Portals of the Past, commemorated the disaster.

The neighborhoods of the Richmond and Sunset surrounding the park resounded with new building as the city’s population moved from the devastated area into the spacious Outside Lands. In 1910, voters approved a proposal to move the California Academy of Sciences from its earthquake-wrecked downtown quarters into the park. In 1915, San Francisco hosted the Panama-Pacific International Exposition to celebrate the opening of the Panama Canal and the city’s recovery from the earthquake. Groundbreaking ceremonies were held October 14, 1911, in the Polo Field in Golden Gate Park. William Hammond Hall and other concerned citizens, reminded of the impact of the MidWinter Fair on park lands, thwarted initial plans to host another grand exposition in the western portion of Golden Gate Park.

Several new facilities were added to the park in the 1920’s, including Kezar Stadium and pavilion, Willis Polk’s Beach Chalet, the Shakespeare Garden, and an expansion of the Academy of Sciences with the addition of the North American Hall and Steinhart Aquarium.

The 1930’s brought an increased acceptance of parks and recreation as a necessity of modern life rather than a moral tonic. Americans experienced an increase in leisure time brought about by shorter work weeks, technological innovation, or the high unemployment rates during the Great Depression. The Depression also fueled New Deal construction of the Angler’s Lodge, Model Yacht Club, Police Stables, Cross Over Drive, the Park Presidio Bypass, visitor comfort stations, and a water reclamation plant near the Great Highway. During the war years, San Franciscans tended victory gardens in the park along 9th Avenue. After the war, a golf course was added to the park landscape, as was a lodge annex building to house administrative operations of an expanding Recreation and Park Department.

In the tumult of the 1960’s, parks emerged as peaceful neutral terrain in troubled urban America. Golden Gate Park became San Francisco’s common ground, a gathering place and magnet for the counter-culture. Flower children from Haight-Ashbury commuted with nature on “hippie hill” and attended rock concerts and events held in the park and panhandle. Parks became valued as open space; versatile, undeveloped lands which invited the public to experience an expanding variety of athletic and cultural activities. During the decade, a growing awareness of our national cultural heritage also resulted in historic landmark recognition for pioneer urban parks, and initiatives to rehabilitate historic park buildings and features.

The last two decades have brought renewal to old Golden Gate Park attractions: rededication of the children’s playground, restoration of the park carousel, Huntington Falls, renovation of the music concourse, and initiation of park reforestation. Adoption of park objectives and policies in “The Plan for Golden Gate Park” in 1979 led to road closures at Marx Meadow Drive, Overlook Drive, and the Sixth Avenue entrance. In 1992, work was begun on the first comprehensive master plan for Golden Gate Park in this century.
Historical Development Maps

The maps on the following pages trace the development of Golden Gate Park. The information was compiled from many sources including Annual Reports to the Commission, newspaper accounts, various historical park maps, Coast and Geodetic Survey (USGS predecessor) maps, and photographs. Much of the information that is available is sketchy. There are few construction plans for the park, particularly for the early work. Most of the work was done from direction given in the field from William Hammond Hall and John McLaren. The sources that are available provide information about roads, buildings, and other park facilities. There is very little information on the landscape itself. The annual reports provide numbers and species planted but little else. William Hammond Hall was a prolific writer, and has left a good, descriptive written record. Writings by John McLaren are relatively scarce by comparison. The 1935 aerial photograph, contained in this report, is the earliest complete record of the park landscape.

The spans of years for the maps were selected to show major periods of park development:

- 1870-1889: Initial development and early years
- 1890-1899: Mid Winter Fair and related development
- 1900-1909: Early impact of automobile and post-earthquake development
- 1910-1929: Development of museums and recreation facilities
- 1930-1939: Depression-era public works projects (WPA etc.)
- 1940-Present: Post-war development and modern period
Other Events
1870 - Survey of land by William Hammond Hall
1871 - East end fenced
1871 - Nursery and greenhouse on present lodge site
1871 - William Hammond Hall appointed Engineer of the Park
1874 - Four rustic shelters constructed in northeastern park
   (designed by Anton Gerster)
1886 - Frederick Law Olmsted visits Golden Gate Park
1887 - John McLaren appointed Assistant Superintendent

The Development of Golden Gate Park
1870-1889

GOLDEN GATE PARK MASTER PLAN
Other Events
1890 - John McLaren appointed Superintendent
1894 - Mid-Winter Fair
1896 - Casino Removed
1899 - Park placed under jurisdiction of City rather than State Legislature

The Development of Golden Gate Park
1890-1899
The Development of Golden Gate Park
1900-1909
The Development of Golden Gate Park
1910-1929
Other Events
1930's - Works Progress Administration and other Federal programs
1930's - Aviary removed

The Development of Golden Gate Park
1930-1939
Other Events
1969 - JFK Drive Sunday closure
1979 - Objectives and Policies for park adopted
1980 - Reforestation program started
1981 - Marx Meadow Drive removed
1981 - 6th Avenue entrance closed to vehicles
1985 - Transportation Management Plan adopted
1993 - Sunset Richmond Sewage Plant closed

The Development of Golden Gate Park
1940-Present
Objectives and Policies
Golden Gate Park Objectives and Policies
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Golden Gate Park Mission Statement

The purpose of Golden Gate Park is to serve as an open space preserve in the midst of the San Francisco. This historic park is a cultivated pastoral and sylvan landscape, defined by an abundant evergreen woodland. It is designed and managed to afford opportunities for all to experience beauty, tranquillity, recreation, and relief from urban pressures.

Objectives and Policies Statement of Purpose

THE PURPOSE OF THE OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES IS TO PRESERVE GOLDEN GATE PARK'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE DIVERSITY OF CULTURAL, NATURAL, AND RECREATIONAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO PARK VISITORS FROM SAN FRANCISCO, THE BAY REGION, AND ELSEWHERE. GOLDEN GATE PARK SHOULD BE RECOGNIZED AS AN IMPORTANT AMERICAN CULTURAL RESOURCE.

Golden Gate Park's 1,017 acres have provided areas of pastoral and sylvan retreat and places for active recreation since 1872. As they have throughout the park's history, local residents and regional visitors alike take pleasure in Golden Gate Park. Its reputation is international.

Golden Gate Park is under the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Recreation and Park Commission which is concerned with the future well-being of the park. The Objectives and Policies for Golden Gate Park are intended to establish guidelines for preservation, use and development of the park by a process that includes the participation of planning staff, expert advisors, and community involvement. The park was built from an original plan by William Hammond Hall. Much of the original plan survives, providing the framework for today's park. The park continues to evolve, as our society changes and evolves. Respect for the original design intent will enable future generations to receive as great a living legacy as we have inherited.

William Hammond Hall, envisioned the park in two different regions. The park land east of Strawberry Hill includes a variety of intensively cultivated areas and developed facilities while the park land to the west is a pastoral and woodland landscape with open meadows defined by stands of trees and enhanced by lakes.

John McLaren, providing continuity of leadership as the park's superintendent for fifty-six years, brought the park's development to fruition. An experienced horticulturist and forester, McLaren devoted his energies toward the development and protection of an abundant evergreen woodland, establishing the park's characteristic landscape as we experience it today.

It is expected that the Golden Gate Park Master Plan will retain the integrity of the original design, yet will have sufficient flexibility to address society's evolving needs.
Objectives

OBJECTIVE I  LAND USE AND ACTIVITIES
Ensure that land uses and activities in Golden Gate Park contribute to the mission and purpose of the park. The activities within a designated land use zone should be appropriate to the land use purpose.

OBJECTIVE II  LANDSCAPE PRESERVATION AND RENEWAL
Provide for the protection and renewal of the park landscape.

OBJECTIVE III  PARK CIRCULATION
Create and maintain a parkwide system of recreational roadways, pathways, and trails. Minimize motor vehicular traffic.

OBJECTIVE IV  BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, AND MONUMENTS
Minimize the impacts that buildings and monuments have on the park landscape, and preserve the open space of Golden Gate Park. Maintain and preserve historic buildings and structures.

OBJECTIVE V  RECREATIONAL USES AND FACILITIES
Ensure that recreational uses of Golden Gate Park are appropriate to the park environment and purpose.

OBJECTIVE VI  PARK MANAGEMENT AND SECURITY
Ensure that park management implements adopted policies, preserves the park’s resources, and operates and maintains the park efficiently.

OBJECTIVE VII  COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT AND PROCESS
Foster community participation in guiding the future of Golden Gate Park.
Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE I - LAND USE AND ACTIVITIES

ENSURE THAT LAND USES AND ACTIVITIES IN GOLDEN GATE PARK CONTRIBUTE TO THE MISSION AND PURPOSE OF THE PARK. THE ACTIVITIES WITHIN A DESIGNATED LAND USE ZONE SHOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO THE LAND USE PURPOSE.

The land use portion of the Objectives and Policies has two primary goals: to identify appropriate land uses within the park and to identify what uses and activities are appropriate within each land use zone. The major land use zones are identified on the park Land Use Map. The map also identifies non-conforming uses and vehicle circulation and parking areas (which are addressed in Objective III). The land use designations are intended to preserve the design intent and address current needs. Each zone has a distinct character and purpose. The land use zones encompass the park’s general landscape types. Ancillary uses, such as play areas, may be located within several zones, such as major meadows and lawns and naturalistic parkland.

Land use agreements, permits, concessions, and leases should meet a standard of appropriateness, in accordance with the City Charter (Section 7.403) that stipulates that all permits and leases shall be issued only for recreational purposes.

Areas or facilities in Golden Gate Park that are not serving an essential recreational, cultural, or operations/maintenance purpose, should be declared a non-conforming use and studied for the feasibility of removal and relocation outside of Golden Gate Park. Determinations of non-conforming uses should include consideration of the provisions of the City Charter (Section 7.403) and policies concerning non-recreational uses contained in the Recreation and Open Space Element of the City’s Master Plan. Where uses or facilities are proposed to be removed, a special area study should be conducted to determine the best park use of the site.

POLICY A - NATURALISTIC PARKLAND

Naturalistic parkland comprises the largest land category in Golden Gate Park, and must be preserved to protect the pastoral character of the park and to ensure the retention of park open space. Naturalistic parkland is the predominant landscape of the park and gives the park its visual character.

1. Areas designated as “Naturalistic Parkland” include the park’s woodlands, most of the scenic lakes, ponds, marshes, watercourses, and wildlife habitats.

2. Recreational use of areas designated as “Naturalistic Parkland” should emphasize and encourage appreciation of the park’s pastoral qualities. Appropriate activities include nature walks, bird watching, photography, and educational pursuits.

POLICY B - MAJOR MEADOWS AND LAWNS

Major meadow and lawn areas include many of the largest open spaces within the park and host both passive and active recreational uses. The vistas that they create, and the relationship between these open spaces and the park’s woodlands and buildings should be preserved.

1. The open spaces of meadows and lawns should be preserved, with no encroachment by woodlands, specimen plantings, or structures.

2. Major meadows and lawns should be adaptable to host a wide variety of activities, rather than designed for a specific use.

3. Appropriate uses for major meadows and lawns include picnicking, sunbathing, informal lawn sports, other unstructured recreation, public assembly and other events as defined in the Commission’s Permit and Reservation Policy.

POLICY C - MAJOR RECREATION AREAS

The major recreation areas within Golden Gate Park have been established to meet specific recreational needs. The land within major recreation areas is programmed or designed for specific types of recreation or sports.
1. Major recreation areas are designed and maintained for specific, structured and programmed recreational uses and include designated turf areas, courts, and water bodies, and are subject to permit reservations.

2. Major recreation areas, as identified on the Land Use Map, host activities such as archery, soccer, baseball, football, polo, golf, fly casting (at the fly casting pools), track, lawn bowling, tennis, model boating (at Spreckels Lake), public assembly and other events as defined in the Commission’s Permit and Reservation Policy. Visitor centers, restrooms and other support services may be included in recreation areas.

3. Kezar Stadium’s primary function is that of a major youth serving sports and general recreation facility in Golden Gate Park. Its reconstruction in 1991 was done in a manner which recognized its sensitive location in the southeast corner of the Park, adjacent residential neighborhoods, shopping districts, major health care facilities, and restricted automobile parking opportunities.

   a. The use and permit regulations of the stadium are governed by the Kezar Stadium Operations Policy adopted by the Recreation and Park Commission and shall be consistent with the Environmental Evaluation of March 1988. The primary components of this policy are:

      - Kezar Stadium should provide school age teams a first class track and field facility and recreation opportunities to the general public.

      - Kezar Stadium shall be operated in a manner which minimizes noise, traffic, and parking impacts of permitted events on adjacent neighborhoods and parklands.

3. To provide, in an aesthetically pleasing landscaped setting, a place for reflection, enjoyment, and relaxation for the public.

POLICY E - INDIGENOUS OAK PRESERVES
Existing major indigenous oak woodlands in the park should be designated as indigenous oak preserves. Manage the preserves to ensure their continued existence and to recognize the historical and ecological importance of the oak woodlands.

1. Areas identified as indigenous oak preserves on the land use map include forest areas that are composed predominantly of oaks and other oak woodland plant community species.

2. Manage the oak woodlands to preserve their existence by planting acorns, oak seedlings, and other oak woodland plant community species.

3. Uses within this zone must be compatible with the management goals of maintaining and preserving the oak woodlands.

4. Incorporate the significance of the oak woodlands in park interpretive programs.

POLICY F - SPECIAL HORTICULTURAL AREAS
Park horticultural gardens and formally landscaped areas provide the contrast and pictur-
esque qualities essential to complete the park experience. These areas are characterized by seasonal plantings of flowers, perennial flowering plants, unique and interesting plant collections, formal or distinctive designs, and generally require more intensive maintenance than other areas. The historic location and traditional horticultural usage of these features should be maintained and protected from encroachment. Special horticultural areas in Golden Gate Park include the Conservatory and its gardens, the Japanese Tea Garden, the Rose Garden, the Rhododendron Dell, the Fuchsia Dell, the tree ferns groves and others as identified on the Land Use Map.

POLICY G: MUSIC CONCOURSE AREA
The Music Concourse and the institutions around it, including the Academy of Sciences, the deYoung Museum, and the Asian Art Museum, are important elements that fit within the overall park landscape and contribute to the park’s unique character and history.

1. The Music Concourse is a unique and distinctive civic space within the park that provides an appropriate setting for cultural activities and institutions.

2. The California Academy of Sciences, the M.H. deYoung Memorial Museum, and the Asian Art Museum, which contribute to the cultural focus of the Music Concourse area, have an historic and on-going role in Golden Gate Park that should continue and be supported.

3. The historic location and traditional horticultural usage of these features should be maintained and protected from encroachment. Special horticultural areas in Golden Gate Park include the Conservatory and its gardens, the Japanese Tea Garden, the Rose Garden, the Rhododendron Dell, the Fuchsia Dell, the tree ferns groves and others as identified on the Land Use Map.

POLICY H - MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS AREAS
Maintenance and operations areas are necessary within the park to facilitate management, maintenance and preservation of Golden Gate Park.

1. Maintenance areas and buildings should be designed for optimal efficiency and minimum impact upon the park, including visual screening. Where possible, maintenance areas should be consolidated and reduced in size.

2. Field staff structures, storage sheds, and equipment shelters should not be intrusive in high use activity areas and should be adequately screened.
OBJECTIVE II - LANDSCAPE PRESERVATION AND RENEWAL

PROVIDE FOR THE PROTECTION AND RENEWAL OF THE PARK LANDSCAPE.

"A park... should be an agglomeration of hill and dale, meadow, lawn, wood and coppice, presenting a series of sylvan and pastoral views, calculated to banish all thoughts of urban objects, and lead the imagination to picture space beyond as a continued succession of rural scenes and incidents."

William Hammond Hall
November 30, 1873

POLICY A - PRESERVE THE DESIGN INTEGRITY OF GOLDEN GATE PARK
Golden Gate Park has evolved from an original landscape design that provides unity and integrity. The original design intent shall be preserved.

1. All activities, features and facilities in Golden Gate Park should respect the unique design and character of the park.

2. The major design feature of Golden Gate Park and the framework within which all park activities occur is its pastoral and sylvan landscape. The integrity of the pastoral and sylvan landscape must be maintained and remain unaltered.

3. The existing form of woodlands and their relationship to meadow areas should be maintained. The size, the basic texture, and color of park woodlands should not be significantly altered nor should the size of meadows be reduced by the introduction of additional trees.

4. It should be recognized that the park, by design intent, is basically evergreen and is divided into two distinct areas. The park land east of Strawberry Hill was designed as a more finished park that includes a variety of intensively cultivated areas and developed facilities while the park land to the west was intended as woodland landscape with open meadows defined by stands of trees and enhanced by lakes. Large-scale introduction of deciduous or "flowering" trees in areas other than traditional horticultural gardens should be discouraged, particularly in the western park. The following is an excerpt from an 1886 report by William Hammond Hall to the Park Commissioners which explains his design concept for the park in his own words:

"It was designed that the six hundred or more acres of the reservation including and lying west of Strawberry Hill, and its connecting ridge, should be simply treated as a woodland or forest, with all the hills and ridges more or less heavily timbered, and the valleys covered with lower-growing shrubs or field grasses; that the four hundred or less acres east of the hill and ridge should be treated as a more finished park, with its tree plantations in smaller masses or groups, principally on the higher grounds, and its several notable valleys occupied by such special features as a picnic ground; a garden - including a conservatory and semi-tropical exhibit; a children's quarter - including a dairy-house and play grounds; a recreation ground for sports of older people; a lawn, with lake and water terrace; a manor house and grounds, with concourses for carriages and pedestrians; and an open air concert auditorium..."

5. It should be recognized that, because the park's landscape is predominantly a human made creation, its care and maintenance is highly labor intensive. Sufficient numbers of qualified personnel must be retained to ensure the park's continued preservation and maintenance.

6. No changes or alterations to any park feature should occur without consideration...
of the parkwide effects. Emphasis should only be given to activities which do not diminish open space.

7. The acreage and function of Strybing Arboretum and Botanical Gardens should be preserved and protected.

8. The park’s lakes and water features are important design elements. They also serve as important wildlife habitats. The lakes and water features should be managed to maintain water quality and levels, as well as wildlife habitat and recreational values.

9. Special Events must be strictly regulated to avoid damage to the park landscape.

10. Soil erosion has become a serious problem in some areas of the park because of overuse or misuse, lack of protection of tree roots on slopes, and the fragile nature of the sandy soil. The soil should be managed and protected to minimize erosion and ensure continued growth of the trees and other plants.

POLICY B - PRESERVE AND RENEW THE PARK’S FORESTS
Continue the implementation of a long-range plan for effective management of the park’s forested areas.

1. The Golden Gate Park forest management plan is based on the following objectives:
   a. Develop and maintain on a continuous basis a comprehensive and appropriately detailed inventory of all wooded areas.
   b. Maintain a continuous reforestation program.
   c. Maintain and improve wood-waste recycling and utilization programs.

2. The forest management program should focus on:
   a. Continued rehabilitation of the wind-breaks throughout the park.
   b. Removal of hazardous, diseased and dying trees; replacement with appropriate tree species. (Some dead/dying trees should be retained for wildlife habitat and ecological purposes.)
   c. Replacement and maintenance of park perimeter landscape screening, with consideration given to security and landscape design.
   d. Maintaining the original design intent. For example: restoration of the forest canopy on the hills and ridges, and avoiding encroachment of trees in meadow areas.
   e. The placement of dedicated trees should be appropriate to the landscape setting and avoid encroaching on meadow areas.
   f. Restoration of specimen plantings that contribute to the distinctive character of the park’s eastern landscape.
   g. Control of invasive plant species.
   h. Thinning of young trees, where appropriate, to improve forest growth.

3. Maintain the designated indigenous oak preserves for their natural and historical values as the only remaining indigenous woodlands in the park. Preserve existing oak trees in other areas as well.
   a. A specific management plan should be established for the oak preserves which are identified on the park land use map.
   b. Exotic and invasive understory vegetation should be removed in the oak preserves and replaced with native plants appropriate to oak woodlands.
   c. Oak woodlands should be perpetuated by the planting of acorns and oak seedlings.
   d. There are many other oak trees not within the oak preserves. These trees should be maintained and preserved within their respective landscape settings.

POLICY C - WILDLIFE AND HABITAT
Golden Gate Park provides important habitat for wildlife within San Francisco. Habitat values should be preserved and enhanced throughout the park. Designate and manage areas or zones within the park that are identified as having high natural resource values.
1. Manage, protect, and enhance the park's landscape for wildlife habitat and other natural values. Managing the landscape for these values should include preserving and enhancing food sources, nesting sites, and roosting sites; thinning and providing openings in the forest canopy; and maintaining understory vegetation.

2. Continue diversification of tree species within the park by planting California native species such as oak, buckeye, madrone, bay laurel, and toyon, where appropriate.

3. Preserve selected dead and aging trees for habitat value.

4. Maintain water quality and water levels in the park's lakes, marshes, and water features to preserve habitat values.

5. Designate areas within the park that have special resources or habitat values as natural resource areas. Natural resource areas should be managed to preserve and enhance the natural resource values. Control park uses in and near natural resource areas to preserve natural values.

6. Abandonment of domestic animals is a continuing problem in the park. A collaborative effort involving the Recreation and Park Department, Animal Welfare and Control Commission, the Society for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA), and other concerned groups, should coordinate efforts to discourage pet abandonment and establish a humane program to reduce, to the greatest extent possible, the number of feral animals in the park. This program may include rehabilitation and adoption of appropriate animals, and spaying/neutering and inoculation of feral animals. The goal of these efforts will be to reduce the feral animal populations in the park, coordinate and support population management efforts, and minimize impact on the park environment.

6. The landscapes surrounding lakes should be managed to promote the lake's wildlife habitat values.

POLICY E - WATER SUPPLY AND IRRIGATION SYSTEM
Develop new irrigation water supplies and improve water distribution and application systems.

1. Provide consistent water pressures and volumes to irrigate the entire park.

2. Improve and expand reservoirs to allow increased night watering.

3. Improve and maintain the existing well system. Where feasible, restore inoperative wells.

4. Plan for the future use of reclaimed water where appropriate in the park as mandated by the San Francisco reclaimed water ordinance. Analyze the impacts of reclaimed water on humans, wildlife, sensitive plants, irrigation lines, water quality in lakes and water features, and maintenance and cost considerations. Where possible provide a flexible system that can use reclaimed water or well water.

5. Continue the installation of automatic irrigation systems where appropriate.

6. A new irrigation and water distribution
system should include an automated monitoring and control system to increase irrigation efficiency and reduce operation costs.

POLICY F - SUSTAINABLE LANDSCAPE PRINCIPLES
The principles of “sustainable landscape” should be applied to management practices, landscape design, plant selection, and irrigation methods. Sustainability implies balance and permanence: balance between renewable resources and the needs of the park; balance between maintaining the park in good health and the needs of the community. A sustainable park landscape will be healthy and in balance: biologically, economically, and socially.

POLICY G - BISON PADDOCK
The bison have been an historical feature in Golden Gate Park since 1891, and should be preserved. Bison were first placed in the park when San Francisco began a captive breeding program to help prevent the extinction of North America’s largest land mammal.

1. The bison paddock should be renovated for continued maintenance of a Bison herd and meet requirements for zoological exhibits.

2. An adequate irrigation system should be established to permit maintenance of the paddock vegetation.

3. Interpretive signs and programs should inform visitors about the history of bison in Golden Gate Park, the ecological role of bison, and the relationship and significance of bison to Native Americans.
OBJECTIVE III - PARK CIRCULATION

CREATE AND MAINTAIN A PARKWIDE SYSTEM OF RECREATIONAL ROADWAYS, PATHWAYS, AND TRAILS. MINIMIZE MOTOR VEHICULAR TRAFFIC.

Management of Golden Gate Park's circulation system should, as a primary goal, create and maintain a system of recreational pathways, trails, and roadways where the order of priority should be to accommodate pedestrians, bicycles and vehicles for the purpose of enjoying the park.

Access must be provided to all park activity areas, especially for persons with disabilities, senior citizens, and families with young children. The challenge is to balance the need to provide adequate and convenient parking for those visitors driving to the park, with the desire to reduce vehicle traffic in the park to enhance the park experience.

Efforts to reduce park automobile traffic and dependency on the private automobile as the primary mode of internal circulation, are desirable. Reducing park automobile traffic, particularly through traffic, will necessitate changes in established driving patterns within the park and adjoining neighborhoods.

Gradual, carefully planned and phased implementation, coordinated with the Department of Parking and Traffic and other agencies, will create a recreational roadway, pathway, and trail system that will improve the park visitor's enjoyment and safety, protect the park's environment, and reduce impacts on adjacent neighborhoods. Measures taken to minimize vehicular traffic within the park should be in accord with the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan of the City of San Francisco. Environmental impacts will be examined prior to implementation. Enforcement of parking and traffic regulations is a critical component of a successful transportation management plan.

A well-financed park shuttle system should be designed to effectively and conveniently convey park visitors to and within the park.

POLICY A - ACCESSIBILITY
Access must be provided to all park activity areas and programs, including access for persons with disabilities, senior citizens, and families with young children. Accessibility must meet the requirements of all applicable codes and regulations for persons with disabilities.

POLICY B - PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION
Provide an accessible pedestrian circulation system that promotes safe and enjoyable pedestrian activities.

1. Pedestrian enjoyment and safety may require separation of footpaths from roadways, grade separation of footpaths from roadways in heavily trafficked areas, and low speed limits for all vehicles.

2. Trails and pathways that are designated as multiple use trails should be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrians and other users.

3. Pedestrian entrances to the park and to activity areas should be thoughtfully designed to invite use and promote safety.

4. Pedestrian scaled night lighting should be considered along selected primary paths and where evening activities occur.

POLICY C - BICYCLE CIRCULATION
Provide for the safe and convenient use of the bicycle as a means of recreation and transportation to, within, and through Golden Gate Park. Provide continuity with the City bikeways plan.

1. A system of bikeways on roads and designated pathways that meets the needs of commuter and recreational bicyclists, and includes opportunities for bicyclists of all abilities should be provided.

2. Bicycle use should be encouraged through provision of secure bicycle parking facilities at activity centers, at large events, and at employee areas.

3. Standards for bikeways and bicycle parking facilities and services should be identified.

4. Bicycle planning efforts should be developed and evaluated in cooperation with interested groups, bicyclists, the Department of Parking
and Traffic's Bicycle Coordinator, the Bicycle Advisory Committee, and the Department of Public Works.

5. Regulatory signs and a bikeway map should be posted at park entries to improve bicycling safety. Bicyclists should also be informed that on-road cycling is subject to vehicle code regulations.

6. Roads which are removed from motor vehicle circulation should be considered for bicycle use.

POLICY D - MOTOR VEHICLE CIRCULATION
Create a park roadway system that allows internal park motor vehicle circulation and provides access to park facilities.

1. Ensure emergency and service vehicle access within and through the park.

2. Place informational and regulatory signs at all park vehicle entrances that encourage appropriate vehicle use in the park.

3. Enforcement measures should be identified and implemented with the cooperation of the Department of Parking and Traffic and the Police Department. If feasible, revenues from traffic citations should support the Recreation and Park Department, including the park shuttle system.

4. The park speed limit of 25 MPH should be enforced on all park roads.

5. Where feasible, motor vehicle roadways should be separated from other transportation modes.

6. No additional roads shall be built within the park. The park should be protected from encroachment by roadways from outside the park.

7. Where appropriate, curbs should be installed along roadways to protect adjacent landscape areas.

POLICY E - NON-PARK TRAFFIC
Restrict non-park motor traffic to designated throughways in a manner that fully separates business, shopping, and commute traffic from the park experience.

1. The Great Highway, Crossover Drive, Park Presidio Bypass, Kezar Drive, Stanyan Street, Lincoln Way, Fulton Street, Masonic Avenue, and Baker Street should be the basic components of a designated throughway system. Private vehicular access to the park proper should be limited from designated throughways.

2. Designated throughways should be screened by vegetation to minimize their visual impact.

3. Where park circulation systems must cross a designated throughway, grade separations should be considered.

4. Some provision should be made for north-south through traffic movement in the western half of the park. Chain of Lakes Drive carries north-south through traffic, but should remain a minor roadway in keeping with the western park's "naturalistic" character.

5. East-west through traffic should be discouraged and directed onto perimeter roads.

POLICY F - REMOVAL OF PARK ROADWAYS.
Roadways that are not required for access to park facilities, and are not part of the designated throughway system, should be removed and replaced with appropriate landscaping and recreational pathways.

1. Access requirements must reflect public safety, park operations, internal transport, and address Federal and State accessibility regulations.

2. Redesign intersections to remove excess pavement.

POLICY G - WEEKEND AND HOLIDAY ROADWAY CLOSURES
Selected weekend and holiday roadway closures to motor vehicles should be implemented and maintained. Closures should not impede access to the Music Concourse, particularly for transit vehicles, persons with disabilities, senior citizens, and families with young children.
POLICY H - PARK SHUTTLE SYSTEM
Provide for the implementation of a shuttle system to improve access and reduce traffic and congestion.

1. An internal park shuttle should be coordinated with MUNI services.

2. The route utilized for any park shuttle system should provide access to major facilities, features and activity areas.

3. Internal shuttle vehicles should be carefully selected to ensure that the system will be energy efficient, provide adequate space for picnic and sports equipment and be easily used by persons with disabilities, senior citizens, and families with young children.

POLICY I - PUBLIC TRANSIT
Encourage the use of public transit for travel to Golden Gate Park and adjoining recreation areas.

1. Public transit improvements should be aimed at increasing city-wide and region-wide access to Golden Gate Park. Service between MUNI and other transit providers should be coordinated to encourage transit use. Service must be frequent and convenient. Transit services should be encouraged to transport bicycles.

2. Foster public transit programs that will encourage the use of parks other than Golden Gate Park that are now underutilized or relatively inaccessible.

3. Develop transit incentive programs for park users and employees. These might include reduced entry fees, informational brochures, and subsidized Fast Passes for employees.

POLICY J - PRIVATE TOUR VEHICLES
Regulate private tour vehicle use of Golden Gate Park by designating in-park routes and restricting tour vehicle parking to specified areas.

1. Use of park roadways by tour buses and other modes such as horse-drawn carriages and pedicabs should be regulated to ensure a balance between visitor service and protection of the park's landscape character.

2. Tour vehicle parking areas should be carefully sited to ensure that their impacts on the park environment are minimal. Landscaping should be employed to effectively screen these areas.

3. Tour bus engines should be turned off when buses are parked.

POLICY K - PARKING
Parking for park visitors should be sensitive to the park environment. Discourage all-day commuter parking along park roadways. Individual parking meters as a means of control are not compatible with the park environment and should not be employed.

2. Parking regulations should consider impacts to pedestrian and bicyclist safety, and to park destinations.

3. Employees of the Recreation and Park Department, and other facilities within the park should be encouraged to carpool, bicycle, or take public transit to work to reduce the impacts and parking congestion caused by employee vehicles.

POLICY L - TRAFFIC SAFETY
Develop and implement a comprehensive traffic safety and control program for all transportation modes. Measures should include, but not be limited to, improved striping, pavement messages, and signs. Traffic regulatory signs, pavement messages, and striping are under the jurisdiction of the Department of Parking and Traffic. Signs, striping and pavement messages should be evaluated and renewed with adequate frequency to ensure safety. Where possible, traffic regulatory signs should be coordinated with other park signs.

POLICY M - TRAFFIC GENERATORS
Major traffic generators, within Golden Gate Park or adjacent to the park, preparing development or improvement plans or staging major activities shall be required to prepare a transportation analysis or environmental evaluation.
Golden Gate Park Master Plan

Bold: new text from current Master Plan process
Plain: text remaining from the existing adopted Objectives and Policies (1979-85)

detailing possible transportation impacts to Golden Gate Park. Where appropriate, such development plans, improvement programs, or activities should provide a transportation management system that will prevent additional motor vehicle congestion, user conflicts, and all-day parking by non-recreational users within Golden Gate Park and encourage alternative modes of transportation.

1. Large events shall provide transportation management plans as required in the Recreation and Park Commission Permit and Reservation Policy. Transportation management plans shall be reviewed by the Department of Parking and Traffic, Traffic Engineering Division.

2. Implementation of transportation management plans should be monitored and evaluated.

OBJECTIVE IV - BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, AND MONUMENTS

MINIMIZE THE IMPACTS THAT BUILDINGS AND MONUMENTS HAVE ON THE PARK LANDSCAPE, AND PRESERVE THE OPEN SPACE OF GOLDEN GATE PARK.

MAINTAIN AND PRESERVE HISTORIC BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES.

POLICY A - NEW CONSTRUCTION
Restrict construction of additional buildings, structures or monuments in Golden Gate Park. It should be recognized that additional structures in the park would disrupt the balance that presently exists between open space for general park use and special uses requiring buildings.

1. New special use facilities such as museums, recreation centers, and stadiums that are not essential to the mission of Golden Gate Park should be sited in areas other than the park.

2. Installation of new statues or monuments in the park should be discouraged, as they detract from the park's design intent, and are generally not compatible with naturalistic parkland. Exceptions to this policy may be considered within the Music Concourse area for items from the museums' collections, and for a sculpture garden adjacent to the Beach Chalet.

POLICY B - HISTORIC STRUCTURES
Preserve notable park structures that have
3. Structural modifications resulting in expansion of an existing facility, including the California Academy of Science, the Asian Art Museum, and the M.H. deYoung Memorial Museum, should only be considered where:
   a. There is a clearly demonstrated need for a defined service to the public that cannot be met by modifications within the existing building.
   b. Sufficient, detailed proof is available that alternative sites outside the park have been studied and that the proposed addition can be located only in the area in question.
   c. The effects on the park of the proposed addition have been fully assessed to ensure that expansion will not necessitate additional surface parking, access roads, or have deleterious effect on the park landscape.
   d. Sufficient effort will be expended to assure the very best architectural quality.
   e. Design plans for any proposed addition will include measures and mitigations that minimize visual impacts upon the park environment.

POLICY F - ENERGY AND RESOURCE CONSERVATION
Encourage energy and resource conservation and recycling systems that would contribute to efficient management and operation of Golden Gate Park. New structures, or substantially remodeled existing structures, should, where feasible, incorporate energy and resource conservation systems.
OBJECTIVE V - RECREATIONAL USES AND FACILITIES

ENSURE THAT RECREATIONAL USES OF GOLDEN GATE PARK ARE APPROPRIATE TO THE PARK ENVIRONMENT AND PURPOSE.

Golden Gate Park hosts a wide variety of recreational uses throughout the park. This includes passive and unstructured recreational uses that can occur in numerous areas of the park such as sunbathing, frisbee, relaxation, and reading. There are also active and structured recreational uses that take place in specific locations designed or designated for uses such as tennis, baseball, soccer, model boat sailing, golf, and archery. Recreational uses, particularly new ones, should be evaluated for impacts to the park and to park users.

POLICY A - CHILDREN’S RECREATION AND SUPERVISION

The Recreation and Park Department should continue to provide regular supervision and recreation for children, especially at the Mary B. Connolly Children’s Playground.

POLICY B - EQUESTRIAN FACILITIES

The programs of the equestrian facility should serve a broad spectrum of users. Designated equestrian trails should be adequately designed and maintained to provide a firm surface and to minimize erosion. The existing equestrian facilities should be renovated to meet the requirements of building codes and regulations, accessibility, and animal welfare.

POLICY C - OFF-ROAD BICYCLE USE

Bicycle use on other than paved roads should be restricted to paths and trails that are designated for such use. Paths designated for bicycle use should be adequately designed to promote safe use by all users. Use restrictions should be communicated with appropriate signs, and education programs should be used to encourage responsible trail use and inform users about protecting park resources. Regulations should be effectively enforced.

POLICY D - SKATING

Skating should be restricted to designated paths and areas within the park. Pathways and areas designated for skating should be designed for safety and to minimize conflicts with other park users. Use restrictions should be communicated with appropriate signs, and education programs should be used to encourage responsible use. Regulations should be effectively enforced.
OBJECTIVE VI - PARK MANAGEMENT AND SECURITY

ENSURE THAT PARK MANAGEMENT IMPLEMENTS ADOPTED POLICIES, PRESERVES THE PARK'S RESOURCES, AND OPERATES AND MAINTAINS THE PARK EFFICIENTLY.

POLICY A - LANDSCAPE DESIGN OVERSIGHT

A position with expertise and training in park planning and landscape design should be established within the Recreation and Park Department with the responsibility and authority, in conference with the Superintendent of Parks and the Park Planning office, to review and/or recommend for approval all landscape and architectural designs, modifications, structures, features, and maintenance procedures, as well as prepare landscape design plans, to ensure continuity of the park design and implementation of adopted policy.

POLICY B - PARK AMENITIES

Improve and maintain park amenities and ensure adequate visitor service.

1. Restrooms, drinking fountains, trash receptacles, benches, secure bicycle parking, and telephones should be provided at convenient locations throughout the park and properly maintained. Amenities must meet all applicable accessibility codes and regulations.

2. These amenities should be consistent with the intensity of activity of the particular area and should not detract visually or physically from the character of the park.

POLICY C - VISITOR INFORMATION

Information should be provided to visitors to enrich their park experience, to direct visitors to park features, and to communicate park regulations.

1. Historic, environmental, educational, and general information about the park and activities therein should be made available to the resident and visitor through programs, tours, literature and exhibits.

2. One or more park visitor centers should be created to provide information and exhibits to educate visitors about the park's uses, history, and resources; to serve as a staging area for interpretive activities; and to provide an opportunity for the sale of park related merchandise. Visitor center(s) should use existing park buildings. A small visitor information kiosk may be considered to provide more limited services at a strategic location such as the Music Concourse.

3. Outdoor advertisements of future or current events are a visual intrusion in the park environment and should continue to be strictly regulated as defined by the Park Code. Agencies which desire to give notice of events should confine such activities to public media announcements, the Recreation and Park Department events calendar, or to an area within an existing building.

4. Visitor information must be available to persons with disabilities and meet the requirements of all applicable codes and regulations.

POLICY D - SIGNS

Signs to communicate information about the park and regulations regarding its use should be used effectively and efficiently. Minimize the number of signs.

1. A park sign plan setting forth guidelines and standards should be prepared, adopted, and implemented.

2. Informational, directional, and interpretive signs and maps should be standardized to optimize communication and should be sited effectively to avoid visual clutter and degradation of the park experience.

3. General park information signs should be placed at all park entries with information about park uses, regulations, and restrictions.
POLICY E - SPECIAL EVENTS
Events that attract large numbers of participants or spectators should continue to be regulated under the Recreation and Park Commission policies for permit and reservation issuance to prevent degradation of the park’s landscape and reduce impacts on adjoining neighborhoods. Large gatherings may well be accommodated in other San Francisco parks, balancing the citywide recreational program and alleviating wear and tear on Golden Gate Park. Ongoing use of park meadow areas and athletic fields should be carefully monitored so that measures may be taken to allow adequate turf and landscape recovery time.

1. Proposed events should be reviewed to determine that they meet a standard of appropriateness, in accordance with the City Charter (Section 7.403) that stipulates that all permits and leases shall be issued only for recreational purposes.

2. Locations of events within the park should be reviewed to ensure that the event is appropriate for that location. Factors to consider should include the area’s existing land use and potential damage. Carrying capacity should be determined for each area based on size and environmental sensitivity.

3. Fees, deposits, and performance bonds paid by events to the Recreation and Park Department should be adequate to cover additional maintenance costs and repairs of any potential damage.

POLICY F - CONCESSIONS
Concessions are a cost effective way to provide some visitor services in Golden Gate Park. Concession services should be consistent with adopted policies, the purposes and environment of the park, the City Charter (Section 7.403), and should continue to be regulated and closely supervised.

1. It is desirable for merchandising concessions that provide service on a long term and continuous basis to be located within an existing building.

2. Mobile cart food concessions should meet visual design standards and permits should be reviewed with the Superintendent of Parks and park planning staff to ensure compliance with policy and design standards.

3. All vendors should establish effective litter control and permanent concession facilities should provide an enclosed garbage disposal area.

4. Lease agreements permitting the sale of merchandise should include the sale of items that relate to the park, its landscape, features, historic buildings, and recreational activities.

POLICY G - GIFTS AND DONATIONS
Ensure that gifts accepted for placement in Golden Gate Park will contribute to the historic character of the park and are compatible with the park environment.

POLICY H - RECYCLING
Recyclable material generated within the park should be recycled within a designated area. Volunteer programs to remove litter from the park and to increase public awareness about recycling and the impact of litter on the park should be increased. Recycled materials should be considered for all appropriate uses within Golden Gate Park.

POLICY I - PARK MAINTENANCE VEHICLES
The use of alternative energy vehicles should be encouraged to reduce emissions within the park.
POLICY J - PARK SECURITY
A sense of security is essential for park users to enjoy their park experience. The park should be, to the greatest extent possible, free of the problems of urban life. Providing a secure park environment should be a high priority.

1. Security systems and park patrols shall continue to be employed throughout the park. Mounted, bicycle and motorized patrols, and other high-visibility security measures should be continued and expanded to protect park visitors and property.

2. Night Lighting should be installed in areas receiving nighttime use. Adequate roadway and pathway lighting should be provided to improve safety for pedestrians, joggers, and bicyclists. Park lighting should not detract visually or physically from the character of the park.

3. Illegal activities including drug use and sales, and camping shall not be tolerated in Golden Gate Park. Efforts should be made to eliminate illegal activities through cooperation with community groups, the San Francisco Police Department, the District Attorney’s Office, the Department of Social Services, the Health Department, and the Department of Public Works. Camping in the park damages the park landscape, creates litter and fire hazards, and reduces the perception of the park as a safe place to visit.

POLICY K - ADJACENT URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Urban development adjacent to Golden Gate Park should be consistent with the unique qualities of the park. Development or design modifications within Golden Gate Park should not adversely affect the adjacent neighborhoods. As the intensity of development outside of the park increases, it should not visually intrude upon the park. The Recreation and Park Department should work cooperatively with the Planning Department, and other City departments, to review potential impacts of proposed projects to the park.

POLICY L - PETS
Dogs and other pets must be under the control of owners at all times. Leash laws and animal waste regulations should be enforced as defined in the Park Code and Health Code. Maintenance of the dog training and dog run areas should consider safety and animal welfare.
Bold: new text from current Master Plan process
Plain: text remaining from the existing adopted Objectives and Policies (1979-85)

OBJECTIVE VII - COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT AND PROCESS

FOSTER COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN GUIDING THE FUTURE OF GOLDEN GATE PARK.

POLICY A - PARK POLICY AND PLANNING PROCESS
Decisions involving changes to Golden Gate Park should be made within an open planning process that includes public participation. Decisions on park policies are made by the Recreation and Park Commission. The Commission’s meetings are open and include public hearings. Regular planning activities that do not involve policy should include public participation through accessible public meetings and distribution of information.

POLICY B - PARK COOPERATIVE ASSOCIATION
Support the activities of a park cooperative association, such as the Friends of Recreation and Parks, that will encourage broad community participation and increase opportunities for fund-raising and public-private partnerships.

POLICY C - VOLUNTEER ACTIVITIES
Volunteer activities should be encouraged to do tasks and provide services that cannot be accomplished with park staff. A volunteer coordinator position shall oversee and coordinate all volunteer activities. Volunteers should be given recognition for their efforts.
Park Landscape
Park Landscape

The landscape of Golden Gate Park is its most prominent feature, and is what attracts people to the park. The park landscape is the sum of many components: terrain, forests, meadows, horticultural displays, lakes, athletic fields, and climate. The buildings, structures and roads are also components of the landscape in its larger context.

The landscape of Golden Gate Park has its origins in nineteenth century “picturesque” landscape design, the purpose of which was to provide a setting for relaxation and escape from the harsh urban environment. Wilderness was seen as the ideal landscape, but the rural or pastoral landscape was more appropriate for parks and for supporting the park activities. Although the landscapes appeared natural, they were in fact highly contrived, and great effort was taken to shape the land and arrange elements to maximum advantage.

Golden Gate Park is a remarkable achievement, given that the vision of this pastoral landscape was created out of sand dunes and the harsh coastal environment. Although it appears very natural, the park landscape is almost completely man-made and requires much more management and maintenance than a truly natural landscape. All trees were planted except for oak trees and a few other native trees in the northeast portion of the park. All of the lakes are man-made. The meadows were created in low valleys and sheltered by trees to create warmer microclimates. The general terrain was not altered drastically, but the impression of hill and dale was exaggerated by planting tall trees on the

ridges and hills and leaving the low areas as meadows. This technique was very successful in creating the park’s rolling terrain with a minimum of grading.

How we view the landscape today is different from how people viewed it in the past. One hundred years ago people viewed the park and its landscape as a sublime experience, like walking through a landscape painting. There was plenty of undeveloped land, but people came to the park to partake in its fine creation. William Hammond Hall expressed this concept of park experience when he wrote the following in 1873:

“A park . . . should be an agglomeration of hill and dale, meadow, lawn, wood and coppice presenting a series of sylvan and pastoral views, calculated to banish all thoughts of urban objects, and lead the imagination to picture space beyond as a continued succession of rural scenes and incidents.”

Today we view the park as a green oasis in an urban context that did not exist when the park was built. The concept of open space is highly valued by city dwellers today. The park is also viewed as a tremendous recreational resource, with many kinds of facilities. The landscape is now mature and parts of it are hard to distinguish between naturalistic and truly natural. The blurring of what is man-made and what is natural is the ultimate success for a picturesque landscape design.

This success is also what has lead to some of the park maintenance problems that we now face. The forest and landscape is not regenerating as a natural landscape would. The problems are much greater than appearances would indicate. The forest is green, but in serious decline. The lakes are scenic, but their problems are just below the surface. The meadows and fields host many activities and events, but need intensive maintenance to recover. The recommendations on the following pages lay out the steps necessary to preserve this magnificent landscape. Without action, this landscape could be lost.
Landscape Design Framework

Understanding the landscape of Golden Gate Park is important for all those who seek to preserve and maintain it. The following landscape design framework, and the accompanying map, describe the park's landscape elements and characteristics, and provide a basis for making decisions about the park landscape.

The Original Park Site
An understanding of the site conditions before construction of the park provides insight into how the plan was developed. Knowledge of the original conditions also assists in making decisions about maintaining the park today.

"The Golden Gate Park contains about 1,000 acres, of which 270 acres at the eastern end, is good arable land, covered in many places with trees and shrubbery; this portion may at once be converted into an attractive resort. The remaining 730 acres, stretching down to the ocean beach, is a waste of drifting sand."
First Biennial Report, 1870-71

Description of the original park site:
"Strawberry Hill, itself being the larger and higher one of these three knobs, was, before the Park survey were made, known as 'The Island' from the fact of its appearing as a mound of vegetation surrounded by a billowy waste of sands fittingly likened to the sea. . . . All that portion west of Strawberry Hill ridge was new and shifting sand drift; and this new drift covered also about 120 acres east of Strawberry Hill ridge, leaving about 270 or less acres available for park improvement without the preliminary process of reclama-

clumps of willows in the moist spots, and a few plants of the California red berry bush in a ravine just west of Strawberry Hill ridge. . . . Strawberry Hill was covered over with a low growth, not over two or three feet high, in which a prostrate scrub-oak predominated, and lupines were much in evidence. The indigenous strawberry plants were plentiful, and hence the hill. A few rabbits and an occasional coyote held possession. There was not even one small tree on the whole park site—only the scrub oak and willow bushes."
William Hammond Hall

Spatial Relationships
The landscape design of Golden Gate Park is a complex amalgamation of forests and open spaces. In large part, the design remains true to the original planting from the 1870's. The forests were planted, primarily on the slopes and hills, to provide shelter from the harsh winds for the meadows that were located in the valleys. The relationship between forest and meadow, solid and open spaces, and design elements such as drives and lakes should be studied and retained to preserve the park's historic landscape design for its next century.

"In accordance with the theory of Park improvement which has heretofore been advocated, the plantations at Golden Gate Park have been arranged in heavy masses upon the higher grounds for the purpose of affording the greatest attainable shelter to the intervening glades and valleys."
William Hammond Hall, 2nd Biennial Report, 1872-73
The park provides a variety of spaces for many activities: active play, strolling, running, biking, picnicking, sports, sun bathing, reading, conversation, and contemplation. Some of the park's spaces are designed for specific uses but the vast majority of the spaces are designed to be adaptable and appropriate for a wide variety of recreational uses.

Forest and Meadow Relationship
The relationship of forest and meadow, the convoluted edges of the forest, and the vistas they create, contribute to the visual interest of the park. The "naturalistic" design emulates trees and meadows in nature. It is also intended to lead the eye to the space beyond and suggest the continuation of open spaces out of view.

The play of light and shade highlights sunlit meadows surrounded by shadowed forests.

"Nothing gives a more park-like appearance, or a more agreeable impression to the landscape, than broad lawns and long vistas, ...with its charming variety of outline flanked with the tall pines, amongst the shadows of which the grassy nooks are lost, giving an idea of much greater extent than there really is."

John McLaren, 1889 Annual Report

Preserving this relationship between forest and meadow, and the intricate outlines, is critical to retaining the park's historic design. Reforestation efforts should strive to maintain the existing outline of meadows. (The edges of meadows could be surveyed and plotted on the park's CAD map.)

Other Open Spaces
In addition to the meadows, there are other open spaces that are important elements of the park's design and serve as view spaces. Other open spaces include recreation areas and fields, play areas, gardens, plazas, lakes, lake settings, and building settings. Vistas to and from within these spaces should be preserved and maintained as important view areas.

Visual Characteristics
In addition to the spatial relationships shown on the map, other visual characteristics such as texture, color, form, and plant species contribute to the unique character of Golden Gate Park. The mature pine and cypress trees create the park's unique skyline of dark green horizontal silhouettes. The tall eucalyptus trees, which were planted primarily on the park's ridges and hilltops, exaggerate the topographic relationship with meadows. The park's evergreen forest may be more the result of the high survival rates of pine, cypress, and eucalyptus than design intent; but the result is a park landscape that is unique to San Francisco and its special climate. This San Francisco landscape sets Golden Gate Park apart from other large urban parks across the country. The visual characteristics should be recorded and understood to preserve the park's image.

Park Roads and Paths
The park's curving roads and paths were designed to provide changing vistas as visitors travel along them. They serve as important view corridors and most of the roads are unchanged from their original layout. Changes to park roads should respect and preserve the curvilinear, sequential nature of the drives.

"Roads, pads and paths are required to facilitate driving, riding and walking, and thus, by affording ready avenues of communication, they open up the beauties and intricacies of the natural scenery, and promote the enjoyment of the rural elements of the place. ...they do contribute to the landscape effect, when their smooth and elastic surface promotes the enjoyment of locomotion, and when, by their gentle and graceful curve into the obscurity of the distance, they invite to continued research, and engender an interest in the view which they promise to present.

The charm of a drive or ride is greatly enhanced by smooth and elastic roads, but reaches its fullness when these roads lead through varied scenes of interest and ennobling influence, under favorable climatic conditions [protected from winds]."

William Hammond Hall, Second Biennial Report, 1872-73

Eastern Park/Western Park
William Hammond Hall's original design divided the park into two distinct parts, roughly east and west of Strawberry Hill. The eastern park was to be:

"a more finished park, with its tree plantations in smaller masses or groups, principally on the higher grounds, and its several notable valleys occupied by such special features as a
picnic ground; a garden - including a conservatory and semi-tropical exhibit; a children's quarter - including a dairy-house and play grounds; a recreation ground for sports of older people; a lawn, with lake and water terrace; a manor house and grounds, with concourses for carriages and pedestrians; and an open air concert auditorium."

The western park was to be: "simply treated as a woodland or forest, with all the hills and ridges more or less heavily timbered, and the valleys covered with lower-growing shrubs or field grasses."

Over the years, facilities have been added to the western park, but the character of the landscape has remained as more wooded, less refined parkland. This distinction should be maintained, with different landscape treatments for the eastern and western portions.

Rural Setting
One of the key principles of nineteenth century park design was to provide contrast and relief from the urban environment. This was done by creating rural, pastoral, or wilderness landscapes and screening the edges from views to adjacent urban areas. It is particularly important to maintain the rural character in the western park.

"the class of pleasing scenery most easily attained to some degree of perfection within the limits of a city park reservation, will partake strongly of a pastoral nature. The monotony which would inevitably result from a too close adherence to this character of treatment, being broken by passages strongly contrasting therewith - namely in the picturesque. A park therefore, though containing within itself the appurtenances necessary for the comfort and pleasure of great masses of people, as a whole, should be an agglomeration of hill and dale, meadow, lawn, wood and coppice presenting a series of sylvan and pastoral views, calculated to banish all thought of urban objects, and lead the imagination to picture space beyond as a continued succession of rural scenes and incidents."

William Hammond Hall, Second Biennial Report, 1872-73

"The more important is that of obtaining the apparently natural outlines and growths constituting a park fit for occupation by a city’s crowds, and suitable for the distinctly rural recreation of people, as a relief and counterpoise to the urban conditions of their ordinary circumstances of life."

Frederick Law Olmsted, The Development of Golden Gate Park, 1886

Multistory Landscape
Much of the park landscape is characterized by a multistory, or layered landscape with small shrubs and understory at the bottom, large shrubs and smaller trees as a middle layer, and tall canopy trees providing an overstory. In some areas of the park, one or more of the layers have been lost to age or lack of maintenance. A healthy multistory landscape is important to preserve the park’s design character, particularly along the edges of meadows.

Microclimates
Much of the early planting was done to create warm, inviting spaces in what had been a cold, windswept landscape. By taking advantage of topography and with the planting of trees, sheltered spaces were successfully created. Trees and other plantings that shelter spaces from wind should be maintained, or replaced where they are lacking, to ensure that the spaces they shelter remain usable.

Vistas
The park design is essentially a sequence of changing vistas. Some vistas provide long distance views; while others provide shorter views of spaces that bend out of sight, suggesting continuation and drawing you to them. With few exceptions, the vistas are internal and contained by a dense perimeter planting to shield the surrounding city from view. Some vistas have been lost as plantings mature. Where appropriate, historic vistas should be restored (such as the panoramic views from the top of Strawberry Hill).

Perimeter Planting
The planting around the perimeter of the park was designed to shield the visitors from views of the city, so their minds can remain free from the pressures of urban life. Some of the perimeter planting has been lost over the years and should be replaced. Perimeter planting in some areas has been removed for security reasons. New landscape treatments should be pursued in these areas (particularly the Haight Street entrance area).
Screen Planting
Most park buildings and maintenance facilities are partially screened from view by planting of dense screening vegetation. The purpose is to hide from view those objects that detract from the rural landscape. Some of the screen planting is in need of replacement.

Entry Planting
The landscape at park entries should provide an inviting setting for visitors. The level of the landscape treatment should reflect the status of the entry. Major entries should have more elaborate landscapes that may include turf, flowering plants, and accent trees. At important entries the perimeter landscape is opened to provide an inviting view into the park.

Lakes and Water Features
The park’s naturalistic water features are an integral part of the picturesque landscape. The design intent was to make them appear as if they had always been a part of the landscape, rather than constructed. Several of the lakes were constructed by enlarging seasonal ponds. The lakes should be maintained with natural edges in well planted settings, and the water should remain as natural as possible.

“Water seems to have a fascination for everybody. People will stand or sit for hours watching its restlessness; or if in repose, they seem riveted in admiration of the truthful reflections its surface gives back. The rocks and trees and shrubs about the lakes will be

constantly duplicated and landscapes more remote will be mirrored there. These attractions delight and fascinate and never weary.”
Park Commissioner’s Report, 1893

Flowering Plants
The park’s landscape is intended to be primarily evergreen, except in special horticultural areas and gardens. Colorful flowering plants should be used carefully elsewhere in the park, particularly in the western park. It is preferable to use flowering plants in naturalistic masses of flowering shrubs and perennials, which are more in keeping with the park’s naturalistic landscape, rather than seasonal color beds.

Signs
The basic design concept of the park is to create a rural, natural landscape. Signs are generally contrary to this goal and should be minimized wherever possible. Where signs are necessary, they should be designed and adapted to be appropriate for the park setting.

The Challenge Ahead
The biggest challenge in preserving the landscape of Golden Gate Park will occur in the next few years as the majority of the forest will be replanted, replacing the trees that have reached the end of their lifespans. Although the individual trees cannot be preserved indefinitely; the spaces and patterns that they create can be recorded and preserved. The other challenge to preserving the landscape is the cumulative effect of many small changes and maintenance practices that are contrary to the landscape design framework. An understanding of the park’s landscape design framework, its elements and characteristics by park staff; and effective design and maintenance oversight, will ensure that the park’s unique and historic landscape is preserved.

Maintenance Issues
Golden Gate Park’s landscape is almost completely man-made, and as such has maintenance requirements that belie its naturalistic appearance. As maintenance resources are reduced, there is a tendency to concentrate maintenance in the most visible, high use, and intensively cultivated areas. As a result, other areas less visible have suffered. These include the perimeter landscape, the forest shrub layer, and interior forest trees. Maintenance-deficient areas should be identified, and steps taken to raise the funds needed to restore these areas and ensure adequate maintenance in the future.
Western Park Character

"It was designed that the six hundred or more acres of the reservation including and lying west of Strawberry Hill, and its connecting ridge, should be simply treated as a woodland or forest, with all the hills and ridges more or less heavily timbered, and the valleys covered with lower-growing shrubs or field grasses"

William Hammond Hall, The Development of Golden Gate Park, 1886
Eastern Park Character
"...the four hundred or less acres east of the hill and ridge should be treated as a more finished park, with its tree plantations in smaller masses or groups, principally on the higher grounds, and its several notable valleys occupied by such special features as a picnic ground; a garden - including a conservatory and semi-tropical exhibit; a children's quarter - including a dairy-house and play grounds; a recreation ground for sports of older people; a lawn, with lake and water terrace; a manor house and grounds, with concourses for carriages and pedestrians; and an open air concert auditorium"

William Hammond Hall, The Development of Golden Gate Park, 1886
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Landscape Preservation

The overall landscape design of the park has evolved over the years. Its basis was the original plan by William Hammond Hall. John McLaren provided strong leadership in landscape design during his rein. In recent years there has been a lack of direction in the area of landscape design. There is no one person whose chief responsibility is to oversee the landscape design of the park. There are existing policies to guide landscape design, but the lack of landscape design professionals to provide direction concerning landscape design issues is resulting in subtle and gradual changes in parts of the park that are contrary to the existing policies.

Much of the park’s design and structure is composed of dynamic living plants that change over time. Maintenance procedures, or lack of maintenance, can accelerate the gradual changes that destroy the historic design. Preserving the design and structure of the landscape must be a proactive process that recognizes its significance and the forces that alter it. The first step in preserving Golden Gate Park’s historic landscape is to understand and document it. The landscape design framework provides a description of the landscape characteristics. Further research and documentation of the historic landscape should be undertaken through analysis of written records, park maps, and photographs (particularly the 1935 aerial photo to identify changes made in recent decades). The landscape design framework and the goals of preserving the historic landscape should be communicated to all park employees.

Recommendations

- Establish a position with expertise and training in park planning and landscape design with the responsibility and authority, in conference with the Superintendent of Parks and the Park Planning office, to review and approve all landscape and architectural designs, modifications, structures, features, as well as prepare landscape design plans, to ensure continuity of the park design and implementation of adopted policy.

- Park features should be accurately mapped on computer maps (CAD). This is particularly important in preserving the relationship of forest and meadow as the forest trees are replanted.

- Where appropriate, historic plantings that have been removed or altered should be identified and steps taken to restore historic designs. This should only be undertaken with definitive information on what previously existed. Rehabilitation of the historic landscape should follow the Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Landscapes (draft, National Park Service).

- Historic buildings and features should be restored and maintained. Treatments of historic structures should follow the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Historic Preservation Projects and the Guidelines for Rehabilitation of Historic Buildings. New construction should be appropriate for the historic park setting. New structures can be contemporary in design, but should be compatible with the historic character of the park’s landscape.
1935 Aerial Photograph

This 1935 aerial photograph is the earliest complete record of the park landscape. The photo shows the original planting design as it existed 40 to 60 years after much of the park was planted. The photo will be a valuable resource as the park reforestation program will be replanting much of the forests in the next few years.
Forest Management

The forested areas of Golden Gate Park comprise one of the premier urban forests in the United States. This is all the more remarkable when one considers that it is a plantation, in an area some experts said could not grow trees. One of the greatest challenges in preserving the park’s landscape will occur over the next few years as the majority of these forests will be replanted, replacing trees that have reached the end of their lifespans. The park’s forests are in a condition that requires more aggressive reforestation efforts to sustain the many values they provide. It is estimated that 30 to 40 percent of the forest will disappear in twenty years if reforestation is not pursued aggressively.

Efforts to regenerate the park’s forest began in 1980. The goals of the reforestation program are to reverse the trend of forest decline in Golden Gate Park and to establish and maintain a multi-aged forest. It was recognized at that time that a comprehensive forest management program was needed to preserve the future of the Park and its trees. Because new trees had not been routinely planted to offset the uniform aging of the forest, almost all of the trees in the forested sections of the park are now between 85 and 115 years old. Over-mature trees are susceptible to a variety of pests and diseases, windthrow, and potentially hazardous weakening defects. Today, forest management proceeds according to the 1980 Forest Management Plan (FMP). The implementation of the FMP has been hindered in recent years by redirection of park staff to other functions, as well as reductions in their numbers.

The Condition of Golden Gate Park Forests

An assessment of the condition of the park’s forest was made possible by inventories performed in 1979 and 1993. The following describes conditions of the park’s forests based on these inventories.

Tree Mortality

Today there are 27,192 trees over six inches in diameter and greater than 20 feet in height in the forest. Over 6,150 trees were lost between 1980 and 1993 — a mortality rate of 18.5 percent over 13 years (Table 1). Forest composition in 1980 was 22 percent Monterey pine, 25 percent Monterey cypress, 18 percent eucalyptus, and 35 percent other species. Today Monterey pine comprises only 17 percent of all trees, Monterey cypress 24 percent, and eucalyptus has increased to 20 percent. The lifespan of the majority of Monterey pines in the forest has been exceeded and the species will continue to yield its position of prominence to longer-lived species.

The other minor species show significant losses, especially understory hardwoods and gateway plantings such as acacias, pittosporum, and elms. The landscape screens and perimeter plantings —walls of shrubs and tree canopies designed to screen facilities in the park and give visitors relief from the surrounding urban environment — exhibit innumerable gaps within them.

Table 1: Forest Composition in Golden Gate Park, 1980 - 1993

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest Composition</th>
<th>1980</th>
<th>1993</th>
<th>Percent Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Pine</td>
<td>22% (7,370)</td>
<td>17% (4,722)</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey Cypress</td>
<td>25% (8,222)</td>
<td>24% (6,650)</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus</td>
<td>18% (6,136)</td>
<td>20% (5,386)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>35% (11,614)</td>
<td>39% (10,537)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TREES (Total)*  33,342  27,192  -18.5
* Includes only trees larger than 6” diameter trunks

Trees lost between 1980 and 1993: 6,150
Trees planted between 1980 and 1993: 9,190

The information in this section is summarized from the Golden Gate Park Master Plan Forests and Wildlife Background Report.
**Condition**

Approximately 23 percent of the trees inventoried are in good, or excellent condition. This is a marked decline from the 41 percent that was measured in the 1980 inventory and represents the expected trend for an Over-mature forest. Consistent with this trend is the finding that 14 percent of the forest trees are in poor condition, a slight increase (two percent) over 1980. It is apparent from these data that proportionally more trees are now in fair condition. The number of dying and dead trees has been reduced dramatically from 1,670 trees in 1980, to 400 in 1993. This change is likely a result of increased park forest maintenance targeting potentially hazardous trees started over a decade ago.

Tree condition directly relates to the potential for a tree to cause damage to the public and to property, since condition characterizes the structural integrity of the tree. The program to reduce potential hazards associated with structurally weakened trees has been successful in reducing immediate tree hazards, but the number of trees in potentially hazardous conditions has increased.

**Reforestation**

Reforestation efforts have prevented serious forest decline since 1980. From 1980 to 1993, 9,190 new trees were planted. Planting continues today at a rate of approximately 1,000 trees per year. The reforestation program, however, has not entirely reversed the trend of forest decline. Most of the effort thus far has targeted the western part of the park where the windbreak is in need of immediate replanting. Reforesta-

**Recommendations**

Park forests continue to decline through the natural aging process. Most of the larger trees will be lost in the next few decades. The park landscape will change during this period, but increased reforestation efforts will mitigate the loss of older trees with the planting of new ones. A long-term reforestation program is required to establish a multi-aged forest. Resources available to date have only succeeded in slowing the decline of the park's even-aged forest. Native vegetation, including oak woodlands, is not regenerating at sustainable levels. Additional staff are required to implement the 1980 FMP and its Addendum (in preparation). Management of waste wood, currently burdened with inefficiencies, can be improved through increased recycling and the potential development of a fuel source for cogeneration facilities.

The park forests are a collection of areas designated for functional, aesthetic, and special uses (e.g., golf course), each possessing unique requirements for forest treatments. These areas must be clearly delineated and their forest functions preserved, based on the historical intent of the design which the Master Plan seeks to preserve. Forest functions are ecological and aesthetic and include:

- Windbreaks - ensure conditions suited to forest growth; create microclimates conducive to users’ activities in meadows, playing fields, and around lakes.
- Habitat - multistory landscape provides diversity of habitat, canopy cover, understory cover, and food source.
• Screening - perimeter planting, screening of buildings and maintenance facilities.

• Aesthetic - framing of vistas, defining open spaces, accentuating topographic relief of the park landscape, and providing color and visual accents.

The following recommendations are viewed as essential for preserving Golden Gate Park's forests:

• Extend reforestation to all parts of the park. Provide the public with information about the reforestation process through signs at reforestation sites and an informational brochure.

• Structurally weak trees that pose a significant risk to the public and to property need to be identified, monitored and removed as part of an ongoing safety program.

• Preserve eucalyptus forest, historically part of the park's design, but contain within designated areas.

• Preserve remnant native plants, predominantly oaks, in designated oak preserves that are managed to perpetuate the oaks and other oak woodland related species, and at other locations.

• Reforestation areas should generally replace the previous trees in kind, using a range of similar species. Historical data, such as the 1935 aerial photographs, should be referred to for planning of replacement plantings.

• Individual large trees should be replaced in kind, with similar species. Specimen sized trees should be used where feasible.

• Reforest high use and high visibility areas in the eastern park with larger trees, rather than seedlings. Trees can be culled from reforestation sites using a tree spade.

• Increase the efficiency of wood and brush recycling within the park, and reduce storage needs. Acquiring and staffing new equipment for this purpose should have a high priority.

• The dedicated tree program should be used as a means of replacing specimen trees. Dedicated trees must conform to the existing landscape setting and should not be planted where they intrude on open meadow or lawn areas. This can be best accomplished by replacing specimen trees in kind.

Best management practices tailored to each function will be described in the Addendum to the 1980 FMP.
Shrubs and Groundcovers

The multilayered landscape of trees, shrubs, and groundcovers is one of the primary characteristics of the park. The shrubs play an important role in screening the park along the perimeter landscape, and in screening facilities within the park. The aging shrub layer has suffered in recent years, losing its form and becoming less dense. Unlike the reforestation program for the trees, there has been no systematic replacement of shrubs. Shrubs are planted occasionally, but there is no systematic replacement program, particularly for more remote locations and along the park’s perimeter.

The planting of the shrub layer was based on its relationship to the forest canopy and light levels. As the forest changes, the shrubs have changed also. Some areas receive less light now due to a denser forest canopy, resulting in a diminished shrub layer. Other shrubs, such as the rhododendrons, are dependent upon forest shade, and have suffered due to the loss of trees. Reforestation will continue to change light levels around the park.

Recommendations

- Establish a system restoration program for the shrub layer similar to the reforestation program.
- Shrubs should be selected for proper light levels within the forest.
- As maturing reforestation sites are thinned of trees, shrubs should be planted that are appropriate to replace the smaller trees being removed.

Meadows and Turf Areas

The park’s open spaces are composed primarily of its meadows and turf areas. These are important elements of the landscape both visually, as the primary characteristic of pastoral landscapes; and functionally, as they support many of the park activities. They are generally tough and able to handle these activities, but there are limits and they do require considerable maintenance, especially when under heavy use.

Athletic fields take the hardest regular use. Turf is difficult to maintain in areas of concentrated activity. Most fields are closed for occasional maintenance periods and after heavy rains. Some meadows and fields, such as Sharon Meadow and the Polo Field, have become popular sites for special events that draw large crowds, structure, and equipment. The impacts of these events may damage the areas beyond the point that regular maintenance can repair.

Small turf areas are labor intensive, but their value to the park’s design is important. Turf strips between paths and roadways are an example of these small turf areas. They serve an important function, separating pedestrians from vehicles with an attractive landscape element that is appropriate for the park setting. To reduce maintenance, these have been removed in some areas and replaced with asphalt or other materials.

Recommendations

- The use of meadows and fields for large events needs to be evaluated in relation to the ability to maintain the meadows. Events should be monitored and use restrictions enforced to prevent damage.
- Enforce athletic field closures to facilitate proper maintenance and to prevent damage following heavy rains. Coordinate field maintenance schedules with field use permit schedules.
- Where feasible, continue to shift field locations to even wear, and to permit rotation of fields for maintenance periods.
- Any changes in turf areas should be reviewed to assess the impact on the park’s overall landscape design.
- Where feasible, maintain turf borders between paths and roadways. Borders should be designed to be at least 36" wide. Where space permits, borders should be as wide as possible.
Horticultural Attractions

Some of the park’s primary attractions are the horticultural attractions such as Conservatory Valley, the rhododendron dell, the rose garden, the tulip garden, the tree ferns, the Shakespeare Garden, and several other displays. Foremost of the park’s horticultural attractions is the Strybing Arboretum and Botanical Gardens, which contain significant botanical collections from around the world. These areas require and receive intensive maintenance and resources. Many of the horticultural attractions are reliant on the forest trees for creating the microclimates that make these features possible.

Recommendations

- Balance the maintenance needs of horticultural attractions with other park areas.

- Carefully assess the impacts of reclaimed water on special horticultural areas. Where feasible, enable the option of using only well water in certain areas, or the ability to dilute reclaimed water with well water.

- Effective reforestation is needed to preserve the climate-altering qualities of park trees in and near horticultural areas.

- Upgrade irrigation systems in horticultural areas with systems that can water turf, shrubs, and trees separately.
Lakes and Water Features

The lakes in Golden Gate Park are among the scenic highlights of the park landscape. Like much of the park's landscape, they were designed to appear naturalistic (with the exception of Spreckels Lake), fitting into the park landscape as if they had always been there. The lakes serve a number of functions in addition to their visual qualities such as wildlife habitat and recreation. There are several primary problems with the lakes: integrity of lake liners, lake edge deterioration, poor water quality, and significant accumulation of bottom sediments.

Lake Liners

The lakes were constructed with clay-lined bottoms to hold the water and to permit naturalistic edges. The sandy soil beneath the lakes is highly permeable, so the integrity of the clay liner is critical. Several of the lakes have significant leaks resulting from deterioration of the clay liners over the last 80 to 100 years. The amount of water loss has been measured at approximately 560,000 gallons per day. The lost water does recharge the aquifer under the park, which supplies the park's wells.

Lake Edges

There are three primary types of lake edge conditions in the park including natural soil edges, rock/boulder edges, concrete edges. Some lakes have one edge condition around its entire perimeter, while other lakes have a combination of edge treatments. The most common edge condition on the park's lakes is a naturalistic soil edge. When well maintained, the soil edge supports marsh and riparian plants that hold the soil and provide wildlife habitat.

Stabilization of natural soil edges is dependent upon maintaining healthy plant growth along lake edges. Lake edge erosion at natural soil edges is caused by several factors including varying water levels, wind generated waves, steep bank conditions, ducks, and human activity. The rock/boulder edges provide a hardened edge while still maintaining a naturalistic appearance. The concrete edges also provide a stabilized edge, however repairs, when needed, can be more extensive.

Water Quality

The water quality of the lakes varies depending on their water source. Stow Lake, Elk Glen, and South lake have regular water supplies that cycle...
water through the lakes. Mallard, Metson, and North Lakes have the least flow, and the poorest water quality. The shallow lake depths, made worse by bottom sediment, result in warmer water and eutrophication. Eutrophic lakes have reduced oxygen levels which increase algae growth and reduce conditions that are conducive for fish and other organisms.

**Bottom Sediments**
Erosion and siltation have resulted in sediment collecting in the lake bottoms, reducing depths. The sediments contain organic debris, soil, litter, and other deposits, and range in thickness from a few inches to over two feet. The shallow water depths result in poor water quality and the choking growth of vegetation and algae. When the water level of Stow Lake is low, some parts of the lake are too shallow for boating. Preliminary testing of the sediments found that they do not contain any unsafe concentrations of hazardous materials.

**Waterfalls**
There are two major waterfalls: Huntington Falls at Stow Lake was reconstructed in 1984 and is in good condition; and Rainbow Fall on Prayer Book Hill, which may have some structural problems from undermining of soil beneath the concrete-rock structure. There are several small waterfalls, some of which are abandoned, that feed several of the lakes. A water fall and cascade in the De Laveaga Dell has also been abandoned, as well as a channel connecting Metson Lake and South Lake.

For additional information on lakes, see the Golden Gate Park lake evaluation report.

**Lake Restoration**
Restoration of park lakes will be performed under work funded by the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond.

- Some of the lakes will be reconstructed with new liners and edge treatments. Liners and edge treatments will preserve the historic design context of the lakes. Reconstruction will preserve features which are irreplaceable and are in serviceable condition. Each lake is unique, and a separate restoration plan will be prepared for each lake. Wildlife habitat, circulation, and other park issues will be considered in developing lake restoration plans.

- A natural clay lake bottom is the preferred liner material.

- Some water loss should be tolerated to retain naturalistic edges.

- Lake edge treatments in naturalistic lakes will permit the growth of vegetation and improve wildlife habitat.

- Where feasible, edge designs will permit disabled access to lakes at key points.

- The lakes will be tied together with a well water supply system that improves water quality by maximizing water flow-through and water turnover. Locations of water inlets and outlets should result in flow through all parts of lakes.

- Lake levels will be controlled automatically to minimize variations in levels.

- Sediment will be removed from some lakes with excessive accumulations. Erosion and runoff should be controlled around lakes to minimize future deposits. Drainage pipes that flow into lakes will be intercepted and runoff diverted into the storm drainage system. If suitable, sediment may be used as soil amendment in other areas of the park.

- Drainage from roadways into lakes will be minimized.

- A lake management program will be established to develop regular lake maintenance procedures. Lake redesign will facilitate efficient maintenance of lakes.

- Rainbow Falls should be examined for structural integrity. If reconstruction is necessary, the historic design of the falls should be retained and reproduced.

- Wildlife habitat values should be improved at all lakes, within the context of existing lake function and character.

- Reconstruction of lakes and water features will minimize disturbance to park activities and impacts on wildlife and the surrounding park landscape.
Erosion Control

The creation of the soil that covers Golden Gate Park and supports the trees and other plants was the largest task in the construction of the park. Top soil from various excavations around San Francisco was carted in, wagon-load by wagon-load, and mixed with manure from street sweepings. A thin mantle of nourishing soil was placed on top of the sand to promote the growth of the plants.

Today the park’s top soil remains a fragile thin layer that is subject to erosion if the bond of soil holding plants is broken. The erosion in the park is generally a spot problem, caused by overuse that has resulted in loss of ground cover and bare soil. The problem is accelerated when it occurs on a slope.

Erosion problems were surveyed by the Department of Public Works in late 1993. With the help of Golden Gate Park section supervisors, approximately thirty areas of spot erosion (with problems ranging from minor to severe) have been identified in the park. Over half of these eroded areas are caused by foot and/or off-trail bicycle traffic in locations that are not designated as part of the park circulation system. These areas of erosion are exacerbated by water runoff. Several areas with severe tree root exposure have been caused primarily by water runoff. Strawberry Hill, with its steep slopes and sandy soil, has a significant amount of spot erosion, caused by a combination of foot/bike traffic and water runoff. The remainder of spot erosion areas occur at existing service roads and wooded stairways around the park.

The survey resulted in an Erosion Control Plan that gives a prescription for correcting each of erosion areas. Erosion problems will be addressed through the 1992 Golden Gate Park infrastructure bond work.

Erosion Control Techniques

- **Selective canopy thinning:** Depending on the location, selective thinning of the canopy vegetation may be required to provide more light for revegetation. Tree canopy work will be performed prior to other erosion control work being done.

- **Irrigation:** Lack of irrigation to support vegetation has contributed to some of the erosion problems. Irrigation lines will be brought to many of the areas where revegetation will be done.

- **Slope Stabilization:** Wattling is recommended to help stabilize slopes in eroded areas. Wattling is typically composed of branches and limbs 4" to 8" in diameter, mounded 18" to 24" high, and arranged perpendicular to the slope.

- **Regrading:** Some areas will require regrading to divert water and prevent further erosion.

- **Fencing:** Fencing will be used selectively in sensitive areas. Its purpose is to direct or restrict pedestrian and bicycle traffic away from areas where restoration work or plant re-establishment is underway. Fencing will generally remain until the plant establishment period is complete. In some areas, fencing, railings, or other barriers may be needed permanently to direct traffic.

- **Signs:** Informational signs describing the work being conducted will be installed at areas undergoing erosion control measures. Signs will also be used to educate park users where certain activities are damaging to the park.

Erosion Areas

Source: GGP Erosion Control Plan, Department of Public Works
Erosion Control Recommendations

- Spot erosion in areas that are not part of the park's circulation system:
  These off-trail erosion areas will be addressed by removal or thinning of existing vegetation (to promote new growth), stabilization practices, and planting. Efforts will be made to eliminate travel in the effected areas through the use of planting, barriers, and selective fencing.

- Eroded service roads, pathways, and wooden stairways:
  Roads and pathways will be rebuilt and regraded to divert water runoff. Stairways will be removed or rebuilt after careful consideration of appropriate location and material. Slopes adjacent to the stairways will be stabilized and replanted.

- Areas to be coordinated with the reforestation plan for the park:
  Erosion control recommendations and implementation for certain areas, such as Strawberry Hill, will be coordinated with reforestation efforts.
Wildlife Habitat

As the surrounding land has developed, Golden Gate Park's value to wildlife has grown in importance. The park's forests, meadows, and lakes provide food, nesting sites, and cover for many animals, particularly resident and migrating birds. Overall availability of habitat in the park has decreased in recent years and some species of wildlife are less frequently sighted. Many factors combine to create this condition: reduced amount of shrub and understory vegetation, reduced water quantity, loss of aquatic plants, as well as direct pressures on wildlife, such as possible predation by, and competition with, domestic and feral animals released in the park.

Balancing the often conflicting objectives of improving conditions for wildlife and encouraging public use of the park, will continue to be a challenge. The park's designers, however, made the challenge less formidable by dividing the park into two distinct parts, roughly east and west of Strawberry Hill. Preserving the historic naturalistic design of the park, including this division — a principal goal of the Master Plan — will also preserve habitat value for wildlife.

The eastern park is more actively used, while the western part is more naturalistic and densely wooded. Maintaining this distinction goes a long way toward maintaining wildlife habitat in the park. Beyond this critical feature of the original design, other special areas within the park are the focus of wildlife management. These include the Chain of Lakes, as well as other lakes, the oak woodland preserves, and the arboretum area — principal areas of the park where large numbers of visitors can experience wildlife.

The greatest diversity of wildlife, and the wildlife of greatest interest to the public, is found in the park's avian creatures. Birds, both resident and migrant, have numerous habitat requirements which the park has provided over the years but which have declined in quality recently. The disappearance of California quail from the park in recent years is an example of what ill-fate may come to other avian and non-avian species if careful management of habitat is not pursued. Inventories of non-avian wildlife have not been systematically performed, and less is known about the dynamics of this component of park wildlife.

This information in this section is summarized from the Golden Gate Park Master Plan Forests and Wildlife Background Report.

Habitat Condition in Golden Gate Park

Food, Water, and Cover

The needs of wildlife are best served by habitat diversity, or a mosaic of small areas of one type of habitat that serves part of a species' needs adjacent to another type of habitat serving other requirements. This mosaic must provide food, water, and cover.

Golden Gate Park forests support a diverse selection of food-providing plants. Live oaks and pines, distributed throughout the forest, provide both browse and mast (a compound of edible material found on the forest floor). The shrubs that exist in the understory also provide browse and fruit. Groundcover is a bountiful source of insects, which in turn supply birds and animals with food. Eucalyptus trees provide nectar during winter and are thus an important seasonal source of food. Many species of native plants are also excellent sources of winter food. Native plants are not regenerating at sustainable levels, however, largely due to invasive species out-competing them.

The best sources of water for wildlife are constructed lakes, streams, dew, and succulent vegetation. Water quality in some of the park's lakes is impaired by the eutrophic condition evidenced by dense, invasive vegetation at shorelines. Seepage is also a problem at some lakes, resulting in occasional shortages of water for wildlife.

Forest cover quality in the park is fairly diverse yet limited in quantity. Forest fragmentation, occurring mostly in the eastern part, and understory decline are the principal problems for this feature of wildlife habitat. The pine forest structure varies from area to area, providing a desirable mosaic of canopy densities in the western part of the park. Pine snags and fallen trees provide cover as well. Shrub thickets are being trimmed in places to deter homeless encampments, reducing this critical source of cover.

Domestic and Feral Animals

Domestic and feral animals intentionally and unintentionally released into the park compete...
with wildlife for food, water, and cover, and at times prey upon wildlife. Although this is a generally recognized problem, the impact of domestic animals on wildlife in the park is not well documented. The humane treatment of all animals will be an essential part of any effort to address the domestic animal problem which already exists. Emphasis should be placed on preventing an increase in the feral animal population by improved enforcement of existing regulations.

Recommendations

The original design of Golden Gate Park which the Master Plan seeks to preserve is well suited to the continuous and abundant presence of wildlife. Park habitat is diverse and extremely valuable to wildlife as a relief from the highly urbanized surroundings. The principal elements of habitat, food, water, and cover are in a state of moderate decline resulting from direct and indirect pressures of heavy public use of the park.

Wildlife will benefit from an approach that improves each element of habitat. The following are the principal recommendations for Golden Gate Park wildlife; they would greatly improve habitat and would likely result in a more stable wildlife population:

- Encourage a multi-age, structurally diverse forest, with variation in height, density, and age of vegetation. Forest and vegetation diversity provide the basis for diverse wildlife.
- Preserve the woodland/meadow edge zone for the resources it provides wildlife, especially resident birds and south-bound migrants.
- Leave ground cover intact to provide protective cover for wildlife, except perhaps in areas where unwanted weeds may be removed in favor of a desired or native species.
- Leave snags, dead trees and branches standing as long as possible, unless they present a danger to people or structures.
- Control domestic animals released into the park through strict enforcement of leash requirements. Examine humane strategies to reduce existing populations of feral animals.
- Prohibit feeding of pigeons in the park.
- Post signs and distribute information explaining why the public should not feed animals, and the consequences of and penalties for doing so. Prohibit feeding of pigeons in the park.
- Conduct systematic inventories of avian and non-avian wildlife to improve knowledge of wildlife dynamics and aid in preserving an abundant and diverse population of wildlife.
- Limit human disturbance. Keep the park relatively free of refuse and encourage visitors in the forest to use designated pathways.
- Remove and discourage invasive aquatic and terrestrial weeds. The planting of California native shrubs and trees will increase both the number and diversity of desirable animal species.
- Maintain water features in the landscape in a manner which supports wildlife dependent on water related ecosystems.
- Increase interpretive programs that focus on nature and wildlife with guided walks conducted by volunteers, exhibits at proposed visitor centers, and self-guiding interpretive trails (using brochure guide maps rather than signs).
- Designate important habitat areas in Golden Gate Park and manage these areas for habitat values as a priority use. Likely areas to include are Chain of Lakes, Mallard Lake, Elk Glen Lake, oak woodland preserves, and selected forest areas.
Circulation Plan

The Circulation Plan proposes actions to implement Objective III — Park Circulation of the Golden Gate Park Master Plan Update. The plan has gone through a process of issue identification, policy development and definition of potential actions to implement the policies. The plan development effort included the participation of a transportation task force which provided a sounding board for plan proposals, public comment at several workshops, as well as the ideas of city staff from several departments and consultants.

Circulation planning for Golden Gate Park has to balance conflicting needs and desires. The main forum for addressing these conflicts was the task force meetings. The meetings were structured around presentations of themes by staff and consultants, and discussion by task force members. Further comment on the direction of the Master Plan was provided at public workshops. The general public, including task force members, also had the opportunity to provide opinions through letters to staff and consultants.

The plan development process first focused on gaining consensus on objectives and policies. Once these were agreed on, specific actions to implement the policies were proposed and analyzed.

Policy Development

In the policy development phase of the update, policies were reviewed first by the task force then again at public meetings. Draft objectives and policies were also discussed at public meetings. These objectives and policies formed the basis for defining particular actions to implement the policies.

The circulation objective provides the broad concept for the circulation policies. Its primary goal is to:

create and maintain a system of recreational pathways, trails, and roadways where the order of priority should be to accommodate pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles for the purpose of enjoying the park.

The policies provide more detailed ideas about each circulation component. Among the goals of the policies are the following:

- minimize the impact of motor vehicles on the park experience, and
- insure access to all, especially the mobility impaired, senior citizens, and families with children.
- address the need for access of all travel modes in the park, with priorities placed in the following order: 1) pedestrians, 2) bicycles, and 3) motor vehicles.
Study of Potential Actions
Potential actions were identified through suggestions of the general public, the task force members, City staff, and the consultant team. The 1985 Transportation Management Plan also contained a variety of actions that had not yet been completed.

To ease the screening of potential actions, they were divided into categories, addressing:

- through traffic
- access and parking
- closures of roads to motor vehicles
- bicycle, pedestrian, and transit access

Proposed actions were evaluated by the consultant team, and presented to the task force for discussion. As a result of the discussions, several potential actions were removed from further consideration. The remainder were left for further evaluation. A comprehensive list of suggested actions that were developed during the planning process, along with the status of the actions in this plan, is available in a support document titled Golden Gate Park Circulation Plan.

The Circulation Action Plan
The circulation action plan was developed through a joint effort of staff members from the Department of Recreation and Park, Department of Parking and Traffic, and Department of City Planning; the consultant team; the transportation task force; and through community meetings. It represents the best judgment of this group regarding how to address the collective direction received at the numerous task force meetings and workshops, as well as the technical feasibility of potential changes. The circulation plan identifies recommended improvements to implement the policies for pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicle circulation. The draft plan seeks to implement the circulation Objectives and Policies, and strives to achieve balance between the following goals:

- to reduce the impacts of motor vehicles,
- to improve access by other modes such as pedestrians, bicycles, and transit,
- to improve accessibility to park features for all, including seniors, persons with disabilities, and families with young children.

The Circulation Action Plan, contains three maps: Major Roadway Modifications, Figure 1a; Other Actions, Figure 1b; and the Bicycle Circulation Plan, Figure 1c. These maps identify actions that are recommended for implementation in the near future. These actions are a part of the Master Plan and will undergo environmental review. The map entitled Ideas for Future Consideration (Figure 2) identifies actions that may be feasible in the future and require further study. These actions are ideas to carry forward, but are not officially included in the Master Plan, and will undergo environmental review at a future time if and when they are recommended for implementation. Following is a summary of the major actions. A complete listing of proposed actions is shown on Figures 1a, 1b, and 1c.

Roadway Closures
Policy IIIF of the Objectives and Policies calls for the closure of park roadways that are not required for access to park facilities, and are not part of the designated throughway system. Roadways that are closed to motor vehicles will remain open for pedestrian and bicycle circulation. Where appropriate, asphalt may be removed to narrow roadways. The following roadways within Golden Gate Park are proposed for closure to motor vehicles:

- Conservatory Drive East
- Waller Street
- a portion of Arguello Street (south)
- the 7th Avenue entrance from Lincoln Way
- a portion of Middle Drive West (between Overlook Drive and Metson Lake)
- the 30th Avenue entrance from Fulton Street
- the Sunset Boulevard entrance
- South Fork (between MLK Drive and JFK Drive)
- a portion of 47th Avenue (between JFK Drive and the golf course)

Closure of the Sunset Boulevard entrance is proposed in conjunction with the elimination of the grade separation at Lincoln Way, creation of
an at-grade Sunset Boulevard/Lincoln Way intersection (with a traffic signal). This will permit Sunset Boulevard traffic access to and from the park via Chain of Lakes Drive or 25th Avenue.

Weekend and Holiday Roadway Closures
Current weekend and holiday road closures, which will be maintained, include the following:

- JFK Drive, from Kezar Drive to Transverse Drive is closed on Sundays and holidays.
- Middle Drive West is closed on Saturdays.

Due to the popularity of weekend roadway closures in the eastern park, the opportunity for an additional Saturday closure is being recommended. A closure that does not hinder access to the Music Concourse is preferred. Two options are currently under consideration and will be analyzed in the Master Plan environmental review:

- JFK Drive from Kezar Drive to 8th Avenue
- JFK Drive from Transverse Drive to Stow Lake Drive

Traffic Calming Measures
The following traffic calming measures are proposed to reduce traffic speeds and volumes within the park:

- Create a "T" intersection at the junction of Kezar Drive and JFK Drive. Over 12,000 square feet of unnecessary asphalt will be removed to create a more appropriate landscaped park entry. Traffic currently entering the park from Fell Street have 3 lanes of straight movement into the park, which then narrow to one lane near Conservatory Drive East. This action (see Figure 3) will narrow access into the park to one lane prior to reaching JFK Drive. Traffic entering the park will slow to turn the corner into JFK Drive. The remaining traffic will be directed to Lincoln Way and Fulton Street. Improvements to speed the flow of additional traffic through the Stanyan/Fulton intersection are also proposed (see Figure 5). Bicycle and pedestrian circulation through the intersection will also be improved.

- Prohibit left turns from eastbound MLK Drive onto Transverse Drive or Crossover Drive. This action will reduce traffic using MLK Drive to access Crossover Drive.

- Create landscape extensions in the parking lanes on JFK Drive between Kezar Drive and the Rose Garden. The excessive width of JFK Drive is conducive to higher speeds and passing of cars in the parking lane. The 8-foot wide extensions (see Figure 4) would define the parking lane and the single 16-foot travel lanes in each direction. Bicycle and pedestrian safety will benefit by reduced vehicle speeds and better definition between parking lane and roadway. The extensions will occur at corners, crosswalks, and other locations.

- implement traffic calming measures on Tea Garden Drive. Speed of vehicles is also a problem on Tea Garden Drive due to excessive road width. Landscape extensions and pedestrian improvements are recommended. Allowing parking on Tea Garden Drive, currently prohibited during the day, will also be considered.
Park-wide actions:

- Close some portion of Kennedy Drive on Saturdays.

Explore two alternatives in the Environmental Evaluation:
  1) Kezar to 8th Avenue
  2) Stow Lake Drive to Transverse Dr.

NOTE: Letters near each action refer to policy basis for the action

Figure 1A
DRAFT CIRCULATION ACTION PLAN
MAJOR ROADWAY MODIFICATIONS
Remove stop signs from road. Improve pedestrian/bicycle equestrian crossing

- Bike parking at major attractions
- Monitored bike parking at Concourse
- Monitored bike parking for major events
- Path-loops for mobility impaired
- Explore transit improvement with MUNI
- Circulation signage survey and plan
- Actively pursue demonstration shuttle program
- Support neighborhood permit parking
- Continue the current practice of closing Kennedy Dr. between Kezar Dr. and Transverse Dr. on Sundays, and Middle Dr. West between Metson Rd. and Transverse Dr. on Saturdays

Maintain and sign for bike travel

- Provide temporary directional signage to Concourse institutions when roads are closed to motor vehicles
- Encourage traffic and parking enforcement
- Process to identify a sustainable off-road bike trail system (recreation element)
- System of trail etiquette and signs
- Encourage Department of Parking and Traffic to improve routes to Golden Gate Bridge and Richmond District that do not go through park
- Test use of permanent control devices for weekend street closures

Widen and improve existing bike path as multi-use paths

- Explore operations improvements on Lincoln Way with DPT

Extend landscaping into parking zone to induce traffic calming and define parking areas, see figure 4

- Transit portal visitor drop-off
- Pedestrian path improvements
- Improve Stanyan/Fulton intersection, see figure 5

Reduce unnecessary pavement at intersection extend landscaping

- Provide mixed use path to provide for bikes on Kezar
- Passenger drop-off area and provide short duration parking (green curb)

Slow traffic speeds on Tea Garden Dr. Examine two alternatives in the environmental evaluation:
1. Make pedestrian improvements and provide additional landscaping,
2. Make pedestrian improvements, provide additional landscaping, and allow parking on both sides of the street

Provide new multi-use path

- New multi-use path
- Improve transition of bike path into park to polo field
- Improve transition of bike route into park

3-4 hour parking limits in east park (weekdays) that considers the needs of volunteers and employees, see figure 6

Landscape parking area

- Explore use of pay parking on Kezar Dr.
- Review use of stop signs in park
- Develop program to discourage single-occupant auto driving by park employees and volunteers or employees of park attractions
- Develop a parking management plan for concourse institutions and park employees that considers the needs of volunteers and employees and discourages single occupancy automobile travel

NOTE: Letters near each action refer to policy basis for the action

Figure 1B
DRAFT CIRCULATION ACTION PLAN
OTHER ACTIONS

GOLDEN GATE PARK MASTER PLAN UPDATE
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JFK Drive bike route

Upgrade existing east-west bike path

Upgrade existing east-west bike path to multi-use path

Upgrade existing bike path

Upgrade existing east-west bike path

Upgrade existing east-west bike path

Upgrade existing bike path

Upgrade panhandle path

Improve safety of crossing at Masonic Ave.

Upgrade paths to Page St.

Alvord Tunnel

Waller Street

Proposed multi-use path along Kezar Dr.

Extend multi-use path along Great Highway

Extend existing bike path to JFK Drive

Upgrade existing east-west bike path

Existing Great Highway multi-use path. Improve connection to park

Upgrade existing bike path

Resurface bicycle track at Polo Field

Existing Overlook Drive bike path

Middle Drive West road closure proposed bicycle learning area

Legend

- Bike Paths (Class I, multiple-use)
- Bike Routes (Class III, on-street)
- Connections to existing citywide bikeway system

Figure 1C

Bicycle Circulation Plan

Golden Gate Park Master Plan
Pedestrian crossing study feasibility
Study putting Cross Over Dr. underground
Grade separation feasibility study

Consider additional traffic calming measures such as a diverter at 10th Ave., roundabouts, or closure of the Kennedy Drive entrance if measures proposed in the plan are not effective

Park-wide actions:

- Consider exploring additional actions to minimize impact of motor vehicles
- Study additional closures of roads to motor vehicles, including: Stow Lake Dr., 10th Ave.,
- Explore extension of streetcars to Golden Gate Park such as continuation of the Historic F-Line, connecting Fisherman's Wharf, Market Street and Golden Gate Park
- Study additional closure of Kennedy Drive on Saturdays
- Explore additional grade separations or undergrounding of park roadways

NOTE: Letters near each action refer to policy basis for the action

These ideas are not part of the Circulation Action Plan. They are actions that may be considered appropriate at some future date, and would require more study, separate environmental clearance, and an amendment to the Master Plan

Figure 2
IDEAS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION
Golden Gate Park Master Plan

westbound:
1 lane into park, 2 lanes to Kezar Drive

narrow to 3 lanes
convert 4th lane to bike lane

remove asphalt for landscaped park entry

10' bike path in median (eastbound only)

6' bike lane

Panhandle bike path

add all-bicycle phase to existing traffic signal with detectors and/or pushbutton

Figure 3
Kezar Drive/JFK Drive Entry
Proposed Traffic Changes
Figure 4
Typical Landscape Extension
Figure 5
Stanyan/Fulton Intersection
Accessibility

The federal Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) has mandated guidelines and timetables for improving the accessibility of public facilities. In 1993 the Recreation and Park Department formed an ADA Task Force to establish a plan to bring Golden Gate Park facilities into conformance with accessibility regulations. The Task Force has expanded upon accessibility planning that was begun in 1989. The primary goal of the ADA Task Force was to develop a transition plan that included a list of specific projects, with costs and priorities. The plan is intended to guide spending of the funds available from the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond.

The ADA Task Force developed the following general policies regarding accessibility:

- Where hazardous conditions exist, they shall automatically be addressed.

- All restrooms in Golden Gate Park should be brought into compliance with ADA.

- An accessible pedestrian loop system should be developed with includes loops of varying lengths that connect park facilities.

- Accessible street parking should be provided adjacent to facilities that do not have parking lots associated with them. Disabled parking, whether in a lot, or on the street, should be brought into compliance with ADA, including path of travel to the facility.

- Accessible park entrances should be developed in association with existing and future accessible transit stops.

- Major facilities, which are complex, attract large numbers of visitors and include several activities, should be designated as “special study areas.” The special study areas should be looked at as a whole in relationship to parking, pedestrian connections, accessible pedestrian loops, public transit, and path of travel.

- Accessibility projects should be undertaken in conjunction with other projects funded by the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond. Whenever possible, projects should be grouped together to maximize economy of scale.

- Accessibility projects will include an examination of path of travel from parking and public transit, as well as an examination of appropriate signs.

- A coordinated sign system should be developed for Golden Gate Park that is accessible.

- Standard details should be developed to ensure consistent solutions to common problems such as pathways, curb cuts, and restrooms.

- Facilities and programs in Golden Gate Park which have large numbers of visitors or are unique should be given a high priority.
Accessible Circulation and Parking

Legend

- Accessible Loop
- Path Connection
- Bus Route
- Accessible Parking
Pedestrian Circulation

Golden Gate Park was created to be the perfect place for walking out of doors in an attractive setting. There are numerous paved pedestrian paths, both adjacent to roadways and separate from them. There are also many unpaved trails throughout the park. The park is generally well served by walkways, but there are some deficiencies which are listed below. Efforts should be made to encourage walking and to protect the high quality experience. The pedestrian circulation policy from the Golden Gate Park Objectives and Policies states the following:

"Provide an accessible pedestrian circulation system that promotes safe and enjoyable pedestrian activities."

Recommendations

- Continuous pedestrian paths should be provided where they are absent:
  - access to McLaren Lodge
  - path along Conservatory Drive East
  - path along Bowling Green Drive
  - path along Middle Drive East

- Night lighting is recommended to improve safety along pedestrian access routes to night use areas from pedestrian entrances, Muni transit stops, and parking areas (see proposed night lighting plan).

- Increase enforcement of vehicle speed limits and yielding at crosswalks.

- Some paths are multiple-use paths that permit bicycles, roller skaters, and pedestrians. These paths designated for multiple-use should be upgraded with an 8' or 10' width of asphalt, and 2'-wide decomposed granite (or quarry fines) pedestrian surfaces on both sides. These paths are identified on the Bicycle Circulation Plan.

- All park features and corridors should have adequate paths for safe and convenient pedestrian circulation. Publicize the walkways system through appropriate signs, maps at entrances, and a park walking brochure with a map.

- Selected walkways will be improved to provide access to park features and facilities as required by accessibility codes and regulations.

- Pathway maintenance should occur more regularly, becoming a regular part of gardeners' responsibilities. Proper maintenance levels may require additional staff.
Bicycle Circulation

Bicycling is one of the primary ways that people enjoy the park. Cyclists are attracted to the park's landscape, its curving roads and paths, and the opportunity to avoid the normal traffic of city streets. Bicyclists experience the park as it was designed—by traveling through it and experiencing the changing vistas afforded by the curving drives, forests, and meadows. The park serves as a primary transportation route for bicyclists in the western part of the city. Efforts should be made to encourage bicycling and to protect the high quality bicycling experience. Bicyclists should also be encouraged to respect other park users and obey regulations pertaining to bicycling. The bicycle circulation plan recognizes that there are several types of bicyclists, each with differing needs. The plan attempts to provide opportunities for cyclists of all abilities. The bicycle circulation policy from the Golden Gate Park Objectives and Policies states the following:

"Provide for the safe and convenient use of the bicycle as a means of recreation and transportation to, within, and through Golden Gate Park. Provide continuity with the City bikeways plan."

Recommendations

- Organize the park's bikeway system into a cohesive network of bike routes and paths. Publicize the bikeway system through appropriate signs, maps at entrances, and a park bicycling brochure with a map.

- Roads that are particularly good for bicycling should be designated and signed as bike routes (Class III bikeway). Generally, the designated bike routes are ones that have sufficient roadway space for bicycles, or make important connections in the bikeway system. The purpose of these is to guide bicyclists that are not familiar with the park. There should be no restriction to bicycles on roads that are not designated as bike routes.

- A system of off-street bike paths (Class I, multiple-use paths) should provide an alternative for bicyclists who prefer to ride separated from traffic. There is a basic system existing, however most of the paths are poorly designed or are in bad condition. Since bike paths are also used by pedestrians, they are essentially multiple-use paths, and should be designed as such. All paths designated as multiple-use bike paths should be upgraded with an 8' or 10' width of asphalt, and 2'-wide decomposed granite (or quarry fines) pedestrian surfaces on both sides. The system consists of a primary east-west path, running from the panhandle to the Great Highway. This existing path needs upgrading, including widening and resurfacing most of the path, improving clearances, and adding striping and signs to warn pedestrians and bicyclists.

Additional bike paths are proposed to provide important connections (see Bicycle Circulation Plan).

- The bikeway system should provide good connections to, and be a part of, the city-wide bikeway system. Connections between the park and the panhandle path, and the Great Highway path should be improved for convenience and safety.

- When modifications are made to park roads, the minimum travel lane width should be 16' (12' plus 4' for bikes).

- A portion of Middle Drive West is proposed to be closed to vehicles, and to become a designated bicycle learning area where parents can bring children to learn bicycle riding skills in a safe area. The area should be designated with signs and identified on park maps.

- Bicycle parking should be provided at major park attractions.

- Secure, monitored bicycle parking is proposed for the Music Concourse. It is to be located in a portion of the bus parking lot, which has a full-time attendant.

- Bicycle information and regulations should be posted at all park entries, consistent with the proposed entry sign system.

- Night lighting is recommended to improve safety along major bicycle commuter routes, at intersections, and along routes to night use areas (see proposed night lighting plan).
Hierarchy of Paved Paths

**Type 1 - Pedestrian Path**
- **Users:** pedestrians, service vehicles
- **Width:** 8' asphalt (desirable width)

**Type 2 - Multi-use Path**
- **Users:** pedestrians, bicyclists, service vehicles
- **Width:** 8' asphalt (minimum width)
  - 2' granular shoulders (red rock, quan fines, or decomposed granite)

**Type 3 - Accessible Loop Path**
- **Users:** pedestrians, disabled users, service vehicles
- **Width:** 8' asphalt (minimum width)
- **Slope:**
  - Desired: less than 1' in 20' (5%)
  - Maximum: 1' in 12' (8.3%) with handrails and landings for every 30" of rise
Type 4 - Accessible Multi-use Path

This path type will generally only occur at road intersections where bicycles and accessible paths coincide.

Users: all types, service vehicles
Width: 12' asphalt
Slope: desired: less than 1' in 20' (5%) maximum: 1' in 12' (8.3%) with handrails and landings for every 30" of rise

Type 5 - Minimum Accessible Path of Travel

Users: pedestrians, disabled users
Width: 4' asphalt (minimum width with wider pull outs)
Slope: desired: less than 1' in 20' (5%) maximum: 1' in 12' (8.3%) with handrails and landings for every 30" of rise
Hierarch of Trails

Service Road/Multiple-use Trail
Users: pedestrians, bicyclists, service vehicles
Width: 10'-12' constructed trail surface, aggregate with quarry fines

Equestrian/Pedestrian Trail
Users: equestrians, pedestrians
Width: 8'-10' constructed trail surface, aggregate with quarry fines

Bicycle Trail
Users: bicyclists (pedestrians allowed, but not encouraged)
Width: 3'-4' constructed trail surface, aggregate with quarry fines

Minor Trail
Users: pedestrians
Width: 2'-3' native soil or constructed trail surface (quarry fines)
Recreation
Recreation Facilities

There has always been demand for active and structured recreation throughout the park’s history. One hundred years ago people were coming to Golden Gate Park for relaxation, walking, bicycling, picnicking, boating, enjoying the horticultural displays and concerts, and playing baseball, tennis and other sports. Over the years new activities and facilities were added such as horseshoes, playgrounds, lawn bowling greens, model yacht club, soccer fields, fly casting pools, and Kezar Stadium. The addition of many facilities has resulted in an increase of park land dedicated to exclusive uses rather than to flexible, unprogrammed park land available for less structured park activities. The recreation facilities also require a higher level of maintenance and investment. The demands for recreation need to be balanced with the objectives of preserving the original intent and purpose of the park as a “sylvan and pastoral” retreat. Emphasis should be placed on improving and maintaining existing recreation facilities, rather than adding new ones.

Athletic Fields

There are large athletic fields at Kezar Stadium, Big Rec, the Polo Field, and the Beach Chalet soccer fields. There are other facilities such as the softball diamonds at Sharon Meadow (Little Rec) and Speedway Meadow, the golf course, the archery field, and the bowling greens. There are problems with overuse of some fields at the Polo Field and the Beach Chalet soccer fields. The lack of drainage systems under some fields makes maintenance difficult after rains. The turf is often damaged when fields are wet. The demand for these fields is very high and fields are receiving heavy use. Regular maintenance is not always scheduled into field permit schedules.

- Upgrade irrigation systems
- Install drainage systems where needed
- Scheduled maintenance periods should be added to field permit schedules
- Where feasible, rotate and shift field locations
- Enforce field closures after rains and when required to provide maintenance
- One additional soccer field is recommended at the Richmond Sunset treatment plant site (implementation of an additional field should be contingent on sufficient staff to maintain it)
- Prepare an operations policy for the Polo Field to guide decisions concerning its use.

Children’s Play Areas

There are currently five children’s play areas in the park: 46th Avenue/Lincoln Way, Mothers’ Meadow (M.L. King Drive/Crossover Drive), 9th Avenue/Fulton Street, the Mary B. Connolly Children’s Playground, and at the panhandle at Ashbury Street. Another play area near the Stanyan and Fulton entrance fell into disrepair and was removed. The remaining play areas are in relatively good condition except for the play area at 9th Avenue/Fulton Street. They include wood and steel play structures in sand surfaces. The Mary B. Connolly Children’s Playground is the most recently renovated play area, with a major new zone that is accessible for all children and parents. There are concerns about the play area at 9th Avenue/Fulton Street due to its general condition, its proximity to a busy street, its secluded location, and overhanging trees and branches.

There are two new laws that will require eventual
redesign of the play areas. The first is the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) which addresses accessibility. California is revising the State Building Code (Title 24, Disabled Access Regulations) to comply with the ADA. Path of travel to and within play areas, and integration of accessible and non-accessible elements will need to be reviewed. ADA compliance must be met by 1995. The second law that affects play areas is the Consumer Products Safety Commission Guidelines (CPSC) which were adopted as California law in 1992. This law covers safety issues such as distances between equipment (safety zones), separation of age group equipment (preschool versus school age children), height of fall and surfacing, head entrapment (size of openings), and elimination of protrusions. Compliance with the CPSC Guidelines must be met by the year 2000.

- Play areas will need to be rebuilt to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title 24, and the Consumer Product Safety Commission Guidelines.
- The play area at 9th Ave./Fulton Street is in need of a general renovation and safety improvements including the removal of any potentially hazardous tree branches.

Tennis Courts
The tennis courts have occupied the same site since 1894. The complex has been expanded over the years and now consists of 21 courts, serving almost 100,000 players annually. Although a fee is now charged to use the courts, the revenue collected does not cover the expenses to maintain the complex. Night lighting is under consideration to extend playing hours to better serve users and to generate greater revenue.

Equestrian Facilities
The existing equestrian center dates from 1938. The facility is run by a concessionaire and offers riding instruction, trail rides, and boarding of horses. There are 75 stalls, about half of which are available to boarders. A proposal to cover the central arena is currently under consideration. A covered ring would extend the usable hours, better serving users and raising additional revenue. The Equestrian Center is in need of general reconstruction to remedy code violations and seismic upgrade. The bleachers and judges stand at the Bercut Equitation Field are in disrepair and need reconstruction. An additional training ring is proposed for the east end of Little Speedway Meadow.

Trails and Paths
There are numerous paved and unpaved trails and paths throughout the park, including several service roads. They are essential for walking, running, bicycling and horseback riding; activities that are increasing in popularity. Paved paths are also a key component of pedestrian circulation and accessibility. The interior trails offer opportunities to escape from traffic and provide access to the most quiet parts of the park. There are designated bridle trails and a bike path. There are numerous unpaved trails, some of which are "volunteer" or "social" trails that were not planned. The sandy soil is erosion prone when vegetation is trampled and removed. Trail users should be encouraged to remain on designated trails.

- Maintenance of paths and trails is not done on any regular schedule or basis. Path and trail maintenance should be made a part of gardeners' regular tasks, or a special trail crew should be established.
- Trails and paths that are used by maintenance vehicles should be of sufficient width and structural strength to support vehicles without sustaining damage.
- Many asphalt paths need repaving. Paths that are designated for multiple-use (including bicycles) should be widened to minimize user conflicts.
- Heavily used unpaved trails need crushed rock bases to be maintained and support service vehicle access.
- "Volunteer" or "social" trails need to be eliminated where not necessary. These trails are responsible for serious erosion problems around the park. Trails should be removed and revegetated. Temporary fencing, barriers, and signs explaining erosion problems should be installed to discourage use.
- Trail etiquette should be promoted.
- Maps showing designated trails should be displayed at all park entries.

Regional Trail Connections
Two regional trails, the Bay Area Ridge Trail and the Juan Bautista De Anza National Historic Trail, are proposed to pass through Golden Gate Park. The Bay Area Ridge Trail is a 400-mile trail system that travels the ridges around San Francisco Bay and links many parks and open spaces. The De Anza Trail is a National Historic Trail that represents the route taken by Juan Bautista De Anza in 1775-76 from what is now Mexico across deserts and mountains to found a colony for Spain in San Francisco. The route in Golden Gate Park...
Regional Trail Connections

for the De Anza Trail is part of a connection between Lake Merced and Mountain Lake Park. The designated route for both trails will follow existing trails and paths within Golden Gate Park. Signs directing trail users will be kept to a minimum.

Mountain Bikes on Trails
A planning process involving bicyclists, equestrian, other trail users, and park maintenance staff is being undertaken to study the feasibility of permitting mountain bike on park trails. Mountain bike use in the park has grown in recent years, but currently there is no policy or designated trails, which has led to indiscriminate use of mountain bikes around the park. Uncontrolled mountain bike use has caused damage in many areas around the park, including erosion, soil compaction, and plant damage. Under consideration is the premise that by permitting controlled use, and by educating park users about damage caused by bicycling off of designated trails, the indiscriminate use and damage can be reduced. Trail safety and user conflicts are also being discussed with the goal of finding solutions. Permitting mountain bikes is contingent on several conditions:

- suitable trails can be agreed upon west of Crossover Drive
- the planning process include participation by maintenance staff, equestrians, and other users
- the trails be maintainable to minimize erosion problems
- a bicycle group volunteers to assist with maintenance of trails, signs, and educational and enforcement programs

- an aggressive program is begun to minimize damage by mountain bike misuse through education, enforcement, and installation of signs, barriers, and landscaping
- the trail use is to be monitored and the policy be reviewed.

Other Recreation Facilities
There are numerous other recreation facilities in the park. The conditions of these vary considerably. The facilities that are most in demand generally have better maintenance. In some cases there are clubs or concessions that assist with maintenance. Following are brief summaries of their existing conditions and needs.

- Archery Field: Targets need repair and new stands.
- Golf Course: Golf course is generally in good condition. The irrigation system needs upgrading.
- Handball Courts: Walls need patching and painting, roof needs repair.
- Lawn Bowling Greens: Asphalt surfaces around greens need repair. Maintaining greens is highly labor intensive.
- Resurface bicycle track at Polo Field.
- Horseshoes: Needs general renovation, often vandalized due to secluded location, not accessible. A relocation of the horseshoe pits to a site near the dog training area and petanque court should be considered.
- Petanque Field: Maintained by users.
- Fly Casting Pools: Need periodic draining and cleaning.
Special Events and Permits

Golden Gate Park hosts many special events during the year, including concerts, festivals, races, and bike rides. Events in the park are governed by the City Charter and the Recreation and Park Commission Permit and Reservation Policy. They must be recreational in nature and event sponsors must meet the requirements of the permit policy (which is reviewed by the Commission every six months).

Some events have raised questions as to their appropriateness and impacts on the park. Large events are required to pay fees and post a performance bond to cover costs and damages, although the true costs may exceed those collected. The limited maintenance staff are removed from regular duties to perform repairs, and regular maintenance suffers.

The location of some events has been questioned. The carrying capacity of areas should be further studied, and the permit policy refined to reflect findings. Turf areas and athletic fields suffer from compaction and other damage. Some events that formerly took place in the Music Concourse, which was designed for events and has a tough surface, have been moved to Sharon Meadow. Other events fence off areas to charge admission, and require up to a week for preparation and take down, denying park users use of those areas.

Most of the events are fund-raisers for various nonprofit organizations, but they are highly profitable for event promoters. Consideration should be given to giving priority to events that benefit Golden Gate Park, and to increasing fees to further supplement the park’s funding.

Recommendations

- All events should meet a standard of appropriateness as outlined in the Objectives and Policies and the City Charter. Consider directing some events to other sites within San Francisco.

- Carefully assess impacts and costs of events. Ensure that fees cover true costs of events and allow for overtime pay for maintenance staff to perform repairs so regular maintenance does not suffer.

- Assess carrying capacity of permit areas based on surface characteristics and other factors.

- Continue to review (every six months) and revise the Permit and Reservations Policy to minimize impacts of events and to maximize fees to benefit the park. Regular policy review provides an opportunity for public involvement.

- Consider alternatives to fenced events that charge admission.
Visitor Facilities
Visitor Information

A need has been identified for better visitor information for both resident users and tourists. Visitor centers and information kiosks would provide visitor information and could serve as staging areas for tours and other services. Visitor centers should occur within existing park buildings and can serve an educational purpose, with information and exhibits on park features, history, and natural resources. These may also provide opportunities for generating revenue through retailing, fees for services such as tours, and fund-raising appeals. The only existing visitor information is available informally at the McLaren Lodge reception desk.

Visitor Centers
There are two visitor centers proposed: one in the eastern park and one in the western park. These would be destination points that attract visitors to them. Due to limited department funds, a park conservancy organization would play a large role in the development and operation of visitor centers. The visitor center would also provide an important visible presence for the conservancy. Visitor centers have potential for generating revenue through the sale of park-related merchandise. This revenue will be important for funding operation of the visitor information services.

One option for the eastern park visitor center at this time, is at the County Fair Building. The opportunity for a visitor center may occur with a proposed redevelopment of the entire building, and is being included in a comprehensive plan for the building. A visitor center at this location would be serve both the park and Strybing Arboretum. This would be the park’s primary visitor center and would include a staffed information desk, exhibit space, and retail area for park-related merchandise. Short-term parking and a bus pullout will improve access of the facility. There are other options for an eastern park visitor center including a portion of the space now occupied by the Asian Art Museum (contingent upon the success of the museum’s plans to relocate), at the Pioneer Log Cabin, and in the Conservatory entry area. McLaren Lodge will continue to provide information at the reception desk.

The western park visitor center is proposed for the ground floor of the Beach Chalet. This use would complement the cafe restaurant that is proposed for the second floor. This center would enable display of the WPA-era murals that cover the ground floor walls. It could also contain a staffed information desk, small exhibits, and the sale of park-related merchandise.

Information Kiosk
There is also a need to provide visitor information in the Music Concourse, which has the largest concentration of visitors. A small information kiosk (less than 75 square feet) would provide park information, a meeting place for tours, sales of appropriate park items, and opportunities for park fund-raising. A kiosk will also provide visibility for the park conservancy organization. The kiosk could be staffed, but should be designed to also provide information when not staffed (possibly including an interactive computer). The most likely strategic location for an information kiosk is in the paved area between the Tea Garden and the Asian Art Museum.
Visitor Information Options

Asian Art Museum Space
(Visitor center option):
- If Asian Art Museum relocates
- Staffed information center
- Exhibit space
- Retail area

Information Kiosk Location
(Probable location)
- Staffed or unstaffed information kiosk
- Exhibit/information boards on kiosk
- Limited retail sales

Music Concourse Area

Conservatory Entry Area (Option)
- Staffed information kiosk

McLaren Lodge
- Continue limited visitor information services

Beach Chalet Visitor Center:
- Staffed information center
- Exhibit space
- Retail area
- Cafe upstairs

Pioneer Log Cabin
(Visitor center option)
- Staffed information center
- Exhibit space

County Fair Building Visitor Center:
- Staffed information center
- Serving park and arboretum
- Exhibit space for park and arboretum
- Park & horticultural-related retail area
- Cafe is under consideration

Golden Gate Park Master Plan
Park Information Signs

Historically, signs have been kept to a minimum in the park because they conflict with the pastoral nature of the landscape. With the addition of more facilities in different parts of the park, directional signs were added. Growing use of the park led to the need for more regulations, and more signs to inform visitors about the regulations. The automobile brought with it new signs and an entire motor vehicle code to govern their use.

Today there are a great number of signs in the park (a 1984 sign survey in the park identified 542 signs that were visible along park roadways). They were added case by case as needed, rather than by any plan. The signs include those mounted on poles, freestanding, and pavement signs and generally fall into the following categories:

Park Regulations. Non-vehicle signs relating to public safety and park protection in regard to bicyclists, skaters, pedestrians, equestrians and other non-vehicle activities. These signs are maintained by Recreation and Park staff. Regulation signs are made of various materials, mounted in various manners (including on tree trunks) or painted on pavement.

Traffic and Parking. Signs relating to all vehicle traffic and parking regulations. As public roads, the use of these signs is governed by the California Vehicle Code and they are installed and maintained by the San Francisco departments of Public Works and Parking and Traffic.

Park Feature Signs. These are signs that indicate locations, facilities, places, street names, or provide directions to them. They are maintained by Recreation and Park staff. There are three main design types, including brown metal signs (standard recreation signs), green wood panels hung from metal brackets on poles, and wood panels between wood posts (used at some facility entrances).

Pedestal Maps. The pedestal maps are relatively recent additions to the park’s signs. They consist of an illustrative park map mounted on a concrete pedestal. They are placed in strategic locations and get frequent use by visitors. The design is vandal resistant.

Recommendations

- A comprehensive sign master plan should be developed for the park that establishes a coordinated, well designed sign system with standards for sign design, placement, and uses. Standard sign designs should complement the park’s historic landscape design.

- A new system of entry signs is proposed that would provide comprehensive information at all park entries, thereby reducing the need for signs within the park. Information signs at pedestrian and bicycle entries will include a park map, park regulations, accessibility information, bicycling information, park events, emergency information, and an emergency telephone.
• Automobile entry signs should announce entry into the park, post speed limit and other vehicle restrictions, and request that park visitors drive with care. Standard vehicle signs can be mounted on larger signboards.

• Standard entry sign designs should be durable and vandal resistant, and include informational panels that can be easily updated or replaced as necessary.

• Continue the use of concrete pedestal signs in the park. Update sign panels as needed.

• Implement a system of trail and pathway signs to foster safe trail use and cooperation between different trail users, and to communicate trail use prohibitions.

• Improve accessibility of park information through better sign designs.

• Placement of regulatory signs should meet legal requirements to facilitate enforcement of regulations.

• Brochures and maps should be developed to supplement fixed signs, providing self-guiding tours that focus on visitor activities such as bicycling, walking, horseback riding, historical tours, sculpture tours, tree tours, bird watching, and other activities.
Pedestrian and Bicycle Entry Sign Concept
Typical Park Feature Sign
Trail Sign Symbols
- pedestrian route
- accessible route
- bicycle route
- equestrian route
- directional arrow

Trail and Path Signs

12" printed sign
white image on dark green field
(Pantone 3435)

2" wide white reflective tape

3" printed disks
recessed 1/4" into post
white image on dark green field
(Pantone 3435)

Red slash on prohibition signs

4"x4" pressure treated post
(or recycled plastic lumber)
Restrooms

The condition of the park’s restrooms greatly influences visitor perceptions of the park’s condition and management. Many of the restrooms are in poor condition, needing major repairs, or do not meet accessibility standards. The design of some is dark and cramped. Depending on their location, some restrooms are abused frequently and are often dirty, others are well maintained and kept clean. Some of the problem restrooms have been closed.

Many of the restrooms will be rebuilt with funds from the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond. Almost all will need accessibility improvements. The complexity of the upgrades will determine which of three courses of actions to take: rehabilitation within an existing structure, rehabilitation with a slight enlargement of an existing structure, or demolition and construction of a replacement structure. In the case of a replacement structure, locations may be shifted slightly to improve access or reduce impacts of the structure.

Recommendations

- Restore restrooms with priority given to removing accessibility barriers. Mechanical and structural improvements should be coordinated with accessibility work, which may require minor expansion of some buildings.

- Some restrooms will require new structures when the existing ones cannot be adapted. New restroom structures should be appropriately designed for Golden Gate Park.

- Reopen restrooms that have been closed. Provide adequate security and maintenance to keep all restrooms in good condition.

Separate Restroom Buildings:

- Arboretum
- Bandstand annex
- Big Rec
- JFK Drive at North Lake
- Children’s Playground
- Conservatory Drive West
- Horseshoe courts
- Meadow west of Rose Garden
- Tea Garden
- Mother’s Meadow
- North Training Quarters (Polo Field)
- South Training Quarters (Polo Field)
- Panhandle
- Stanyan & Page
- Beach Chalet soccer field
- Speedway Meadow

Restrooms within Larger Structures:

- Anglers’ Lodge
- Academy of Sciences
- Sharon Building
- DeYoung Museum/Asian Art Museum
- Golf course
- County Fair Building
- Model Yacht Club
- Stow Lake boathouse
- Tennis clubhouse
- McLaren Lodge
- McLaren Lodge Annex
- Beach Chalet
- Lawn Bowling Clubhouse
- Pioneer Log Cabin
- Senior Center
- Senior Center
- Kezar Pavilion
- Kezar Stadium
Separate Restroom Buildings
Closed restrooms to be reopened
Restrooms within Larger Structures
Proposed new public restrooms

Public Restrooms
Park Furnishings

Benches
Benches are scattered throughout Golden Gate Park and are an important element for passive use of the park. Benches create social spaces in the park. There are several types of benches in the park, most with concrete or metal frames and wood slats. Most benches are painted green, although some are natural wood. The benches are basic designs, without a distinctive character. A memorial bench policy provides guidelines for donated benches with a recognition plaque. A minimum donation of $3,000 covers purchase and installation of a bench (for an estimated 5-year bench life), routine maintenance and repair, administration of the Memorial Bench Program, and a contribution to a park maintenance endowment fund.

Recycled plastic lumber is gaining popularity for many uses including for landscape furnishings. Purified high density polyethylene (HDPE) is the best material for landscape use. It is durable and resists damage from sunlight or vandalism. The material has integral color and will not absorb moisture, rot, peel, or split. Other benefits of using recycled plastic lumber are reuse of fossil fuels, reduction of landfill materials, and timber or ecosystem preservation.

Recommendations:
• Standard and distinctive bench designs should be selected for Golden Gate Park for benches that complement the park setting, resist abuse, and are cost effective. There should be an ornamental bench for formal areas, and a simplified design for other areas. The benches should be selected from standard commercial designs that will available in the future.
• Designs utilizing recycled plastic lumber (purified HDPE) should be considered.

Trash Receptacles
There are trash receptacles scattered throughout the park, most being in high activity areas. Despite the number of trash receptacles, litter is a problem in the park. In some high use areas the trash receptacles fill up quickly, resulting in trash being piled around it. Dumping of household trash is a problem in some areas, particularly where there are larger dumpster type receptacles. The dumpster-type trash receptacles are used because they can be easily emptied into garbage trucks. The dumpsters however, are unsightly and the locations that are accessible to trucks are usually highly visible. Many of the other trash receptacles are recycled storage drums that are, while economical, are also unsightly. Like other park furnishings, trash receptacles should contribute to the park setting rather than detract from it.

Recommendations:
• A trash receptacle design should be chosen that can be serviced economically and is more appropriate for the park setting. An appropriate design should be used in high visibility areas such as the Music Concourse.
• Where dumpster-type trash receptacles are necessary, an enclosure should be used to screen the dumpster.
• Priority should be given to providing staff to service trash receptacles at frequent intervals.
• Continue to encourage volunteer and neighborhood groups to assist with litter pick up.
Buildings and Structures

Most buildings and structures in Golden Gate Park will receive modifications to improve seismic characteristics and to meet new accessibility codes and regulations. These modifications are expensive and will be phased as funding is made available and regulations require. Some of the modifications may require changes to a building’s appearance or footprint. In some cases restrooms will need to be enlarged and new entries and ramps constructed. Effort should be made to minimize impacts to the integrity of historic and landmark structures.

Recommendations

Beach Chalet. The Beach Chalet, built in 1925, was San Francisco architect Willis Polk’s last commission. The downstairs served as a “commodious restroom” for beach visitors and the upstairs was a restaurant with seating for 200. In 1936 the walls of the first floor were adorned with murals by San Francisco artist Lucien LaBaudt. The work was funded by the Federal Art Project of the WPA.

In the 1980’s the building was partially rehabilitated to provide for major infrastructure improvements, including seismic strengthening and restoration of the murals. Additional funding from the federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act and the 1992 Golden Gate Park Infrastructure Bond has been secured for additional improvements, including accessibility to make the first floor suitable for use as a park visitor center. The visitor center would provide visitor information, exhibits, and display of the murals. The Recreation and Park Department is currently seeking a concessionaire to lease and operate the second floor of the building for a cafe/restaurant and environmental retail. The costs for the visitor center exhibits are expected to cost $200,000. Funding for the exhibits is a high priority.

Carousel. The historic carousel structure was built in 1889 and housed the original carousel which was replaced with the present one in 1941. The carousel, the Sharon Building (1888), and the children’s playground (1888) comprised one of the earliest children’s facilities in a public park. The building has undergone several restorations over the years and is in good condition; however the structure seismic rating is very poor and upgrading the structure should be a high priority. The carousel itself was restored in 1984. In 1993, the building was painted and damaged window panes replaced. The mechanical organ is in need of restoration.

Conservatory. The Conservatory is one of the most visible and historically significant structures in the park. Built in 1878, the conservatory was one the park’s first structures. It has undergone several partial restorations over the years, most recently in 1966 and 1981; however the structure has significant seismic deficiencies that are yet to be corrected. The seismic rating, along with its visibility, use, and historic value, places the Conservatory high on the list for future rehabilitation plans.

The Rose House is a nursery support structure of the Conservatory. It is so badly deteriorated that its demolition is necessary. Panels of the original structure will be saved to be used as models for a future reconstruction.

County Fair Building. The County Fair Building was built in 1961 and contains a gallery, auditorium, recreation and meeting rooms, and office space. The building is in good condition but needs some seismic upgrading and asbestos removal. A potential new use for a portion of the building is a joint visitor center for the park and the arboretum. This development could include an exhibit area, horticultural and park related retail sales, and possibly other revenue generating uses. A separate planning process, part of a special area master plan for the arboretum, is underway to plan for redevelopment of the building.

Equestrian Center. The equestrian center was built as a WPA project in 1938 and consists of four cast concrete stables, a concrete grandstand with stands beneath, and several wood frame ancillary buildings. The condition of the structures is generally fair to poor with numerous maintenance, structural, and seismic problems. There are also building code issues that need to be addressed. Improvements to the equestrian center will be a part future concession agreement. The central ring may be covered to enhance use of the facility. A perimeter fence may also be added for night security. A caretakers unit of approximately 750 square feet will be incorporated into an existing building or a replacement structure.

Golf Course Clubhouse. The golf course clubhouse will receive accessibility improvements and may be enlarged approximately 750 square feet to improve support services.
Kezar Pavilion. Kezar Pavilion is a gymnasium building that has 4,000 seats for basketball and other court games. The building condition is fair and but needs seismic upgrading, which is not extensive, but it will be costly due to the building’s size. The building also needs accessibility improvements.

McLaren Lodge. McLaren Lodge is the administrative headquarters of the Recreation and Park Department. It was built in 1896 to house the Park Commission offices and as a residence for John McLaren. Today it is used for offices and assembly purposes. It also houses the offices of the Friends of Recreation and Parks and provides limited visitor information at the reception desk. The building is in fair condition. Because of its high visibility, use, and need for seismic, accessibility and other improvements, McLaren Lodge is a high priority for future rehabilitation plans.

Millwright’s House. The millwright’s house, adjacent to the south windmill, dates from 1909 and is currently used as a caretaker’s residence. The structure will require extensive structural and accessibility improvements to make it usable for a new park use. If a new use is found, and the needed improvements prove cost effective, the structure should be preserved. If it is determined that it is not feasible to renovate house to a new use, it should be demolished. If the building is removed, the site could be considered for other uses, including a new pavilion structure with visitor services for this area of the park.

Murphy’s Windmill. The south windmill was built in 1906 to pump well water to other areas of the park. It has fallen into disrepair, its sails have been removed, and the structure is deteriorating. This plan calls for its restoration similar to that of the north windmill. Both windmills are highly visible features of the west end and their condition reflects on the condition of the park.

Park Emergency Hospital. This structure served as a San Francisco Department of Public Health emergency hospital from 1902 until 1978. It continued as an ambulance station until 1991. The building will require extensive work for accessibility and seismic improvements to make it suitable for a new use. If the improvements can be made, the structure may provide a new location for recreational activities. Another possible use would be as offices for a park conservancy organization.

Pioneer Log Cabin. The Pioneer Log Cabin was built in 1911 and expanded in 1931. It originally served as a meeting house for the Pioneer Mothers Club. A restoration of the building was recently completed. The addition of a basic kitchen (now funded) will make the building suitable for weddings and event rentals, or as a visitor center with exhibits and programs.

Powell Street Railway Station. The railway shelter on Fulton Street at 7th Avenue was built in 1889, and was once a major entrance for visitors arriving by steam trains and later by cable cars. The historic structure is in good condition. It is recommended to re-establish the railway shelter as a transit portal and pedestrian entrance. This will require designing a new path into the park and opening a visual corridor between the railway shelter and JFK Drive. The structure may also serve as a location for a revenue generating concession that is compatible with the entry such as bicycle and skate rental or other park related business.

Sharon Building. The Sharon Building was built in 1888 as a canteen serving children and mothers visiting the children’s quarter. The building was seriously damaged in the 1906 earthquake and reconstructed. A fire damaged the building in 1974 and the structure was again restored, with the final phase of work being completed in 1992. The building currently serves as an art center. It has potential to generate revenue if the building can be restored to its original use as a restaurant. This change in use would require that a new facility for the art center be found.

Tennis Clubhouse. A limited expansion of the tennis clubhouse is under consideration to improve user services and revenue generation potential.
**Buildings and Monuments**

- **Beach Chalet**
  - accessibility improvements
  - western park visitor center
  - cafe upstairs

- **Sunset-Richmond Treatment Plant**
  - demolish all buildings and structures

- **Urban Forestry Center Buildings**
  - code and general upgrade

- **Equestrian Center**
  - seismic & code upgrade
  - central ring may be covered

- **Golf Course Clubhouse**
  - accessibility improvements
  - may be expanded 750 sf for improved support services

- **Pioneer Log Cabin**
  - potential revenue generator as rental facility
  - needs kitchen improvements

- **McLaren Lodge**
  - seismic upgrade
  - landmark structure

- **Conservatory**
  - seismic & structural upgrade
  - landmark structure
  - visitor center in entry area

- **Park Emergency Hospital**
  - accessibility and general upgrade needed
  - new facility for recreational activities
  - landmark structure

- **Kezar Pavilion**
  - seismic & accessibility upgrade

- **Tennis Clubhouse**
  - general upgrade
  - potential revenue generator with limited expansion

- **Spreckels Temple of Music**
  - seismic upgrade
  - possible redevelopment as visitor center for arboretum & park
  - asbestos removal

- **County Fair Building**
  - seismic restoration completed in 1994

- **Japanese Tea Garden Buildings**
  - accessibility improvements

- **Sharon Building**
  - restaurant and children's center
  - potential revenue generator
  - proposals for reuse require that a suitable space be found to relocate the art center

- **Carousel**
  - seismic upgrade
  - eligible for landmark status

- **Millwright's house**
  - new use is needed, consider for demolition if new use is not feasible
  - if demolished, may be replaced with a new pavilion structure that includes opportunities for concessions, restrooms, and other visitor services.

- **Murphy's Mill**
  - exterior restoration
  - eligible for landmark status

- **Powell St. Railway Station**
  - general restoration
  - historic structure
  - improve park entry

- **Sharon Building**
  - restaurant and children's center
  - potential revenue generator
  - proposals for reuse require that a suitable space be found to relocate the art center
## Buildings and Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PRIORITY RATING</th>
<th>DATE BUILT</th>
<th>HISTORIC STATUS</th>
<th>BUILDING CONDITION</th>
<th>DISABLED ACCESS</th>
<th>PUBLIC VISIBILITY</th>
<th>POTENTIAL REVENUE</th>
<th>CURRENT USE</th>
<th>PROPOSED USE</th>
<th>SEISMIC RATING</th>
<th>UPGRADE COMPLEXITY</th>
<th>SEISMIC COST</th>
<th>BUILDING COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beach Chalet</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>Landmark #179</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unused</td>
<td>Visitor Center/ Cafe</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$350,000.</td>
<td>$138,120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carousel</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Carousel</td>
<td>Carousel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$2,113,607.</td>
<td>$360,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservatory (1)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1878</td>
<td>Landmark #50</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Conservatory</td>
<td>Conservatory</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Not rated</td>
<td>$192,000.</td>
<td>$296,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Fair Building</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1961</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Assembly</td>
<td>Visitor Center/ Assembly</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$192,000.</td>
<td>$360,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian Center</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Stables Grandstand</td>
<td>Stables Grandstand</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>unkn.</td>
<td>$192,000.</td>
<td>$296,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar Pavilion (2)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Pavilion</td>
<td>Pavilion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Not rated</td>
<td>$892,500.</td>
<td>$542,300.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaren Lodge (3)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>Landmark #175</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Admin/Assembly</td>
<td>Admin/Assembly</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not rated</td>
<td>$1,470,000.</td>
<td>$68,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Clubhouse</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$35,000.</td>
<td>$33,600.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Tea Garden</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>Landmark #201</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>Art Center</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy's Mill</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>Garden</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$12,000.</td>
<td>$40,200.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Range</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Abandoned</td>
<td>Stabilization, Illicitic Attraction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$252,000.</td>
<td>$285,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Log Cabin</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unused</td>
<td>Rental Fac.</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell Street RR Station</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Unused</td>
<td>Entry/concession</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$6,000.</td>
<td>$15,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forestry Center</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>1930's</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Forestry Office</td>
<td>Forestry Office</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$12,000.</td>
<td>$134,400.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angler's Lodge</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$21,000.</td>
<td>$79,440.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Pavilion</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pavilion</td>
<td>Pavilion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$4,000.</td>
<td>$15,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporation Yard</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1950's</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$200,000.</td>
<td>$41,200.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Clubhouse</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Crocker Russell</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Library</td>
<td>Library</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$90,000.</td>
<td>$8,400.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horticultural Library</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>Landmark #181</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$30,800.</td>
<td>$54,840.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawn Bowling Clubhouse</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$72,000.</td>
<td>$43,400.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8-4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PRIORITY RATING</th>
<th>DATE BUILT</th>
<th>HISTORIC STATUS</th>
<th>BUILDING CONDITION</th>
<th>DISABLED ACCESS</th>
<th>PUBLIC VISIBILITY</th>
<th>POTENTIAL REVENUE</th>
<th>CURRENT USE</th>
<th>PROPOSED USE</th>
<th>SEISMIC RATING</th>
<th>UPGRADE COMPLEXITY</th>
<th>SEISMIC COST</th>
<th>BUILDING COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murphy's Mill House</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>Seeking New Use</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$72,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music Concourse</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Concourse</td>
<td>Concourse</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$225,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North (Dutch) Windmill</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>Landmark #147</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Windmill</td>
<td>Windmill</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portals of the Past</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Portals</td>
<td>Portals</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$20,000.</td>
<td>$55,200.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>Senior Center</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$441,000.</td>
<td>$27,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Treatment Plant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>N/A Sanitation</td>
<td>Demolish</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$2,310,000.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Building</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>Landmark #124</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes Art Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Completed</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spreckels Temple of Music</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes Bandstand</td>
<td>Bandstand</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>In progress</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stow Lake Boathouse</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Yes Clubhouse</td>
<td>Clubhouse</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$72,000.</td>
<td>$25,160.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strybing Arboretum Bldgs.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Non-contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Interpretive</td>
<td>Interpretive</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>$28,000.</td>
<td>$20,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeney Observatory</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Ruin</td>
<td>Ruin</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$9,840.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Police Stables</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>Contributing</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>N/A Stables</td>
<td>Stables</td>
<td>Stables</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A $28,000</td>
<td>(not in total)</td>
<td>$9,376,524.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**                         |                 |            |                 |                   |                 |                   |                   |             |              |             |                       |             | $9,376,524.  | $2,394,768.  |

(1) Rating and cost estimates from "Seismic Assessment of Various City-Owned Buildings, Conservatory, 1992."

Building costs are included in the estimate for seismic work.

(2) Rating and cost estimates from "Seismic Assessment of Various City-Owned Buildings, Kezar Pavilion, 1992."

(3) Rating and seismic cost estimate from "Seismic Assessment of Various City-Owned Buildings, McLaren Lodge, 1992."


(4) Rating and cost estimates from "Seismic Assessment of Various City-Owned Buildings, Nursery, 1992."
Monuments and Statues

There are over three dozen monuments and statues sited around Golden Gate Park. Together, they comprise a remarkable collection comprised works of many noted sculptors. Each one tells a story or has a history lesson. Most were donated by groups or persons wishing to make a commemoration in a public place. These gifts were not without controversy. Park purists like John McLaren and Frederick Law Olmsted objected to placing statues in the park landscape because they would detract from the pastoral nature of the landscape and its role as an urban escape. The Park Commission (later the Recreation and Park Commission) was concerned that the statues and monuments would become maintenance problems. Today Golden Gate Park has a rich sculptural heritage, and a maintenance problem to maintain these works of art properly.

Many of the statues and monuments are made of bronze. Air pollution, salty sea air, and moisture combine to form compounds that are corrosive to bronze. Proximity to vegetation and irrigation creates a moist environment that encourages corrosion. The result is a porous and encrusted surface that retains moisture and accelerates the corrosion process. Monuments and bases that are made of stone are also subject deterioration. Mortar is weakened and moss and small plants grow in cracks, further weakening the structure. Graffiti and vandalism is also a problem. This creates an impression of neglect which encourages more vandalism.

Fortunately, the level of deterioration on most monuments is not beyond restoration. Each monument has been surveyed to assess its condition and establish conservation recommendations. In most cases, the bronze pieces can be restored by removing the corrosion, replacing with a stabilized patina, and then coated with protective layers that will resist continued degradation. When restored, maintenance of the sculpture will be an on-going process to ensure their continued preservation.

To address maintenance of outdoor sculpture in Golden Gate Park, the San Francisco Art Commission has joined with the Recreation and Park Commission to establish the Adopt-a-Monument Program. The program was begun to provide a means of raising funds for the restoration and maintenance of the park's outdoor sculpture. Estimates have been made for the cost of needed conservation measures, the cost for on-going maintenance (based on a four-year cycle), and the amount for an endowment to fund the on-going maintenance cost.
Buildings and Monuments

- Thomas G. Masaryk
- The Doughboy
- Pioneer Mother
- Prayer Book Cross
- Portals of the Past
- Buddha and Hagiwara Family Plaque
- Saint Francis
- Gold Star Mothers Rock
- Brown Gate (cougar & bear)
- John McLaren
- James A. Garfield
- Baseball Player
- General Henry Halleck
- Horseshoe Player
- William McKinley
- Father William D. McKinnon
- Robert Burns
- William Shakespeare and Sundial
- Miguel De Cervantes
- Sphinxes
- U.S. Grant
- Sundial
- Pool of Enchantment
- Roman Gladiator
- Lion
- Robert Emmet
- Ludwig Van Beethoven
- Giuseppe Verdi
- Thomas Starr King
- Padre Junipero Serra
- General John J. Pershing
- Frances Scott Key
- Apple Cider Press
- Goethe and Schiller
- Rideout Fountain
- DeYoung and Asian Art Museums
- Music Concourse Area
- Academy of Sciences
## Adopt-a-Monument Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Conservation Treatment</th>
<th>Maintenance Endowment</th>
<th>Adoption Total</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Conservation Treatment</th>
<th>Maintenance Endowment</th>
<th>Adoption Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Roald Amundsen Memorial</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$6,400</td>
<td>$9,400</td>
<td>20. Lion</td>
<td>7,750</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>20,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Baseball Player</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>36,500</td>
<td>21. Roman Gladiator (Leonidas)</td>
<td>15,250</td>
<td>28,150</td>
<td>43,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cougar and Bear (Brown Gate)</td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>21,750</td>
<td>31,450</td>
<td>22. Thomas G. Masaryk Memorial</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>8,950</td>
<td>13,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Beethoven</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>37,500</td>
<td>23. William McKinley Monument</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>49,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Buddha</td>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>28,150</td>
<td>109,150</td>
<td>24. Father William D. McKinnon</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>33,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Robert Burns Memorial</td>
<td>18,250</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>37,450</td>
<td>25. John McLaren Statue</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>8,950</td>
<td>13,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Miguel de Cervantes Memorial</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>43,000</td>
<td>26. General John J. Pershing</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Apple Cider Press</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>30,900</td>
<td>27. Pioneer Mother</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>45,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Robert Emmet Memorial</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>8,900</td>
<td>14,400</td>
<td>29. Portals of the Past</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>33,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. James A. Garfield Memorial</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>35,800</td>
<td>69,800</td>
<td>30. Prayer Book Cross</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>38,350</td>
<td>77,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Goethe &amp; Schiller Memorial</td>
<td>20,250</td>
<td>30,700</td>
<td>50,950</td>
<td>31. Rideout Fountain</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>30,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. U.S. Grant Memorial</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>20,450</td>
<td>57,450</td>
<td>32. St. Francis Feeding the Birds</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>12,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Hagiwara Family Plaque</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>3,850</td>
<td>5,850</td>
<td>34. William Shakespeare Statue</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>13,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. General Henry W. Halleck</td>
<td>8,750</td>
<td>14,100</td>
<td>22,850</td>
<td>35. Sphinxes</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>14,100</td>
<td>30,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Horseshoe Player</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>34,200</td>
<td>36. Sun Dial (in Shakespeare Garden)</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>9,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Francis Scott Key Monument</td>
<td>51,000</td>
<td>43,450</td>
<td>94,450</td>
<td>37. Sun Dial (on Tea Garden Drive)</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>11,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Thomas Starr-King Memorial</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>28,150</td>
<td>45,650</td>
<td>38. Guiseppe Verdi</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>35,800</td>
<td>74,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Lion</td>
<td>7,750</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>20,250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Roman Gladiator (Leonidas)</td>
<td>15,250</td>
<td>28,150</td>
<td>43,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Thomas G. Masaryk Memorial</td>
<td>4,250</td>
<td>8,950</td>
<td>13,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. William McKinley Monument</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>49,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Father William D. McKinnon</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>33,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. John McLaren Statue</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>8,950</td>
<td>13,950</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. General John J. Pershing</td>
<td>Adopted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Pioneer Mother</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>45,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Pool of Enchantment</td>
<td>3,750</td>
<td>8,950</td>
<td>12,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Portals of the Past</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>33,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Prayer Book Cross</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>38,350</td>
<td>77,850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Rideout Fountain</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>17,900</td>
<td>30,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. St. Francis Feeding the Birds</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>12,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Padre Junipero Serra Monument</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>30,700</td>
<td>50,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. William Shakespeare Statue</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>7,700</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Sphinxes</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>14,100</td>
<td>30,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Sun Dial (in Shakespeare Garden)</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>9,150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Sun Dial (on Tea Garden Drive)</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>11,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Guiseppe Verdi</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>35,800</td>
<td>74,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Utilities and Infrastructure
Water System

The park's water system makes possible the verdant landscape we see today. Much of the existing water system was constructed over 75 years ago, and has problems including insufficient water supply, insufficient water pressure, and sediment in the water from old wells, pumps, and pipelines. The park's internal water system for irrigation and lakes is fed from park wells, and serves approximately two-thirds of the park. The other areas of the park have municipal water service, metered from Fulton Street or Lincoln Way. Drinking water in the park is from municipal water. A productive aquifer lies within the sands beneath the park. The development of the park's own water system was spurred by the City's dispute with the Spring Valley Water Company over high water charges in the early years of the park. The park's system has been an economical source of high quality water for the park's irrigation and lake needs.

Much of the existing water system is in poor condition due to its age. The existing water system consists of the following components:

- **Wells and Pumps.** There are seven wells and pumps, of which six are currently active with a capacity to produce 3,750 gpm (gallons per minute). Only one of the wells, Elk Glen (1982) is a major producer in good condition. The Alvord well is relatively new (1984) but has relatively low production. The other wells, which are between 50 and 70 years old, are probably in poor condition due their age and corroded casings. Many of the pumps are also very old and reaching the end of their useful life.

- **Reservoirs.** There are three concrete-lined reservoirs (North Mill, Strawberry Hill, and Waterworks) with a combined storage capacity of 890,000 gallons. The largest of these, the Strawberry Hill reservoir, is small and in poor structural condition in the event of an earthquake. Elk Glen Lake and Stow Lake are also used for water storage, although only a small portion is available for irrigation use. The primary problems are a lack of storage capacity to serve some areas of the park. Gravity flow also results in low water pressure in some areas.

- **Distribution System.** The main water pipelines consist of cast iron pipe with caulked joints in diameters of four inches and larger, and galvanized steel pipe in diameters of smaller than three inches. The iron pipe is in fair condition, but the galvanized steel pipe is badly corroded and requires replacement. There may be considerable leakage from caulked joints. Many of the valves are old and in poor condition, and many pipelines are undersized for projected needs. There are no accurate maps of the existing water pipelines.

Water Consumption

The total park irrigation need is estimated to range between 1.5 mgd (million gallons per day) during low use periods, to 4.0 mgd during high use periods. Currently, two-thirds of water used is supplied by well water, and one-third by municipal water. It is estimated, that if run continuously, the seven existing wells could produce a daily yield of 5.4 mgd. The current use of municipal water is a result of a lack a storage and distribution system in some park areas, and the need to reconstruct wells, rather than a lack of potential well water supply.
**Water Supply System Master Plan**

The majority of funds from the 1992 Golden Gate Park infrastructure Bond will be used to rebuild the park’s water system. A master plan has been developed to guide the reconstruction of the water system. The plan is based on the following primary design criteria:

- low maintenance and operation requirements
- simplicity of operation and maintenance
- dependable supply of clean, high pressure water for irrigation and lakes
- flexibility to utilize well water and/or reclaimed water as a supply source

- the system should have a useful life of 50 to 100 years
- compatibility with the Golden Gate Park Master Plan
- minimum disruption of the park, and the existing irrigation system, during construction.

The proposed irrigation and lake water system divides the park at Transverse Drive into two water pressure zones due to the elevation difference between the eastern and western ends of the park. A central pumping plant will be constructed near the composting area which will supply all the pressurized water for park irrigation and will accommodate the use of both well water and reclaimed water. New replacement wells will be constructed primarily in the western area of the park and will pump directly to a central, covered storage reservoir which will be adjacent to the central pumping plant. The primary irrigation pipeline system will distribute well water or reclaimed water. A secondary pipeline system will be provided for distribution of well water only for use in lakes and for irrigation of sensitive plant areas.

**Proposed Irrigation and Lake Water Supply System**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legend</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>non-potable irrigation (well water only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-potable irrigation (well water and/or reclaimed water)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reclaimed water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New or existing well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central pumping plant and new reservoir</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of Reclaimed Water
San Francisco is planning for the use of reclaimed waste water. A tertiary treatment plant is proposed in the western park of the city, and could provide a supply of reclaimed water for appropriate uses in Golden Gate Park and elsewhere. Reclaimed water, although completely safe for human contact, may contain some compounds (including salts) that, in high concentrations, can be damaging to some plants. The high organic content of reclaimed water may also promote the growth of algae in lakes and impair water quality. Plants that may be sensitive to reclaimed water include many ornamental plants, rhododendrons, redwoods, and other acid-loving plants. Large portions of the park have plants with low-sensitivity to reclaimed water including turf areas and forest areas (except oaks and redwoods). The map of Sensitivity of Vegetation to Reclaimed Water shows areas suspected to have a high sensitivity to reclaimed water and areas expected to have low sensitivity. The detrimental properties of reclaimed water may also be mitigated by alternating with periods of well water irrigation to leach offending compounds out of the soil and wash off of foliage. The proposed water system offers the flexibility to use only well water in some areas, and use reclaimed water or well water in other areas. The lake supply system will remain on well water.

Sensitivity of Vegetation to Reclaimed Water

Legend

- Potential high sensitivity - ornamental plants and trees
- Low sensitivity - large turf areas
- Low sensitivity - forest areas
Sewer System

The Department of Public Works has prepared a Sewer Master Plan to guide upgrading of the park's sewer system. Existing sewers have been analyzed and will be re-sized to adequately handle the sewage and drainage needs. Construction of sewers will be coordinated with other underground utilities to minimize disruptions in the park.

Electrical System

As part of the 1992 Golden Gate Park Bond infrastructure work, the electrical power supply systems in the park have been evaluated and an Electrical Master Plan for upgrading and renovating these systems has been developed.

There are more than forty separate electrical power supplied to various locations throughout the park. Many of these systems were installed over half a century ago and need to be replaced or upgraded to comply with the existing National Electrical Code. Other services have been recently installed or upgraded and will need no further work.

A detailed study of each of the power supplies was conducted and recommendations were developed based on the needs of each area. These areas were prioritized and will be coordinated with the Pacific Gas & Electric Company to develop plans for the design and renovation of the electrical systems. Renovation of these systems will be coordinated with other underground utilities in the park to minimize construction disruptions. New or upgraded electrical services that will be a part of the 1992 GGP infrastructure bond work includes service to the replacement water wells, the new irrigation water supply pump station, new park lighting system, and any other new facilities.
Park Lighting

The existing lighting system in the park is antiquated and in need of replacement. New pedestrian scaled lighting is proposed for selected paths and roads. The proposed lights would consist of an acorn-style luminaire on a traditional pole (probably 16'-18'). The luminaire would likely be color corrected high pressure sodium (150, 100, or 70 watt) which is the most energy efficient for outdoor lighting. The light is similar to lights used elsewhere in San Francisco, including those on the new Embarcadero project.

Different areas of the park will be lighted to different levels based on amount of use and safety considerations. Lighting is for safety purposes and is not intended to increase night use.

The proposed park lighting is divided into the following priority levels:

Highest priority/lighting level:
- pedestrian night use areas (including connections between evening activity areas, parking, and Muni stops)
- pedestrian/vehicle/bike intersections
- roadways with heavy night use

Medium priority/lighting level:
- pathways to night use areas
- roadway intersections
- bicycle commuter routes
- selected park roads

Low priority/minimum lighting level:
- all other park roads (this level provides minimal lighting between fixtures, but the next "beacon" light can be seen from roadways)

Night use areas include:
- McLaren Lodge
- Kezar Pavilion
- Kezar Stadium
- Sharon Building
- Conservatory
- Academy of Sciences
- DeYoung Museum/Asian Art Museum
- County Fair Building
- Senior Center
- Beach Chalet
- Angler's Lodge

Potential night use areas:
- Tennis courts
- Pioneer Log Cabin
- Equestrian center

Legend

- Night use areas
- Potential night use areas (medium priority)
- Primary access roads and adjacent paths to night use areas (highest priority)
- Path access to night use areas (highest priority)
- Muni stops serving night use areas
Maintenance and Operations Areas
Maintenance and Operations Areas

Maintenance and operations areas within the park are necessary for efficiency and care of the park. Visual impacts from these areas should be minimized by screen plantings around the site. Most of the existing areas are well screened, some need better screening. Maintenance areas comprise approximately 20 acres of the park (less than .02 percent of the park). Opportunities should continue to be sought to consolidate existing areas. Below are recommendations for some of the individual sites:

Urban Forestry Center
The Urban Forestry Center was established with the beginnings of the reforestation program in 1980. The McQueens treatment plant site was chosen due to its availability. The Urban Forestry Center consists of offices, employee locker area, vehicle and equipment storage, employee parking, training room, and saw shop. The center supports Recreation and Park Department forestry activities throughout the city. The site contains various remnant structures from the treatment plant, some of which are being utilized. Various other structures have been brought in for storage and offices. Many of the structures have deficiencies in varying degrees, including a lack of heat, hot water, ventilation, and lighting. The office is in a construction trailer that is difficult to keep clean, and a poor environment for the computer equipment it houses. The employee locker rooms are cramped, and one has a leaking roof. The main restrooms do not have heat or hot water. The site has drainage problems during wet weather. Various structures, pipes, valves, and an underground vault remaining from the treatment plant contain unknown materials and hazards, and leaks have been a problem.

The site is suitable for the center, being centrally located in the park, away from activity areas, and fairly well screened. It also utilized an existing maintenance area rather than creating a new one. The feasibility of relocating the Urban Forestry Center to another site was considered, with the nursery/corporation yard and the Richmond Sunset treatment plant site as two possible locations. The benefits of moving the center would be consolidation of maintenance areas and restoration of the site to parkland. The two sites, however, have proven to be less feasible or desirable than the existing location. The nursery/corporation yard site does not have the room for additional vehicle storage or employee parking. The Richmond Sunset site is too close to the ocean, with its damaging salt spray, to store vehicles outside, and the existing structures may not be suitable. It would also take considerable investment to adapt the existing buildings due to their age and unknown condition. The park would benefit more by having the Richmond Sunset site restored to parkland for recreational uses.

It is recommended that the Urban Forestry Center remain at its present location, and that its facilities be improved and reorganized. Conditions for employees should be improved with permanent, weather-tight, heated structures. The offices should be upgraded to provide a proper environment for computer equipment. Abandoned treatment structures should be demol-
ished, and leaks and safety hazards corrected. The entire site should be reorganized for efficient vehicle storage, circulation, and servicing. Additional screening vegetation should be planted around the center.

Log Storage Area
The existing log storage area, approximately .8 acre in size, is located north of the bison paddock. The function is to store logs before chipping into mulch. Currently the chipping is done by contract, and occurs only once or twice a year to accumulate enough logs to make the contracting cost effective. The Department is considering purchase of its own chipping machine which could operate year round and eliminate the need to store logs. Currently, up to 400 or 500 logs are stored before chipping. The site is visible from JFK Drive, and a major trail is adjacent to the site. The Objectives and Policies call for maintenance and operations areas to be screened from view and consolidated where possible.

The log storage area should be relocated or consolidated with another maintenance area. One option is to locate it the south east corner of the Richmond Sunset treatment plant site which is well screened from outside the site. A new reforestation area would screen the site from the new uses proposed for the Richmond Sunset plant site. The proposed site would be approximately the same size as the existing log storage area.

If the Department purchases its own chipping equipment, it would most likely be located at the composting area (the machinery would be used for chipping brush for compost, as well as logs for mulch). Log storage demand would be reduced (possibly about 40 logs maximum) and could be accommodated at the compost area.

Section 6 Headquarters and Storage Sheds
The Section 6 headquarters consists of a concrete shed building and several container structures that are used for storage of equipment and tools. It sits in a large open area with an excess of asphalt and is not screened from adjacent areas. It is recommended to consolidate the storage containers with the building by moving them adjacent to it. Excess areas of asphalt should be removed and the area should be extensively relandscaped with screen plantings around the structures and reforesting other areas.

Maintenance and Operations Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservatory yard</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>service entrance</td>
<td>crowded, lack of parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance yard and nursery</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>offices, nursery, vehicle &amp; equip. storage</td>
<td>moving to a site further west, site will become part of native garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(nursery 6.7 ac.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arboretum nursery &amp; greenhouse</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>plant propagation, volunteer center</td>
<td>moving to a site further west, site will become part of native garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composting area</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>composting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban forestry center</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>office, vehicle &amp; equip. storage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log storage area</td>
<td>.8 (fenced)</td>
<td>log storage, chipping operations</td>
<td>operation has degraded surrounding area, needs new fencing and screening recommended for relocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.6 (unfenced)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage sheds/Section 6 HQ</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>storage/office</td>
<td>degraded condition around sheds, excess asphalt, consolidate structures; consider moving headquarters to Richmond Sunset plant site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Log storage area
0.8 acre (fenced)
• recommended for relocation to Richmond Sunset plant site or to compost area (if storage need is reduced)

Urban forestry center
1.6 acres
• improve screening
• upgrade buildings and facilities

Composting area
3.3 acres
• site of proposed underground reservoir

Storage sheds/Section 6 HQ
0.5 acre
• consolidate sheds
• remove excess asphalt
• relandscape area

Conservatory Yard
0.5 acre

McLaren Lodge

Maintenance yard and nursery
12.3 acres

Arboretum nursery and greenhouse
0.5 acre
• moving to a site further west, site will become part of native garden

Maintenance and Operations Areas
Park Management and Maintenance

The image of Golden Gate Park is one of green meadows and forests, and well tended gardens. The goal of the park’s naturalistic design is to look as if nature created the meadows, forests, and vistas. That illusion is very successful, but misleading. In fact the park is an man-made creation that is the antithesis of what nature provided on this site. To maintain the illusion takes great care and effort. Maintaining this type of landscape in an urban park with such high usage presents an even greater challenge.

In the past few years, the Recreation and Park Department, and its maintenance staff, have met the challenge of maintaining Golden Gate Park in the face of declining resources. There is a limit, however, before the results of this trend become more evident and the image of the park declines.

Maintaining Golden Gate Park

The Recreation and Park Department has several maintenance groups that work in Golden Gate Park:

- **Gardeners** have primary responsibility for horticultural and landscape maintenance. The park landscape maintenance is based on a section and “beat” system. The park is divided into six sections (previously there were eleven sections), which are further divided into smaller subsections, referred to as gardener beats. Each section has a supervisor that oversees the gardeners who are assigned to beats. This system provides workers who know their areas well and can take pride in them.

- **Custodians** are assigned to park facilities and perform cleaning and simple maintenance tasks.

- The **turf management division** maintains fields, meadows and other turf areas.

- The **forestry division** manages tree hazards, large tree pruning, and the reforestation program. It also provides forest management training for gardeners. Forestry crews include arborists, gardeners and other workers.

- The **golf division crew** maintains the golf course.

- The **structural maintenance division** consists of crews of various building trades such as plumbers, carpenters, electricians, and other crafts. They maintain and repair the park’s water system, other infrastructure and buildings, and operate heavy equipment.

- The **mechanics shop** (under the Purchasing Department) performs repairs on equipment and vehicles.

- The **supply warehouse** (under the Purchasing Department) receives and disburses supplies and materials.

Planning and coordinating the work of the various maintenance groups is the responsibility of the General Manager, Assistant General Manager, Park Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent for Urban For-
Successful maintenance requires oversight, planning, and accountability. For the system to work well, communication and follow-through are critical. Maintenance planning, setting priorities, and scheduling tasks (regular maintenance tasks and as needed tasks), requires a systematic approach. Communication with workers is needed to accomplish tasks as scheduled, and to receive feedback from workers. Accountability and incentives should be used to ensure that tasks are done well.

Coordination of various crews is vital for efficient maintenance. Work is frequently done with different crews relying on each other. Cooperation and respect between crews will foster efficient maintenance. Some tasks have cooperative responsibilities between crews such as reforestation, which is implemented primarily by forestry crews, but gardeners are responsible for some of the maintenance of reforestation trees.

**Recommendations**

- Park maintenance managers should improve maintenance planning to better understand needs and set priorities. Regular tasks such as irrigation, mowing, and litter pick up should be balanced with less frequent tasks such as path maintenance, erosion control, and shrub planting.

- A more systematic approach to planning maintenance should be implemented. Managers should meet at regularly scheduled meetings to plan maintenance tasks, coordinate work, and improve communication between maintenance groups.

- Section supervisors should plan park section maintenance systematically by employing a weekly checklist to plan maintenance tasks. Supervisors should walk and inspect the entire section weekly, and note problems on the checklist. The checklist should include a section map to pinpoint notations. Completion date of tasks should be recorded.

- Daily assignment sheets should be prepared by supervisors to plan what each worker will be doing. Supervisors should have daily meetings with workers to distribute assignments and to improve communication. Daily meetings can occur at the beginning or end of a workday, or before or after lunch.

- Management should use the weekly maintenance checklists to track park maintenance and improve accountability and worker incentives.

- Maps of each section and beat should be maintained (preferably on CAD system) to note special maintenance requirements, unique tasks, dedicated trees, locations of valves, and other information. Recording of this data will ensure proper maintenance in the event of new personnel.

- Continuing management training for supervisors and other employees should be established. Employees should understand the role and responsibilities of their position.

- Continue regular landscape assessments with gardeners, section supervisors, area supervisor, Park Division, and Park Planning office.
Golden Gate Park Management
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Park Staffing

Maintaining Golden Gate Park has always been labor intensive. In recent years, the cost of labor has increased faster than the park’s available funding. Since 1977, the number of gardener positions has decreased by 15 percent. There have been similar reductions in other crews. At the same time, the needs of the park have never been greater due to the age of the park, its infrastructure and forests, and because of increasing social problems such as camping and vandalism. The forestry program is a completely new program (since 1980) that has required significant resources. The gap between needs and resources has increased efforts to improve productivity, but has also necessitated the reduction of maintenance levels in some areas. The reduced maintenance levels have resulted in deterioration that is becoming more apparent: turf areas are tending and edged less frequently, litter is more evident, the shrub layer is being lost, and bare earth and erosion problems are increasing. On the positive side, new infrastructure such as new automatic irrigation systems provided by the park infrastructure bond will increase efficiency of some tasks and reduce breakdowns.

The recommended staffing levels, shown later in this section, are general estimates of the numbers needed to maintain the park to a “proper” level. Proper park maintenance would include regular, timely care for all landscape areas, cleaning of park buildings, special care needed for gardens and horticultural attractions, and speedy repair of vandalism and cleaning of graffiti. Several proposals in this master plan will require additional maintenance staff such as the additional soccer field and new landscape designs in the west end of the park. The recommended numbers were developed, in part, by examining the size of gardener beats (how much area one person can maintain). Beats with more highly maintained elements such as gardens are smaller, beats with meadows and forest areas are larger. The recommended staffing levels do not differentiate between job classifications, as there should be flexibility in planning how to meet the additional needs, including new job classifications.

Additional staffing will result in a higher level of maintenance for the park including: more frequent edging and mowing of turf areas, better tree pruning and maintenance, better weed control, more frequent litter pickup, higher level of care for areas outside of gardens, regular path maintenance, and better control of erosion problems.

Paying for Additional Staff

The additional staff are being recommended at a time when the Department is forced to cut positions due to the continuing reductions from the city’s general fund. This trend is expected to continue, so other funding sources will be needed to increase park staffing. There are two potential funding sources, both are long term and will take several years to realize. The first is a dedicated public funding for Golden Gate Park such as a parcel tax or special assessment. Unlike funding from bonds, these may contribute to funding staffing and ongoing maintenance; however passing a “new” tax will not be easy. The second would be private funding through a park conservancy organization. Fund raising for ongoing maintenance costs is more difficult than for capital projects, but it has been done successfully elsewhere. Whether additional staff would be city or conservancy employees cannot be answered yet, and will undoubtedly be the focus of much discussion.

The Role of Volunteers

Volunteers are playing an increasing role in assisting with a variety of public services, including park and recreation services. Their value to Golden Gate Park may be much greater than the tasks that they perform. Volunteers also contribute to a constituency for the park that increases community involvement and provides political support. Management and supervision of volunteers is critical to their effectiveness. Volunteers must be used to fill maintenance voids that existing staff cannot handle, without jeopardizing the jobs of park staff. Volunteers should work under the direction of park staff, which will require scheduling flexibility to be available on weekends when volunteers are most available. Currently, there are several volunteer groups working in the park, and the Friends of Recreation and Parks is organizing a park volunteer corps.
Recommendations

• Continue to explore options for a dedicated public funding source that can provide funds for ongoing maintenance and staff.

• The conservancy or park association should play a role in funding ongoing park maintenance in addition to capital projects. Maintenance endowments should be included with all capital projects.

• Explore the potential of the conservancy providing staff for needs that are not currently being met.

• Continue development of a Golden Gate Park volunteer corps to assist park maintenance staff. Maximize the value of volunteers to foster community involvement and to create a park constituency. Structure volunteer activities to not impact job security of park staff.

• Provide staff with flexibility in scheduling to provide proper supervision of volunteer activities, particularly on weekends.

Maintaining Golden Gate Park—Who Does What?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Best Gardener</th>
<th>Forestry Gardener</th>
<th>Forestry Arborist</th>
<th>Golf Course Gardener</th>
<th>Crafts</th>
<th>Heavy Equipment Operator</th>
<th>Truck Driver</th>
<th>Laborer</th>
<th>Mechanic</th>
<th>Rodent Control Tech.</th>
<th>Custodian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Scale Planting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turf Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spraying and Fertilizing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodent Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small Scale Mowing &amp; Edging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractor Mowing - turf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tractor Mowing - high weeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation Planting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard Tree Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic Field Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Plumbing Repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter Removal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris Removal/Chipping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment and Tool Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Equipment Repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Cleaning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restroom Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Driving - Pickup</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Driving - Med. &amp; Hvy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Landscape and Custodial Maintenance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area and Positions</th>
<th>Park Staffing 1977</th>
<th>Park Staffing 1994</th>
<th>Recommended Park Staffing*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardeners</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodians</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardeners</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodians</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardeners</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodians</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursery Specialists</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardeners</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodians</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardeners</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15 total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodians</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Park Totals

- Gardeners: 93 total
- Supervisors: 15 total
- Custodians: 18 total
- Nursery Specialists: 7 total

### Total Park Maintenance Staff

- Total: 133
- Maintenance: 99
- Total: 129

### Forestry Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positions</th>
<th>Park Staffing 1994*</th>
<th>Recommended Park Staffing*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forester</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arborist Supervisor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arborists</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gdnr. Asst. Sup.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardeners</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laborers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck Driver</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Equip. Op.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal gardeners</td>
<td>4 for 6mos./yr.</td>
<td>4 for 6mos./yr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Staff or portion of staff position dedicated to Golden Gate Park.

---

*Recommended staffing to maintain Golden Gate Park to proper standard.
Concessions and Revenue Generation

The Recreation and Park Department generates revenue in Golden Gate Park through fees for permits and events, and from concessions. The funds generated within the park supplement the department's budget. In recent years, the income derived from fees and concessions has played an increasing role supporting the department budget, as funds from the City's General Fund have declined. Increases in fees and revenue have been used to offset reductions from the General Fund. The estimated annual revenue generated is over $3 million, and this represents almost a third of the park's estimated operating need.

For most of the park's history, some services within Golden Gate Park have been provided by private sector concessionaires. It is generally more cost effective for the City to contract out for some services than to provide them. Food vendors, boat rentals, tennis complex and golf course operation are examples of long-standing services that have been provided by concessions. The Recreation and Park Department receives a percentage of revenue, or a flat fee, from concessions. Concession contracts are awarded on the basis of competitive bids.

Fees for permits and admissions are another source of revenue. These include entry fees to the Tea Garden, the Conservatory of Flowers, and the carousel, and permit and user fees for special events, athletic fields, picnic areas, and building rentals. A parking fee for weekends in the Music Concourse was begun in 1993.

Issues

The issues regarding concessions usually center around commercialization of the park and its impacts on the users' experience. Most people are pleased to have the services provided by the concessions, but there is a limit to commercialization and its appropriateness in the park setting. There have been concerns expressed about concessions that cater primarily to tourists and offer typical souvenir sales without much relevance to the park. The location and appearance of some food concessions has also been an issue. A balance is needed between the need to increase revenue and what is determined to be appropriate for Golden Gate Park.

Throughout all levels of government, user fees are becoming more popular to support some services rather than through general taxes. Most permits in Golden Gate Park carry some form of user fee, from small fees for family picnic reservations to large fees for special events. Again, a balance is needed between raising revenue and keeping the park accessible to users of all income groups. In addition to fees, large events post a bond to cover potential damage to the park. The event fees and bond are usually sufficient to cover the costs of actual damage, but may not be sufficient to cover higher regular maintenance costs and do not pay for overtime for maintenance workers that are pulled off regular duties. Higher event fees could generate additional funds for park maintenance.

Recommendations

- New opportunities for concessions that meet the standard of appropriateness (recreation related per City Charter Section 7.403) should be explored.
- Nonprofit park associations should be supported and encouraged to operate concessions within the park, with the goal of realizing a greater return of revenues to the park.
- Park concessions should meet a standard of appropriateness. Services and activities should be directly related to the recreational purpose of Golden Gate Park.
- Retail concessions should include merchandise related to Golden Gate Park, its recreational activities, landscape, horticulture, history, and natural resources.
- Food concessions should meet appearance standards. Carts can be supplied with Golden Gate Park umbrellas.
- Food concessions within the Music Concourse should be coordinated. See the Music Concourse special area plan.
- Increase fees for special events to realize a profit for the department.
Park Security

Golden Gate Park is a safe park, but as important as statistics are, the perception of safety is just as important. A sense of security is essential for park users to enjoy their park experience. Proper maintenance is one of the best deterrents to crime. A park that is well tended shows a commitment to make the park a secure place. Successful parks with high visitation are self-patrolling. Visible maintenance staff also provide a deterrent.

The following are some positive steps to address some of the security issues:

- Ensure a high level of maintenance to all parts of the park. Fight graffiti and vandalism aggressively—remove markings and repair damages quickly.

- The Park Patrol should be expanded to 24 hours a day, with sufficient staffing to permit a ranger to be in Golden Gate Park at all times. Daytime patrols by mountain bikes will enable patrolling of out-of-the-way places. The role of the Park Patrol should be to:
  - provide a visible uniformed presence at all times patrolling with mountain bikes and vehicles;
  - provide enforcement of park code and other minor violations (enforcement role to be coordinated with SFPD, may require legislation);
  - communicate with the SFPD when situations arise.

- Coordinate efforts with other City departments to address camping, drug abuse, and other social problems in the park. Establish a regular ongoing program with the SFPD to clear camps. This program will require a regular commitment of maintenance staff to clean and restore camp sites.

- Involve community groups in park security issues so they see the park as an extension of their neighborhoods. Establish a park-neighborhood security committee as a working group to focus on park and neighborhood safety and security issues. Have an ongoing forum with community groups, Police Department, District Attorney’s Office, the Department of Social Services, and the Health Department to discuss park and neighborhood security and enforcement issues.

- Support community groups that are proactive in area security issues.

- Seek legislation to assist security efforts in Golden Gate Park such as the existing “Drug Free Zones” around schools which carry stiffer penalties.

- Implement a “Park Watch” program:
  - install signs at all entries asking park users to report suspicious activities;
  - install emergency phones at each park entrance and other locations (direct connection to police dispatch).

- Improve pedestrian and bicycle lighting between night use areas and parking areas, park entries, bicycle routes, and MUNI stops.

- Require all park maintenance employees to wear uniforms to provide a visible presence.

- Relocate Park Patrol office to front of maintenance yard (fronting on MLK Drive) with access to the public to report suspicious activities.
Park Funding
Potential Funding Sources

Golden Gate Park is the centerpiece of the San Francisco park system. It is one of the most prominent and lauded urban parks in the United States. However, it is not immune from the fiscal crises which have befallen San Francisco and other municipal governments in California. The budget allocation from the City's general fund for Golden Gate Park has been declining for several years.

Due to a combination of declining general fund appropriations, rising salaries, and increased needs, funding for the operation and maintenance of Golden Gate Park is decreasing in real terms. Already, many tasks which need to be performed in the park are left undone due to lack of funding. Under the current situation, park conditions will continue to worsen. A consistent and reliable appropriation from the City's general fund should be sought for the maintenance of Golden Gate Park. Additional funding sources must also be developed to supplement the general fund allocation for both on-going maintenance and capital improvements.

Table 1 outlines the estimated operating need of Golden Gate Park. The actual designated budget of the Park is $5.7 million, but that amount is supplemented with other funds raised by the Recreation and Park Department. Its actual operating need, determined from estimates of total 1992-93 spending by all divisions, is about $10 million.

The most significant other expenditures fall under the category of structural maintenance. Department staff reports that current budget constraints prevent addressing the full structural maintenance need in the park: restrooms are boarded up with no funds to fix them and maintenance on less visible portions of the park has been curtailed. In addition, recent bond proceeds provide only for construction or restoration of park facilities, not their ongoing operation or maintenance.

On the revenue side, charges to the public and to private concessionaires in the park total almost $3.2 million as shown in Table 2. About $1.4 million of this revenue comes from admission fees charged at the Japanese Tea Garden, and to a lesser extent at the Conservatory of Flowers and the Carousel. All revenues generated within the park are returned to the park's budget.

As with all major parks in the United States, even though Golden Gate Park has generated significant amounts of its own revenue, it is still primarily dependent on fiscal appropriation from the City. It has become apparent that in order to assure that the park will provide a standard of service commensurate with its public position and historic reputation, additional sources of operational funding must be secured to supplement the department's allocation from the City's general fund. This section focuses on some alternatives and evaluates their revenue potential, thereby indicating future options for operating the park at the highest possible standards.

The two new revenue sources with the highest potential are a non-profit conservancy organization, and a new dedicated special tax or assessment district. While these ideas have the highest potential, the level of funds they may generate, and the likelihood of success, are difficult to determine with any certainty. The general fund allocation will remain a vital source of funds for the foreseeable future.
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated operating budget:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Fund (fees, charges, and general fund allocation)</td>
<td>$5,686,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Space Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furhman Bequest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation bond proceeds (1st of ten years)</td>
<td>611,384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forestry (see note 1)</td>
<td>960,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Division - golf course maintenance (see note 2)</td>
<td>375,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission collection (Tea Garden and Carousel)</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Maintenance (see note 3)</td>
<td>1,650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Allocation (see note 4)</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation directors (see note 5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playground</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis Court</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Rec, Polo Field, Beach Chalet Soccer Field</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>$210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit and Recreation staff</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ESTIMATED PARK OPERATING NEED 1993-94** $9,853,250

**Notes:**
1. City's estimate of 50% of Urban Forestry's $1,920,000 budget.
2. Golden Gate Park portion of the Golf Division.
3. City's estimate of 25% of $6.6 million.
4. City's estimate.
5. City's estimate on basis of full-time equivalent positions assigned to Golden Gate Park.

Source: City and County of San Francisco.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admission Fees (see note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservatory of Flowers</td>
<td>$180,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea Garden</td>
<td>1,186,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carousel</td>
<td>26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>$1,392,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concessions and Agreements (see note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf - golf course, pro shop and food/beverage</td>
<td>$385,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music Concourse food/beverage</td>
<td>120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stables</td>
<td>34,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea Garden gift shop and tea house</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stow Lake boat and bicycle rental, food/beverage</td>
<td>117,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar parking lot</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling Center</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Push Carts</td>
<td>130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocean Beach skate rental</td>
<td>4,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis courts</td>
<td>64,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>$1,109,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Event Fees (see note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picnic Fees</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic field rentals and class fees</td>
<td>166,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar Pavilion and Stadium</td>
<td>30,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Fair building</td>
<td>136,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>$374,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits/User Fees (see note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar parking lot</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** $3,186,888

**Notes:**
1. 1992-93 actual
2. 1993-94 estimated

Source: City and County of San Francisco; Economics Research Associates.
Summary Of Potential Additional Revenue Sources

As the functions of operations, maintenance, and administration for Golden Gate Park become increasingly endangered due to the City of San Francisco’s fiscal crisis, it has become necessary to present and evaluate sources of revenue which can supplement annually determined appropriations from the City’s general fund. The following potential funding sources were evaluated in terms of both their maximum potential for revenue generation and their feasibility, which is defined as the ease of tapping into the source and the probability of reaching the maximum potential level of revenues. The amount of revenue that may be generated by each alternative is difficult to estimate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
<th>Relative Revenue Potential</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Special Taxes and Assessments</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Private, Non-Profit Conservancy</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Parking Charges</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Transient Occupancy Tax</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Special Events</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Concessions and User Fees</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. State and Federal Funding</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Other Possibilities</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Special Taxes and Assessment Districts
A special tax with funds dedicated to Golden Gate Park (or all City parks) has high potential for additional funding. Under current tax laws, such a tax would probably require two-thirds voter approval. The likelihood of approval is unknown, but voters have shown support in the past for special services such as parks and libraries. Certain legislative acts of the State of California, the 1911, 1913 and 1915 Improvement Acts, the Mello-Roos Community Facilities Act, and particularly the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, allow local governments to fund the development, improvement, maintenance, and servicing of park and recreational facilities through the creation of a benefit assessment district. This district, geographically determined through the examination of benefits of a park to surrounding property owners and potential users, contains the parcels on which are levied annual assessments targeted specifically for funding of the park (in the case of Golden Gate Park, the district could include the entire city). A December 1992 California Supreme Court decision, Knox vs. the City of Orland, verified the legality of establishing such a district to fund the maintenance of existing park facilities. However, given the political nature of the formation of these districts, which often includes approval through vote of affected property owners, this method of funding may require a city-wide election in San Francisco.

2. Non-Profit Conservancy
The San Francisco Zoological Society, Strybing Arboretum Society, and the Golden Gate National Park Association provide local ex-
examples of non-profit cooperative organizations which provide significant and in some cases essential funding and services to their associated public recreational facilities. Nationally, the most prominent example of such an organization is the Central Park Conservancy in New York City. This organization, which obtains most of its revenues from its own fund-raising efforts in the private sector, shares the responsibility of the planning, administration, maintenance, and operation of Central Park with the City of New York. A similar type of conservancy arrangement would likely have a high potential for providing the funding and vision necessary for maintaining Golden Gate Park's historical prominence and recreational resource value.

3. Parking Charges
Currently the Department is experimenting with charging for parking in the Music Concourse, through the use of ticket vending machines. While individual parking meters have been deemed inappropriate, there may be other ways to levy fees for parking. Because there is no practical way to control overall access and charge admission to the park, a parking fee could serve as a different form of user fee for the park. Parking fees could also serve to reduce the amount of commuter parking occurring now in the park.

4. Transient Occupancy Tax
Because of the size of the tourism industry and consequential large number of hotel rooms in San Francisco, the transient occupancy tax (TOT) on hotel occupancy brings in significant revenue ($77 million in fiscal year 1993) to the City. Its proceeds are distributed to the General Fund and among a variety of other uses, including cultural and recreational facilities such as the De Young Museum and Candlestick Park. It is possible to redistribute appropriation of these revenues to include Golden Gate Park specifically. However, this redistribution will be difficult for two reasons. One, competition for distribution is fierce, and Golden Gate Park already receives some funding through the museum subsidies and indirectly through the General Fund. Two, future distribution may be focused on funding for expansion of convention facilities. Any change in distribution must be legislated by the Board of Supervisors.

5. Special Events
Special events held in the park such as concerts and festivals are presently paying fees to the City when they receive event permits. The Recreation and Park Department's permit policy is reviewed on an ongoing basis, and an attempt is made to set fees high enough to cover all City costs incurred due to the event (e.g., fees cover the costs of reseeding areas of turf damaged by event traffic). The City has not yet attempted to “make a profit” from events, but current policy tends to discourage larger or more events. There is probably limited potential to produce significant additional revenue from this source. Fees for some large events in New York's Central Park reach $250,000.

6. Concessions and User Fees
In fiscal year 1992, about $1.04 million in revenues was derived from concessions and user fees charged within Golden Gate Park. This represents a meaningful portion of the park's total operational needs. It may be possible to generate some additional revenues from concession activity, but it will probably require either the development of new concession business opportunities or the expansion of existing concessions. However, the extensive addition of new concessions would conflict with the goals and policies of the Master Plan, and the additional revenue generation would not be significant when compared to overall needs.

7. State and Federal Funding
There are number of state and federal programs which have provided grant funds to local parks in the past. Searching out grant funds is a routine function of current park operations, however, are fewer programs today and competition is strong. Sources other than recreation programs should be investigated such as the federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) which contains several programs that may be applicable to parks, historic structures, pedestrian and bicycle projects. The Department won an ISTEA Transportation Enhancement grant for improvements to the Beach Chalet. Very few of these programs will provide funds that can be used for park maintenance however.

8. Other Possibilities
Other potential sources of revenue are under investigation. These include the fees to the film commission for filming in Golden Gate Park, and the possibility of instituting a services tax in San Francisco.

(A more detailed analysis of the potential funding source is included in a separate report entitled Economic Issues Working Paper #2.)
Private Sector and Community Involvement

Each year the City of San Francisco is less able to allocate sufficient budget to discretionary departments such as the Recreation and Park Department. As a result, the ability to maintain and preserve Golden Gate Park deteriorates. Private sector and community involvement may offer opportunities to supplement public funding. Private involvement may take several forms:

- **Private fund-raising** offers potential for continuous revenue but it requires significant staff and resources to bring in large amounts. It is easier to raise funds for specific projects rather than funds for ongoing maintenance. Fund-raising for projects should always include a contribution to a park maintenance endowment fund which will grow over time.

- **Corporate fund-raising** offers potential for funding specific projects and usually would involve some form of recognition. There is little potential for addressing ongoing maintenance needs through corporate fund-raising.

- **Grass-roots fund-raising**, through large memberships, direct mail solicitation, or voluntary visitor donations, would be constructive in constituency building, but would probably not offer potential for the large sums of money needed to maintain the park. It would also require significant resources to support this kind of fund-raising.

- **Volunteer activities** offer potential for filling some of the voids that are not now possible. Activities may include basic landscape maintenance (raking, litter pickup, invasive plant removal, etc.), trail maintenance, interpretive programs and tours, staffing of information centers or kiosks, and other tasks. Volunteers need good supervision, and this is currently a problem on weekends when most volunteers are available, due to a lack of funds for overtime pay and inflexible work hours. Agreements with unions will also be needed to increase the use of volunteers in the park.

**A Conservancy for Golden Gate Park**

A conservancy organization would be a nonprofit, private sector partner of the Recreation and Park Department for the maintenance, preservation and restoration of Golden Gate Park. The organization would assume financial responsibility for mutually agreed projects, personnel, and capital improvements.

The primary purpose of the conservancy is to augment the City funds for projects that would not otherwise occur. The conservancy’s activities could include the following:

- large scale fund-raising for capital projects; some ongoing park operations such as tree planting, park guides, etc.; and for park maintenance
- staff such as planners, landscape architects, and managers to plan and implement projects
- supporting an internship program
- coordination of volunteers
- improving park security
- management of park concessions, with the goal of seeing a portion of profits go back into the park
- marketing of the park through products and public relations

- managing operations of the park visitor centers, providing visitor services and fund-raising opportunities.

In return for the conservancy’s fund-raising efforts, the City would preserve funding for the park at the current level. This guarantee of City funding is crucial to prevent substituting public funds with private money. The City should not view the conservancy as an opportunity to save money, rather it should be seen as an answer to saving Golden Gate Park. The private funds raised by the conservancy provide the critical difference between simply maintaining the park and managing it as a first-class institution.

The conservancy organization would be led by a board of directors, an executive director, and a president. The board could be composed of community leaders as well as representatives from the City (General Manager and Commission). The conservancy would work in a close relationship with Recreation and Park Department staff and with the Recreation and Park Commission. Department and community participation will be important components of the conservancy activities. The conservancy projects must be in conformance with the Golden Gate Park Master Plan and its Objectives and Policies, and be subject to approval by the Recreation and Park Commission.

There are several models for this type of organization and public/private partnership. Each has similarities and contrasts with the situation facing Golden Gate Park. In New York, the Central
The Park Conservancy has dramatically reversed conditions in that park. With a capital improvement campaign of $50 million, this organization has rebuilt entire portions of Central Park. There are also model organizations locally including the Strybing Arboretum Society, the San Francisco Zoological Society, and the Golden Gate National Park Association.

The role of the conservancy should be appropriate to the unique situation in San Francisco. The initial role should be based on realistic goals for the first five years. This proposal is one that cannot be defined completely at this time. Rather, an organization of this type, which is experimental in nature, must grow slowly, responding to opportunities and transforming to meet changing needs. The Central Park Conservancy has grown not from a detailed plan, but from a need to respond to problems. It has evolved over the years, with many changes along the way.

This proposal is made in conjunction with the Friends of Recreation and Parks, which would accept the responsibility to create the conservancy. The Friends would continue to operate as they do now, assisting with projects throughout the city’s recreation and park system.

### Issues and Questions Regarding a Conservancy for Golden Gate Park

There are a number of issues and questions regarding a conservancy that need to be answered. Some of these questions will be answered as agreements are made, others can only be answered over time. What will the relationship between the conservancy and the Department be, and how will the conservancy projects be managed and controlled? What will be the makeup of the conservancy board members? How will public involvement be included in conservancy project planning? There are a number of civil service and union issues to be resolved.

Most important is the question of political support. Its creation will be based on agreements with the Commission, the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor. Will there be political support for the conservancy idea? The conservancy concept is based in part, on a commitment by the City to guarantee a certain level of funding for the park to ensure that private money supplements a standard of City funds rather than replacing it. Can the City make the necessary commitment to funding Golden Gate Park?
Special Area Plans
Special Area Plans

Most of Golden Gate Park will continue to function in its current design. Some areas in the park will have land use changes or significant rehabilitation that requires a redesign of these spaces. The Master Plan has identified several areas that will have design changes, some minor, some more substantial. Special area plans have been developed for these areas to provide concept plans for the improvements. The concept plans were developed within the context of the park’s historic design framework.

- Music Concourse — 7th Ave./Fulton St. entry (railway shelter)
- West end and entries
- Bison paddock
- Richmond Sunset Treatment Plant site
- 7th Ave./Fulton St. entry
- JFK Dr./Kezar Dr. entry
- Panhandle (to be developed later)
- Haight St./Stanyan St. entry
- 9th Ave./Lincoln Way entry
- Arboretum/County Fair Building
  (A separate planning process is underway to develop a plan for this area. When completed, it will become an amendment to the Golden Gate Park Master Plan)
Music Concourse

The Music Concourse is a civic space within Golden Gate Park that provides a setting for cultural institutions and events. The Music Concourse was created for the Mid-Winter Fair of 1894. The layout, several monuments, the DeYoung Museum, and the role of concourse as a cultural center are remnants of the fair's legacy.

The concourse has suffered some setbacks in recent years. The Spreckels Temple of Music was damaged during the Loma Prieta earthquake in 1989. The four fountains in the concourse were turned off during the recent drought, and fell into disuse. Several of the concourse's distinctive pollarded trees have been lost due to their age. The existing food concessions, with trucks and trailers parked in strategic locations, have detracted from the aesthetic qualities of the concourse. These factors have combined to give the area a run-down appearance.

Renovations to the bandstand are now being completed. The proposed plan for the Music Concourse addresses restoration of existing elements and redesigning of others. Restoration of the Music Concourse should be planned and implemented as a cooperative effort between the Recreation and Park Department, the Asian and DeYoung museums, and the Academy of Sciences.

Existing elements for restoration include the fountains; statues and monuments; paths and stairways; benches; lighting; flower, turf and shrub areas; and replacement of trees. When restoration is completed, adequate maintenance will be necessary to keep the area in good appearance. To maintain the Music Concourse properly, it is recommended that an additional gardener position be assigned to the area.

An opportunity exists in the Music Concourse to provide an attractive food concession in the concourse area that would be an amenity to park visitors and provide an enhanced revenue-generating concession. Improving the variety of food offered in an attractive setting may be successful in this high traffic area that is frequented by both residents and tourists. The proposed plan involves closing the road area behind the bandstand and creating a landscaped plaza with cafe seating and improved food service from push carts offering a variety of cuisines. The food carts can be serviced from a commissary located in a portion of the bandstand annex. The plaza could also be the location of a retail kiosk offering park-related merchandise such as maps, books, and film. The plaza would also be available as a staging area for events.

A small park information kiosk is proposed between the tea garden and the Asian Art Museum. It could be operated and staffed by a park association or conservancy, and provide a visible presence for the organization.

Secure, monitored bicycle parking is proposed to be added in the bus parking area and become a part of the concession contract. The bicycle parking could be placed in a fenced area with access controlled by the parking lot attendant.

Another proposed improvement is the consolidation of the Section 2 headquarters, maintenance containers, and the parking attendant station into a single structure in the bus parking area. The existing section headquarters is located in the bandstand annex, space that would serve better as a commissary for the food concession and dressing room for performances. There are two existing storage containers and a parking attendant station in the bus parking lot. Consolidating these structures could be accomplished with a new structure or by moving the existing structures together and adding an architectural screen.
store reflecting pond

information kiosk

roadway and create landscaped plaza with cafe seating and food service carts

Annex: rehab, restrooms, food concession commissary dressing room for performances

Consolidate section headquarters, maintenance storage sheds, and ushering attendant station into single structure

monitored bicycle parking

Repair stairs and paths where needed

Restoration of monuments and statues

Restoration of fountains

Replant flower beds

Relandscape traffic islands

New benches for bandstand events

Other improvements:
• replanting of trees
• new lighting
• improved accessibility
• new trash receptacles
• evaluate structural stability of tunnels and rehabilitate where necessary

Music Concourse
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West End Plan

The western edge of Golden Gate Park has lacked activity centers to draw people to use it. With the exception of the Queen Wilhelmina Garden and the soccer fields, most of the west end is little visited and is not an inviting area. Undesirable uses such as camping and sexual activity have filled the void, aided by dense growing shrubs. The goal of this area plan is to increase legitimate activities and transform this part of the park. Improvements will include the following:

Beach Chalet. The major improvement will be the restoration of the Beach Chalet as a western park visitor center, with a cafe and environmental retail area upstairs. The Beach Chalet will become a destination for park visitors, attracted in part by the spectacular WPA-era murals on the first floor.

Western Park Frontage. The western park frontage along the Great Highway has been long neglected. The important role as the first line of the park’s windbreak has taken priority over landscape design in this area. With completion of sewer construction and the redesign of the Great Highway and its landscaped path, the park’s western frontage is in need of attention. The relocated edge of the Great Highway has defined a new edge for the park. Asphalt areas within the curb will be removed, leaving expanded landscape areas that will allow new landscape treatments at the two western entries. The existing Great Highway multi-use trail, which runs from Lincoln Way to the zoo, will be extended along the park frontage to Fulton Street.

Old Railroad Trail. The old railroad trail cuts across the western end of the park and passes through the dense growth of shrubs between the Great Highway and the soccer fields. Many of the undesirable activities occur along this trail, and park users avoid the area. This plan calls for improving the visibility and security of the trail by opening the corridor along the trail and creating a series of linear turf meadows. The meadows will attract more users and the turf areas will increase the presence of gardeners and other employees. With increased activities at the Beach Chalet, at both windmills, and in the general area, the trail will see much greater use which will discourage the undesirable activities.

Western Windbreak. The vegetation in the western edge of the park serves the vital role of acting as a windbreak, making the rest of the park more suitable for activities and able to support a wider variety of trees and shrubs. The western windbreak is being reforested, which will eventually replace some of the dense shrub areas with forest trees.

Murphy’s Windmill and Millwright’s House. The historic south windmill was built in 1906 to pump well water to other areas of the park. It has fallen into disrepair, its sails have been removed and the structure is deteriorating. This plan calls for its restoration similar to that of the north windmill. Both windmills are highly visible features of the west end and their condition reflects on the condition of the park. The millwright’s house dates from 1909 and is currently used as a caretaker’s residence. The structure will require extensive structural and accessibility improvements to make it usable for a new park use. If a new use is found, and the needed improvements prove cost effective, the structure should be preserved. If it is determined that it is not feasible to renovate house to a new use, it should be demolished. If the building is removed, the site could be considered other uses, including a new pavilion structure with visitor services for this area of the park.

Western Park Entries. The two major entries in the western end, at the Great Highway and at Lincoln Way, will receive new entry signs and landscape treatment. The appearance of the pedestrian entry from the Great Highway will be improved and will provide a better connection between the Great Highway and the soccer fields.

Richmond Sunset Treatment Plant Site. The site of the sewage treatment plant will be cleared of the existing buildings and structures and it will be available for redevelopment as parkland. See the special area plan for this site for plan recommendations.
Beach Chalet
- western park visitor center
- display of murals
- historical and nature exhibits
- cafe and environmental retail area upstairs
- provide better connection to soccer fields
- sculpture garden behind Beach Chalet

Millwright's house
- new use is needed, consider for demolition if new use is not feasible
- if demolished, may be replaced with a new pavilion structure that includes opportunities for concessions, restrooms, and other visitor services.

Picnic Area
- sculpture garden behind Beach Chalet

Additional soccer field
- see Richmond Sunset treatment plant site area plan

Western windbreak
- continue reforestation

Western park frontage
- new multi-use trail
- removal of excess asphalt
- new landscaping

Murphy's windmill
- exterior renovation
- new landscape setting
- open landscape entry to trail
- maintain well and pump behind windmill

Dutch windmill

Pedestrian entry
- improve appearance of entry treatment
- provide better connection between soccer fields and Great Highway

Old Railroad Trail
- open corridor adjacent to trail creating linear meadows for improved visibility and security
- new landscaping, turf and irrigation

Park entry treatment
- entry signs
- new landscaping

Park entry treatment
- entry signs
- new landscaping
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West End Plan
Richmond Sunset Treatment Plant Site

Built in 1937, the Richmond Sunset sewage treatment plant occupies a 4.4-acre site in the southwest corner of the park. The plant was deactivated in 1994. All buildings and structures on the site will be demolished in the near future. How to use the site has been the subject of much discussion during the master plan process. The potential uses must be recreational or recreation serving and judged for their appropriateness to the site and the park.

The recommended plan proposes expansion of the existing uses surrounding the site. One additional soccer/multipurpose sports field, a picnic area, reforestation areas, and a parking area are proposed. A portion of the site may also be used for log storage (if needed, to be relocated from behind the bison paddock). The south windmill is proposed for restoration, with a new garden setting that would make it appropriate for wedding and other event rentals. The millwright’s house is proposed for rehabilitation as a recreation supervisor’s station, meeting room, and support facility for weddings and events. Rehabilitation of the millwright’s house is contingent upon the feasibility of upgrading the building’s structure and accessibility. If rehabilitation is determined to be unfeasible, the structure should be demolished.

Among the alternatives considered for the site but not included in the recommended plan were additional forest and meadow areas, relocating the Urban Forestry Center to the site (restoring the existing center to parkland), and a dune restoration area. The site was also under consideration by the Department of Public Works as the location for a tertiary water treatment plant (the Recreation and Park Department required that the facility be completely underground with a recreational use on top).

Opportunities for generating revenue at this site were also examined. Several ideas for recreation-related commercial activities were studied, but were determined to have low potential to generate revenue for the park, and potential negative impacts on the park. The concepts studied included a rental facility for picnics and weddings, an ice skating rink, a family entertainment center (miniature golf, batting cages, arcades, etc.), a destination restaurant, a recreational vehicle park, and a soccer complex (including food concession, pro shop, and training center). Of these options, the family entertainment center and the recreational vehicle park had the highest potential to generate revenue ($125,000 and $100,000 per year, respectively), but raised serious questions as to appropriateness in Golden Gate Park. There was also an idea for a multi-use pavilion that could include food concession, other concessions such as skate and bike rentals, restrooms, and table seating area. The structure would serve the soccer fields, the proposed picnic areas, and the restored south windmill, and would be available for rental for weddings and parties. The multi-use pavilion could be considered for implementation in the future as use in the western park grows.
Existing soccer field shifted 60' east

Reforestation area 1.3 acres

New soccer and multipurpose field

New picnic area
Maintain existing well and pump

Restore windmill

Reforestation area .9 acres

Demolition of all existing buildings and structures on treatment plant site

Log storage area .75 acre (if needed) or reforestation area

Parking area 30 - 40 spaces

Millwright's house: new use is needed, consider for demolition if new use is not feasible. If demolished, may be replaced with a new pavilion structure that includes opportunities for concessions, restrooms, and other visitor services.

Richmond Sunset Treatment Plant Site

Golden Gate Park Master Plan

13-7
Bison Paddock

The bison have been an attraction in Golden Gate Park since 1891, and they have been in their present site since 1900. The paddock has been in need of renovation for some time. The fencing is in need of reconstruction to improve safety and security. The landscape is degraded within and around the paddock. The configuration of the paddock and its facilities could be enhanced to improve care and management of the bison. Maintenance of the bison paddock has unique requirements and will require coordination between park and zoo staff. The proposed improvements to the bison paddock have the following goals:

- to provide for efficient care and management of the herd including feeding, quarantine, isolation, shipping, receiving, and breeding
- to provide double fencing for safety and security of visitors and the bison, and meets applicable codes and regulations
- to improve viewing and interpretive opportunities for visitors
- to restore the landscape within and around the paddock to be consistent with the park landscape

Proposed Improvements

- New fencing will be installed as needed around the paddock. The inner fencing will consist of an electrical wire fence in prime viewing areas, and in areas where the interior fencing will be adjustable to rotate grazing areas. Separate holding, quarantine, and feeding areas will be established with new fencing.

- A new barn is proposed to facilitate feeding. It will be centrally located to serve the quarantine, holding, and feeding areas. Improved access corridors from outside and from within the paddock will facilitate herding of bison when necessary.

- Visitor viewing opportunities will be improved with accessible overlooks and a viewing area adjacent to the feeding area and barn. Interpretive panels will provide information about the bison, their ecological role, and their history in Golden Gate Park.

- Within the paddock, the landscape will be improved with an improved irrigation system that will support meadow vegetation. Clusters of trees will provide shelter and shade for the bison, and erosion control on slopes. The tree clusters and irrigation fixtures will be protected from direct contact with the bison. Boulders and rubbing logs will be grouped with the trees to enrich the bison's environment.

- Around the paddock, trees and shrubs will be planted to provide a wind break and visual screen.
Log storage area to be relocated

Access road

Holding Area

Quarantine Area

Feeding Area

New central barn

Interpretive and viewing area

Erosion control planting and boulders on slopes

Additional trees for windbreak and visual screening

Inner fence (moveable electric wire fence)

New outer fence

Accessible viewing areas

Shade trees, boulders, and rubbing logs

Bison Paddock Improvements
Park Entries

The entries to Golden Gate Park should well designed transitions between the city and the park. They should be inviting, providing vistas into the park, and should provide a sample of what is inside the park. The landscape treatment at entries should include more highly maintained landscape elements such as turf, flowering shrubs, accent trees, and seasonal color planting. The major entries usually include an architectural or landscape treatment to highlight the entry.

There are forty-five official entries to Golden Gate Park (not including the panhandle). Of these entries, fifteen are considered major entries, and all include pedestrians, bicycles and vehicles except the Haight Street and 6th Avenue at Fulton entries which are pedestrian only. Several entries will receive accessibility improvements. Comprehensive entry signs are proposed for all entries. Pedestrian and bicycle signs will provide park information, maps, park regulations, and emergency information. Vehicle entry signs will announce entry to the park and request that drivers obey regulations, including the speed limit.

Recommendations for Key Entries

**JFK Drive/Kezar Drive.** This entry is recommended for redesign to alter the traffic flow into the park by creating a “T” intersection. Currently, two lanes of traffic flow directly into the park from Fell Street, often at high speed. The proposed design would require vehicles to slow down to negotiate the single right turn lane. The design greatly reduces the amount of asphalt at the entry, providing an opportunity for a landscaped entry and entry sign. The entry treatment should be a landscape statement, framing the view into the park. A simple treatment with accent trees and color planting is recommended.

**9th Avenue/Lincoln Way.** This entry is a major park entry adjacent to the 9th Avenue commercial district and is also a major entry for visitors arriving by public transit. It also serves the adjacent botanical gardens and the County Fair Building. The entry lacks signs or treatment to reflect its status as a major park entry. Pedestrian circulation is poorly laid out in front of the County Fair Building. Recommended improvements include a new landscape treatment, pedestrian circulation, and signs.

**Haight Street/Stanyan Street.** This is a major pedestrian entry for the eastern end of the park. The area’s landscape suffers from overuse which has caused turf problems, erosion, litter and a generally run-down appearance. The existing design of paths and turf is designed for circulation through the area, but the space has developed as a social gathering space. It is recommended to redesign to area to accommodate the existing uses. Additional paved areas, controlling circulation with barriers between paths and turf areas and re-establishing Alvord Lake as the area’s focal point, should be explored. Barriers can consist of low fence railings or continuous benches lining the paths. These techniques are used extensively in high-use areas of New York’s Central Park.

**7th Avenue/Fulton Street (railway shelter).** The railway shelter on Fulton Street at 7th Avenue was built in 1889 and was once a major entrance for visitors arriving by steam trains and later by cable cars. The historic structure is in good condition. It is recommended to reestablish the railway shelter as a transit portal and pedestrian entrance. This will require designing a new path into the park and opening a visual corridor between the railway shelter and JFK Drive. The path design should include an appropriate landscape treatment with turf borders.

**Western Park Entries (Great Highway and Lincoln Way at MLK Drive).** The entries at the Great Highway and Lincoln Way at MLK Drive are recommended to receive new landscape treatments along with entire western frontage. Excess asphalt will be removed inside the relocated curbs of the Great Highway. Appropriate landscape treatments should be designed to announce the entries and include accent plantings and entry signs. The landscape planting must be appropriate to the extreme conditions of the coastal environment.
## Park Entries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry Location</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Ped.</th>
<th>Veh.</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Comments and Recommendations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stanyan &amp; Fulton</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>remove step for accessibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanyan &amp; Hayes</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanyan/Kezar/JFK Drive</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>the park’s “front door”, new landscaping and entry statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanyan &amp; Page St.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanyan &amp; Haight St.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>new landscaping and entry statement, improve image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanyan &amp; Waller St.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>possible road closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar Stadium entries</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar Dr. at Children’s Playground</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar Dr. &amp; MLK Dr.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 5th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>new landscaping, including turf border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 7th Ave.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>possible road closure, new landscaping, pedestrian entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 9th Ave.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>major transit entry, entry statement, landscaping, new paths &amp; curbs, new visitor center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 19th Ave.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>Brean Gates; new landscaping (trees &amp; understory), paths &amp; accessibility improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 20th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>bicycle route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 25th Ave.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>access to Crossover Drive (Highway 1/GG Bridge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 30th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>pedestrian access to Polo Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 34th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunset Blvd.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>grade-separated from Lincoln Way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 41st Ave. (Chain of Lakes Dr.)</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>high volume of north/south through traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 45th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>pedestrian access to play area, improve irrigation &amp; landscaping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; 47th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln &amp; MLK Dr. (La Playa)</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>improve pathway &amp; bike path connections, new irrigation &amp; landscaping, remove excess asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Highway (soccer fields)</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Highway at Beach Chalet</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td></td>
<td>new parking and pathway improvements, irrigation &amp; landscaping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Highway &amp; JFK Dr.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>improve pathway &amp; bike path connections, new irrigation &amp; landscaping, remove excess asphalt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry Location</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Ped.</th>
<th>Veh.</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Comments and Recommendations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; La Playa</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trail along former streetcar line, new irrigation and landscaping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 47th Ave.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 43rd Ave. (Chain of Lakes Dr.)</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>high volume of north/south through traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 36th Ave.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>access to Spreckles Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 32nd Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 30th Ave.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>new landscaping and irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 26th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 25th Ave. (Crossover Dr.)</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>access to/from Park Presidio Bypass (Hwy. 1- to/from S.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 22nd Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bicycle route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 17th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; Park Presidio Blvd.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>access to/from Park Presidio Bypass (Highway 1/GG Br.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 10th Ave.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 9th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 8th Ave.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>access to Music Concourse, bus entry, Brown Gate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 7th Ave. (Powell St. Rwy. Sta.)</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>historic structure, improve path connection to JFK Dr., landscape along path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 6th Ave.</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>redesigned from former road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 5th Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; 3rd Ave.</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; Arguello Blvd.</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bike route (to/from Presidio, GG Br.), Clarke Mem. Gate; rebuild wood steps, landscape road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton &amp; Willard North</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendices
### List of Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Capital Costs</th>
<th>Additional Maintenance?</th>
<th>1992 Bond Funds?</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD mapping of park landscape design</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree spade for moving of larger trees</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake reconstruction projects</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>17,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruction of Rainbow Falls</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife habitat improvement program</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife/feral animal study</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility/ADA path improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>3,600,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian path improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conservatory Dr. West</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bowling Green Dr.</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multipurpose/bikepath improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Panhandle/Masonic safety improvements</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- monitored bike parking in Music Conc</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road closures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Waller St.</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Middle Dr. West (partial)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Arguello</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 30th. Ave.</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1987 Park Bond, Kezar Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intersection paving reductions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- MLK/South Fork</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- JFK/Stow Lake Dr.</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape extensions on eastern JFK Dr.</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JFK/Stanyan/Kezar entry improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Capital Costs</td>
<td>Additional Maintenance?</td>
<td>1992 Bond Funds?</td>
<td>Potential Funding Sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement 3&amp;4 hour parking limits</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar parking lot landscaping</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1987 Park Bond, Kezar Master Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic signal at Kezar/Lincoln</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation sign survey and plan</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover Dr. undergrounding study</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transportation expenditure plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLK/Crossover grade separation study</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit portal - 7th/Fulton</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visitor drop-off - County Fair Bldg.</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle bus program study</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Recreation

**Play area renovations:**
- 46th Ave                                     | high     | 30,000        | partial                 |                  | Open Space Fund                                        |
- Mother's Meadow                               | high     | 50,000        | partial                 |                  |                                                       |
- 9th/Fulton                                   | high     | 150,000       | partial                 |                  |                                                       |
- Children's Playground                         | high     | 200,000       | partial                 |                  |                                                       |
- Panhandle                                     | high     | 50,000        | partial                 |                  |                                                       |
**Trail and path improvements**                | high     | 3,400,000     | partial                 |                  |                                                       |
**Trail and path maintenance program**         | high     |               | yes                     |                  |                                                       |
**Trail brochures (walking, bicycling, equestrian)** | med. | 60,000        | partial                 |                  |                                                       |
**Mt. bike education program**                 | high     | 30,000        | yes                     |                  |                                                       |
**Handball court repairs**                     | med.     |               |                         | partial           |                                                       |
**Tennis court resurfacing**                   | med.     | 250,000       |                         |                  | concession                                              |
**Polo Field rehabilitation**                  |         | 70,000        |                         |                  |                                                       |
**Resurface Polo Field track**                 | med.     | 40,000        |                         |                  |                                                       |
**Bicycle track fencing**                      |         | 40,000        |                         |                  |                                                       |
**Horseshoes area renovation or relocation**  | med.     | 50,000        |                         |                  |                                                       |
**6th Ave. resurfacing (skating area)**        | med.     | 9,000         |                         |                  | private donations                                       |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Capital Costs</th>
<th>Additional Maintenance?</th>
<th>1992 Bond Funds?</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visitor Facilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Chalet visitor center</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>gift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music Concourse information kiosk</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern park visitor center</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive entry signs</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park feature signs</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park visitor brochures and maps</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration of restrooms</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Furnishings:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- benches</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>private donations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- picnic tables &amp; facilities</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- trash receptacles</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buildings and Monuments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beach Chalet</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>grant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carousel:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- structural improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- curtain wall</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- organ restoration</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservatory:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- structural improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rose House reconstruction</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Fair Building:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- structural improvements &amp; asbestos treatment</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>360,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- building redesign and redevelopment</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equestrian center improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>488,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kezar Pavilion:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- structural improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>893,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- accessibility improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaren Lodge:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- structural improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1,470,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- accessibility improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Capital Costs</td>
<td>Additional Maintenance?</td>
<td>Bond Funds?</td>
<td>Potential Funding Sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy's Mill restoration</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Aid Station</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Log Cabin kitchen</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fuhrman Bequest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forestry Center</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>146,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statues and Monuments:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- conservation treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td>633,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Private fundraising, Adopt-a-Monument Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- maintenance endowment</td>
<td></td>
<td>716,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Private fundraising, Adopt-a-Monument Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities and Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstruct water supply system:</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>24,000,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- refurbish wells and pumps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- underground reservoir &amp; central pumping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- distribution system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- irrigation control system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- lake supply control system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other utilities including the following:</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- new electrical distribution system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- new park lighting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- sewer and drainage improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance and Operations Areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 6 HQ restoration</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Forestry Center rehabilitation</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration and relocation of log storage area</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- log chipping equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional screen planting at various facilities</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>partial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Park Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Annual Costs</th>
<th>Maintenance?</th>
<th>Bond Funds?</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expanded role for Park Patrol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- additional patrol staff</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- training and equipment</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional maintenance staff</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- gardeners</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1,450,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- supervisors</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- custodians</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- other staff</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional forestry staff</strong></td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park landscape architect</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer coordinator</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Special Area Plans

### Music Concourse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Costs</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Fountain renovation</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>private donations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- cafe plaza construction</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- consolidate maintenance and park lot</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Statue &amp; monument renovation</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Replanting of 124 trees</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Replanting &amp; maintenance of flower beds</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Relandscaping of traffic islands</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 100 new benches</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- New lighting</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Repair of stairs &amp; paths</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accessibility improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- New trash receptacles</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bandstand annex improvements</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### West End Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Costs</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Railroad trail improvements &amp; meadow</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Great Highway multiple use trail</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Great Highway landscaping</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Capital Costs</th>
<th>Additional Maintenance?</th>
<th>1992 Bond Funds?</th>
<th>Potential Funding Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richmond Sunset treatment plant site:</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oceanside WTP mitigation measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- sports field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- reforestation areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- picnic area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- parking area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry Improvements:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Entry signs for all entries</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stanyan &amp; Hayes</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>included in circulation projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stanyan/Kezar/JFK Drive</td>
<td>high</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stanyan &amp; Haight</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 9th &amp; Lincoln</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 19th &amp; Lincoln</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lincoln &amp; MLK Drive (west end)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Great Highway &amp; soccer fields (pedestrian)</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Great Highway &amp; JFK Drive</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fulton &amp; 7th Ave (Powell St. Rwy. Sta.)</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fulton &amp; Arguello</td>
<td>med.</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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